

ISOLATION, CHARACTERIZATION OF B-SITOSTEROL FROM THE HERBAL EXTRACTS AND EVALUATION OF IN *VITRO* ANTI-OXIDANT AND ANTI-DIABETIC ACTIVITIES

S. Gomathi^{1, 2} and S. Maneemegalai³*

Address(es):

¹Research Scholar, Department of Biochemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.

² Assistant professor, Department of Biochemistry, Shrimati Indira Gandhi College, Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.
 ^{3*} Associate Professor of Biochemistry, Centre for Distance and Online Education, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author: maneemegalai@gmail.com , drsmaneemegalai@bdu.ac.in

https://doi.org/10.55251/jmbfs.10236

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received 2. 6. 2023 Revised 17. 10. 2023 Accepted 17. 10. 2023 Published 1. 2. 2024	Plants naturally produce phytochemicals, physiologically active chemical compounds that serve as a natural defence mechanism and have considerable potential for treating a wide range of ailments. <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> and <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> are the potent traditional herbs used in Ayurveda medicine, especially for diabetes. The current study aimed to isolate and characterize the bioactive compound β -sitosterol from the combined ethanolic extracts of the rhizome of <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> (<i>C. rotundus</i>) and the stem of <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (<i>T. cordifolia</i>). Furthermore, the <i>in vitro</i> antioxidant and anti-diabetic activities of isolated compounds are tested by DPPH assay, alpha
	amylase inhibition assay, alpha glucosidase inhibition assay and glucose uptake activity in 3T3L1 cells. The combined ethanolic extract of both medicinal plants was initially subjected to column and preparative thin layer chromatography for the isolation of bioactive compounds, followed by their characterization by spectral methods like UV, FT-IR, ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR. The structure of the isolated compound is identified as β -sitosterol and further confirmed by structural elucidation. Similarly, the isolated compound β -sitosterol exhibited higher antioxidant scavenging activity of $64.54\pm1.38 \ \mu g/ml$ against DPPH at a concentration $100\mu g/ml$. The study also
	confirmed that β -sitosterol showed good inhibitory activities towards alpha-amylase (62.21±1.25 µg/ml) and alpha-glucosidase (65.48±1.47 µg/ml). β -sitosterol showed significantly increased glucose uptake (28% at 100µg/ml). Based on the conclusion that the organic compound β -sitosterol exhibited good antioxidant and anti-diabetic efficacy, it could have been used in the treatment of free radical disease and can be utilized in the management of diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: Cyperus rotundus, Tinospora cordifolia, Isolation, Characterization, Anti-oxidant activity, Anti-diabetic activity

INTRODUCTION

Plants are a significant source of potentially beneficial bioactive components for the creation of novel chemotherapeutic drugs. Many plants' biological and pharmacological characteristics are yet unknown. Scientists are investigating the prospect of using pharmacologically active chemicals from medicinal plants all over the world. 80% of individuals use herbal medicines because of their great efficacy and lack of negative side effects. In comparison to traditional therapies; modern synthetic pharmaceuticals are more expensive. Herbal plants are the only source that consistently provides the active ingredients used to create pharmaceuticals for modern therapies (Rakesh K Joshi et al., 2015, Dayana Jeyaleela et al., 2019). Globally, researchers in microbiology, biochemistry, botany, pharmacology and the chemistry of natural products are interested in studying medicinal plants to find more and more bioactive components and potential lead molecules that might be used to treat a wide range of ailments.(Abdel Nasser Singab et al., 2014). In the current scenario, scientists and researchers are turning to herbals for natural products and chemicals, which have led to a rise in the demand for herbal remedies and other alternative treatments.(Bailey et al., 1989, Elavarasi et al., 2014,). Hyperglycemia and improper insulin secretion or action is complicated metabolic condition of diabetes mellitus. 5-10% of people with DM have type 1 diabetes, formerly known as juvenile diabetes, occurs due to the damages in the insulin-producing β cells in the pancreas. (Mahabir et al., 1997, Dayana Jeyaleela et al., 2017). Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is characterized by insulin resistance, a reduction in hepatic glucose production and impaired glucose uptake by body cells. Type 2 diabetes affects 90% of elderly people; however, it steadily rises in youth due to obesity and inactivity. Large-scale consequences like heart disease, renal disease and stroke may result from it if left untreated. Patients with diabetes mellitus experience great exhaustion, weight loss, thirst, hunger and blurred eyesight as symptoms (Ripsin et al., 2009, Chung-Hung et al., 2012). Alpha-amylase and alpha-glucosidase inhibition are key therapeutic objectives for the treatment of diabetes mellitus (DM). Both enzymes play an essential role in carbohydrate digestion and regulate postprandial glucose levels in individuals with diabetes. Long-chain carbohydrate digestion by alpha amylase is complex, and the conversion of starch and disaccharides to glucose by alpha glucosidase is also complex. Oral antidiabetic drugs suddenly decrease blood sugar levels and produce side effects such as nausea, abdominal pain, diarrhoea, and weight gain. Herbal medicines are in great demand because of their efficacy, safety, and better compatibility with human beings without any side effects (Grover et al., 2002). Cyperus rotundus also known as motha, purple nutsedge or nutgrass, Korai kizhangu in Tamil language belongs to the Cyperaceae family which is widely spread in the regions of Southern Europe, Africa, Central Europe and then Southern Asia (Gupta et al., 1971). The extract of C.rotundus is mostly used in traditional folk medicine for the treatment of inflammatory diseases, stomach ailments, bowel disorders, wounds, boils and blisters, dysentery, diarrhoea, fever, malaria, skin disease, loss of memory, insect bites and food poisoning. (Dhillon et al., 1993, Yu J et al., 2004, Sivapalan et al., 2013). According to research, C. rotundus extracts have biological properties that are anti-diabetic, anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, anti-cytotoxic, antimutagenic, anti-pyretic and anti-diarrheal. (Sharma et al., 2011, Sivapalan et al., 2012) Likewise, Tinospora cordifolia, of Menispermaceae origin, is distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions of India. Tinospora cordifolia, also known as guduchi and "Shindilakodi," in Tamil (Singh et al., 1970, Kirti Sinha et al., 2004, Patel MB et al., 2012). The extract of Tinospora cordifolia reported many medicinal evaluations in which it is more commonly used in fever, diabetes, vaginal discharge, diuretic, dyspepsia, stomachic, urethral discharge, allays thirst and enriches the blood and cures jaundice (Vaibhav et al., 2010, Bhawva et al., 2010). Also, the pharmaceutical activities of anti-stress, anti-periodic, antiarthritic, anti-malarial, anti-spasmodic, anti-allergic, anti-neoplastic and antileprotic drugs were reported in the previous studies. (Upadhaya et al., 2010, Spandana et al., 2013). Due to the medicinal properties of both plants, Cyperus rotundus and Tinospora cordifolia were chosen to explore the synergistic effects on free radical scavenging activities and diabetic and as well as to identify the potential bioactive compound that may be the source of the combined extract's therapeutic effects. The pharmacological effects such as antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of combined ethanolic extracts of the plants Cyperus rotundus and Tinospora cordifolia were previously studied and compared with the individual extracts. The combined extract exhibits the highest potential compared with the individual ethanolic extract of Cyperus rotundus and Tinospora cordifolia. Based on the previous results, it was aimed to isolate the bioactive compound which is responsible for the pharmacological effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and authentication of plant materials

The rhizome part of *C. rotundus* and stem part of *T. cordifolia* were collected in January 2019 from Lalgudi area, Tiruchirappalli district, Tamil Nadu, India. The collected plant samples were authenticated (voucher specimens 2958, 2959) and deposited at the Rapinat Herbarium, St, Joseph's college (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu India

Preparation of ethanol extracts

The collected plant materials were washed with distilled water to remove dirt and impurities and then dried under shade. The dried plant samples were ground using a mechanical grinder and sieved. The dry powder of the rhizomes of *C. rotundus* and the stem of *T. cordifolia* were equally mixed in a ratio of 1:1. 400g of each plant material are mixed together and denoted as 'CT Combination'. The CT combination powder was extracted with ethanol by the soxhlet extraction method. The final extract was filtered and concentrated by evaporation. The final crude extract is stored at 4° C in the refrigerator for further analysis (**Pradeep Singh** *et al.*, **2015**).

Identification of Bioactive Compounds in the CT Combination by GC-MS Analysis

The formulation of ethanolic extract of *C. rotundus* and *T. cordifolia* were subjected to identify bioactive compound by GC-MS. This analysis was performed on a "Thermo GC-Trace Ultra ver; 5.0" gas chromatography attached with a "Thermo MS DSQ II" for mass evaluation. Components were detached on a "ZB 5-MS Capillary Standard Non-Polar Column" with 600 mm length with a 0.25-µm film thickness. During the experiment, temperature was elevated from 70 to 260 °C. The flow-rate of carrier gas, helium, was10 mL/min and the injection volume of the samples was 1 µl. The identification of the chemical components was performed on the basis of comparison of their respective retention time and mass spectra with those attained from authentic sample. Analysis of the mass spectrum, the compound was identified using National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database.

Phytochemical screening

Liebermann-Burchard Test

2mg of combined ethanolic extract of *C. rotundus* and *T. cordifolia* was dissolved in chloroform. Then acetic anhydride and concentrated sulphuric acid was poured from the tube's side. Sterol was present because of a brief colour change from red to blue to green.

Identification of compound in the CT combination by HPTLC

Sample preparation

2g of the crude extract of the CT was dissolved in 10 ml of ethanol and filtered through Whatmann filter paper No. 41. The filtrate was subjected to HPTLC analysis for the identification and the quantification of β -sitosterol (**Ridhi Joshi** *et al.*, **2020**).

Standard solution preparation

The stock samples were made by weighing 10 mg of β -sitosterol standard and carefully diluted with 10 ml of ethanol to obtain standard stock concentration solutions.

HPTLC Analysis of standard and CT combined Extract

1μl to 6μl of standard solutions of β-sitosterol and 1μl to 6μl of the CT combined extract were uniformly loaded on the percolated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ HPTLC plate (preparative) under a semiautomatic spotter under a nitrogen stream. Toluene: Ethyl acetate: Formic acid (5:4:1) was the mobile phase. The HPTLC plate and sample applicator is used in the analysis. A temperature of 25°C was used for the chromatographic circumstances, which also comprised the ascending separation technique, a trough chamber for plate growth and a migration distance of 10 cm. The sample and the standard-loaded plates were dried in hot air and then scanned densitometrically at UV light and a short wavelength of 254nm using TLC Scanner. The calibration curves of the sample and the standard were studied by plotting the peak area versus concentration of the sample.

Isolation of β-Sitosterol by Column and TLC

Column and Preparative Thin-layer chromatography

The ethanolic extract of the CT combination was subjected to TLC and column chromatography to separate the bioactive compound β -sitosterol, followed by the methods of **Arjun** *et al.*, (2010) and **Rand** *et al.*, (2018). The column chromatography was packed with activated silica gel and the concentrated extract was loaded on top of the column. Then, the column was first eluted with n-hexane (a low-polar solvent), then different ratios of ethyl acetate and n-hexane were poured into the column (1:9 to 9:1), and finally the un-eluted compound was separated with the methanol solvent. At the end, several fractions were obtained. The fractions with isolated compounds were further separated by TLC. 5 µl of the isolated compound was applied to silica gel plates, Merck (Germany), 20 x 20 cm, 0.25 mm in thickness. Plates were developed using the solvent system n-hexane: ethyl acetate (70:30 v/v). The compound was detected on TLC plates by spraying with Libermann-Burchard reagent and heating at 100°C for 10 minutes.

Purification of isolated components from HPLC

The analytical HPLC system was equipped with a diode array detector, a 20 μ l of sample injector and a 200 x 4.6 mm C18 column. The mobile phase consisting of methanol and water (75:25 v/v), was filtered through a membrane filter and pumped into the column at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The column temperature was 30°C. 40 μ l of the isolated compound was injected into the column and detected at 346 nm.

Characterization and structural elucidation study of isolated compound

Different kinds of spectroscopic methods were used to analyse the structure of the isolated compound CT-1, which were UV, FTIR, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR. All these methods were used to explain the structure of the CT-1 isolated compound. The UV-visible spectrum of the compound CT-1 was screened with methanol using the Shimadzu 160A UV-visible spectrophotometer. The FT-IR spectra of CT-1 were recorded with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ within the wave number range of 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ using the KBr pellet method. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired on Bruker WP 200 SY and AM 200 SY instruments (¹H, 200.13 MHz; ¹³C, 50.32 MHz) using TMS (tetra methyl silane) as an internal standard in CDCL₃ solvent (deuterated chloroform) (**Muhammad Aurang Zeb** *et al.*, (2017), **Pierre** *et al.*, (2015), **Habib** *et al.*, (2007).

In Vitro Antioxidant Activity

DPPH assay method

The antioxidant activity of the isolated compound CT-1, i.e., β -sitosterol, was examined by the low-cost and effective DPPH method. A 0.05 mM concentration of DPPH reagent was prepared with 100 ml of ethanol. The different concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml of sample and the standard ascorbic acid were prepared. Around 3 ml of freshly prepared DPPH reagent was added to the sample and the standard test tubes were left separately for 30 minutes. The absorbance of each sample and the standard was measured spectrophotometrically at 517nm. The radical scavenging activity of the standard and the isolated compound was observed and the percentage of inhibition of DPPH was calculated by following the method of **Arif Hussain Bhat** *et al.*, (2019).

Percent (%) of inhibition of DPPH = $(A-B)/A \times 100$.

A - absorbance values of blank

B – absorbance values of sample

In vitro antidiabetic activity

Alpha-Amylase Inhibition Assay

The inhibition of alpha-amylase enzyme was used to assess the anti-diabetic effect of the isolated compound CT-1. The isolated compound CT-1 was prepared in different concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml. 250 µl of different concentrations of the isolated compound were taken in a test tube; 200 µl of 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer and 1 ml of alpha amylase solution (0.5 g/ml) were added at a pH of 6.9. The reaction mixture was pre-incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. After that, 400µl of 1% starch solution in 0.02M sodium phosphate buffer was added at regular time intervals and then further incubated at 25°C for 10 minutes followed by 1 ml of dinitrosalicylic acid, which was added and incubated in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes, then cooled at room temperature. Finally, the reaction mixture was further diluted by adding 10 ml of double distilled water, and the absorbance of the test and standard sample solutions was measured at 540 nm by a spectrophotometer **Manigandan** *et al.*, (2021).

The alpha-amylase inhibitory potential was calculated in terms percentage inhibition.

%Inhibition = [(Abs control – Abs test) / Abs control] x 100

Alpha-glucosidase inhibition assay

Alpha-glucosidase inhibition was used to assess the anti-diabetic effect of the isolated drug CT-1 by the following method of **Saraf** *et al.*, **(2015).** 20mM concentration of the reagent buffer p-nitro phenyl glucopyranoside (p-NPG) was prepared and the pH of the reaction was maintained at 6.9. To do that, 50 µl of alpha-glucosidase was mixed with 60 µl of the different concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml of the isolated compound β -sitosterol. Then it was left for 10 min for a complete reaction, and then 50 µl of 3.0 mM (pNPG) substrate was dissolved in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.9). The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 min and stopped by adding 160 µl of 0.1 M sodium carbonate. The yellow-colored p-nitro phenol was measured at 405 nm.

The alpha-glucosidase inhibitory potential was calculated by percentage inhibition.

%Inhibition = [(Abs control – Abs test) / Abs control] x 100

Cell culture

3T3-L1 (Mouse fibroblast cell) cell line was purchased from NCCS, Pune and were cultured in liquid medium (RPMI) supplemented with high glucose, 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 100 μ g/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, and maintained under an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Materials Required

RPMI medium, Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotic solution were from Gibco (USA), DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide) and D-Glucose and HEPES were from Sigma, (USA), 1X PBS was from Himedia, (India). The tissue culture plate and wash beaker were from Tarson (India).

Glucose uptake activity

The effect of extracts on glucose uptake assay was measured using 3T3-L1 cells according to the methods described by Murad Alsawalha et al., (2019) with slight modifications. The cell cultures with 70-80% confluency in 40mm petri plates were allowed to differentiate by maintaining in RPMI with 2% FBS for 4-6 days. The differentiated cells were serum-starved overnight and at the time of the experiment, cells were washed with HEPES buffered Krebs Ringer Phosphate solution (KRP buffer) once. Then cells were incubated with KRP buffer with 0.1% BSA for 30min at 37 °C. The differentiated 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were incubated 100µg/ml of metformin and10µl of β-sitosterol at with various concentrations.50mM concentration of glucose solution was added simultaneously to each well and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After incubation, the uptake of the glucose was terminated by aspiration of solutions from wells and washing thrice with ice-cold KRP buffer solution. Cells were lysed with 0.1M NaOH solution and an aliquot of cell lysates was used to measure the cell-associated glucose. The glucose levels in cell lysates were measured using glucose oxidase method by measuring the OD at 520nm using a micro plate reader, (Thermo fisher Scientific, USA). Three independent experimental results were taken to determine the percentage enhancement of glucose uptake over controls.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Medicinal plants offer a naturally occurring supply of drugs for the treatment of illness as well as prospects for the discovery of novel medications. Identifying the various biological activities of plants would therefore benefit from an examination of their elements. The presence of such phytoconstituents in medicinal plants can be determined through a variety of techniques. Chromatography and spectroscopic techniques are the most standard tools used for this purpose. Gas chromatography plays a significant role in the determination of phytoconstituents. However, spectroscopic approaches (UV-VIS, FT-IR) are simple, accurate, and can be used as standard methods for detecting phytocomponents.

Identification of Bioactive compounds in the CT combined Extract by GC-MS Analysis

The GC-MS chromatogram of ethanolic extract of C. rotundus and T.cordifolia were determined by their retention time (RT), peak area (%), height (%) and concentration (%) are represented in Table 1 and Figure 1. According to the GC-MS analysis, 54 chemical components were found in the combined plant extract. Among the identified bioactive components, β-sitosterol is majorly present in the combined extract with an area percentage of 12.51 and the retention time value of the compound is 45.567. This compound has antioxidant and anti-candidal activity. 4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy has antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and cytotoxic activities Xiangying Yu et al., (2013). 1, 2, 3-Benzenetriol [Pyrogallol] has antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, analgesic, insecticide, anticancer, cytotoxic, antiseptic, antibacterial, antidermatitic, fungicide and pesticide properties Narayana V. V. S et al., (2014). Stigmasterol is an abundant phytosterol compound that has anticancer, antimutagenic, hyperglycemic, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties Zeb MA., et al., (2017). N-hexadecanoic acids have antioxidant, pesticide, flavour, 5alpha reductase inhibitor, antifibrinolytic, hemolytic, lubricant, nematicide and antialopecic Kishor Mazumder et al., (2020).



Figure 1 GC-MS analysis of ethanolic extract of combination of *C. rotundus* and *T. cordifolia*

Table 1 Retention Time, Compounds, Molecular Formula, Molecular Weight, area%, and activity of combination of ethanolic extract of *C. rotundus* and *T.cordifolia* Peak# R.Time Area Area% Molecular Molecular Molecular Molecular Name

				formula	Weight (g/mol)	
1	5.988	343643	2.56	$C_5H_6N_2O_2$	126.11	Thymine
2	7.726	742899	5.53	$C_{11}H_{14}O$	194.22	2-Butanone, 4,4-hydroxy-3-methyl phenyl-
3	8.150	227513	1.69	$C_5H_6O_4$	144.12	4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-
4	10.354	190919	1.42	C ₆ H ₁₃ Br	165.07	Hexane, 2-bromo-
5	11.317	344207	2.56	$C_6H_{12}O_2S$	148.23	2-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-1,3-oxathiolan
6	12.456	176353	1.31	$C_6H_8O_4$	144.12	4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6 methyl
7	12.731	136514	1.02	C12H28OSi	216	2-Methyl-1-di(tert-butyl)silyloxypropane
8	13.820	128360	0.96	$C_9H_{10}O$	150.17	2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol
9	15.216	100460	0.75	$C_{10}H_{12}O_2$	164.2	Eugenol
10	15.693	1098168	8.17	$C_6H_6O_3$	126.11	1,2,3-benzenetriol
11	16.589	115734	0.86	$C_{16}H_{18}F_3NO_4$	345.31	3-Methoxy-4-[3-oxo-3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy benzaldehyde
12	16.976	236725	1.76	$C_8H_{15}N$	125.21	3-azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane
13	17.705	80553	0.60	$C_{10}H_{18}O_3$	154	(E)-2,5,5-trimethylhepta-3,6-dien-2-ol
14	21.086	103564	0.77	$C_{15}H_{24}O$	220.35	(1R,2R,4S,6S,7S,8S)-8-Isopropyl-1-methyl-3- methylanatricyclo(4,4,0,02,7)decan_4-ol
15	21.966	81279	0.60	$C_{15}H_{22}O_2$	234.33	Tricyclo[5,1,0,0(2,4)]oct-5-ene-5-propanoic 3,3,8,8-tetramethyl-
16	23.040	94363	0.70	$C_{13}H_{17}NO_2$	219.28	N-(5-hydroxy-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0] hept-2-yl
17	23.169	360889	2.69	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	256.4	Hexadecanoic acid
18	24.041	91372	0.68	$C_{15}H_{24}$	204.35	Naphthalene, 1,2,3,5,6,8a-hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1- (1-methylethyl)-, (1S-cis)-
19	24.384	314765	2.34	C15H26O	222.37	Alphacadinol
20	24.845	190869	1.42	$C_{15}H_{22}O$	218.33	8-Isopropyl-1,5-dimethyltricyclo[4.4.0.02,7] dec-4-en-3-one
21	25.411	248431	1.85	$C_{15}H_{22}O$	218.33	Cyperotundone -(3ar,4R,7R)-1,4,9,9-Tetramethyl-3,4,5,6,7,8 hexahydro-2H- 3a 7-methanoazulen-2-one
22	26.099	78950	0.59	$C_{15}H_{24}$	204.36	Bicyclo[5.2.0]nonane, 2-methylene-4,8,8-tri methyl-4-vinyl-

	13437893	100.00			
					6,6a,6b,7,8,8a,9,10,11,12,12a,14,14a,14b-octadecahydro-2H-picen-3-one
46.577	79152	0.59	$C_{30}H_{48}O$	424.00	4,4,6a,6b,8a,11,11,14b-Octamethyl-1,4,4a,5,
46.356	266070	1.98	$C_{21}H_{38}O_3$	338.52	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-, oxiranylmethyl ester
45.567	1277363	12.51	$C_{29}H_{50}O$	414.71	BetaSitosterol
43.000	80033	0.00	$C_{19}\Pi_{22}O_4$	314	OCTAHYDRO-2H-BENZO[F]ISOCHROMENE-4,7-DIONE
43.004	200378	1.49	$C_{21}H_{40}O_4$	330.33	7-OCTAUECENDIC ACIO (L)-, 2,3-OINYOTOXYPTOPYI ESTET 2 (3 FUDVI) 6A 10D DIMETTIVI 1 4A 5 6 6A 10 10A 10D
12 604	200578	1.40	CUO	256 52	0 Octobernaio acid (7) 22 dibudrouwnrouwl actor
43.518	167797	1.25	$C_{22}H_{40}O$	336.55	Butyl 9,12-octadecadienoate
43.256	419486	3.12	$C_{29}H_{48}O$	412.69	Stigmasterol
42.312	423240	3.15	$C_{15}H_{26}$	206.36	1H-3a,7-Methanoazulene, octahydro-1,4,9,9-tetramethyl
40.844	130882	0.97	$C_{19}H_{38}O$	330.50	HEXADECANOIC ACID, 2-HYDROXY-1- 1-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl ester
34.572	581517	4.33	$C_{18}H_{34}O_2$	282.47	Oleic Acid
34.448	270193	2.01	$C_{18}H_{32}O_2$	280.44	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-
34.119	146411	1.09	$C_{18}H_{24}O$	256.38	(+)-Bakuchiol, acetate
33.884	131516	0.98	$C_{19}H_{36}O$	296.48	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-, methyl ester
33.748	107560	0.80	$C_{19}H_{34}O$	294.47	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester
31.815	131476	0.98	$C_{18}H_{28}O_3$	292.41	Benzenepropanoic acid, 3,5-bis(1,1-dimethyl -4-hydroxy-, methyl ester
31.668	155847	1.16	$C_{17}H_{24}O_9$	372.36	Trans-Sinapyl alcohol
31.473	106431	0.79	$C_{12}H_{20}O$	180.29	Ethanone, 1-(4,5-diethyl-2-methyl-1-cyclopenten-1-yl)
31.375	91673	0.68	$C_{19}H_{23}NO_6$	361.39	Cyclooctanepentanoic acid ,1-nitrobeta.,2-dioxo-, phenylmethyl ester
31.183	1082076	8.05	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	256.40	N-Hexadecanoic acid
31.030	85207	0.63	$C_{16}H_{22}O_4$	278.34	Di-sec-butyl phthalate
30.489	185436	1.38	$C_{17}H_{34}O$	270.45	Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester
29.881	12/392	0.95	$C_{15}H_{24}O$	220.35	(-)-Spatnulenoi
29.765	239021	1./8	$C_{15}H_{24}O_2$	236.34	Corympoione
20.765	220021	1 70	C II O	006.04	methanocyclopenta[8]annulene-3,6-diol
29.635	151036	1.12	$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$	238.36	(3S,3as,6R,7R,9as)-1,1,7-Trimethyldecahydro-3a,7-
28.842	91849	0.68	$C_{14}H_{22}O$	204.15	4-(6,6-Dimethyl-2-methylenecyclohex-3-enylidene)pentan-2-ol
28.445	99869	0.74	$C_{14}H_{22}O$	208.34	4-(5,5-dimethylspiro[2.5]oct-4-yl)- 3-buten-2-one
					dimethylene-pentadec-4-enyl)cyclohexane
28.150	99996	0.74	C33H56	452.8	1,1,6-trimethyl-3-methylene-2-(3,6,9,13-tetramethyl -6-ethenye-10,14-
27.150	99403	0.74	$C_{27}H_{46}O_3$	418.65	3.alpha.,7.betadihydroxy-5.beta.,6.betaepoxycholestane
27.039	84877	0.63	$C_{14}H_{22}O$	206	2-Isopropyl-tricyclo[4.3.1.1(2,5)]undec-3-en-10-ol
26.586	596139	4.44	$C_{15}H_{22}O$	218.33	Phenol, 5-(1,5-dimethyl-4-hexenyl)-2-methyl
20.270	17000	0105	015112002	200100	1-vl)ethanone.
26.295	79363	0.59		238.36	1-((1S 3ar 4R 7S 7as)-4-Hydroxy-7-isopronyl-4-methyloctahydro-1H-inden-
26 230	161872	1.20	CuoHuoO	180.20	(E)-4-(3-Hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxy phenol
Continue					
	Continue 26.230 26.295 26.586 27.039 27.150 28.150 28.445 28.842 29.635 29.765 29.881 30.489 31.030 31.183 31.375 31.473 31.668 31.815 33.748 33.884 34.119 34.448 34.572 40.844 42.312 43.256 43.518 43.604 43.868 45.567 46.356 46.577	Continue 26.230 161872 26.295 79363 26.586 596139 27.039 84877 27.150 99403 28.150 99996 28.445 99869 28.842 91849 29.635 151036 29.765 239021 29.881 127392 30.489 185436 31.030 85207 31.183 1082076 31.375 91673 31.473 106431 31.668 155847 31.815 131476 33.748 107560 33.884 131516 34.119 146411 34.448 270193 34.572 581517 40.844 130882 42.312 423240 43.256 419486 43.518 167797 43.604 200578 43.868 80635 45.567 1277363 </td <td>Continue 26.230 161872 1.20 26.295 79363 0.59 26.586 596139 4.44 27.039 84877 0.63 27.150 99403 0.74 28.150 99996 0.74 28.445 99869 0.74 28.842 91849 0.68 29.635 151036 1.12 29.765 239021 1.78 29.881 127392 0.95 30.489 185436 1.38 31.030 85207 0.63 31.183 1082076 8.05 31.375 91673 0.68 31.473 106431 0.79 31.668 155847 1.16 31.815 131476 0.98 33.748 107560 0.80 33.884 131516 0.98 34.119 146411 1.09 34.448 270193 2.01 34.572 581517 <t< td=""><td>Continue26.2301618721.20$C_{10}H_{12}O$26.295793630.59$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$26.5865961394.44$C_{15}H_{22}O$27.039848770.63$C_{14}H_{22}O$27.150994030.74$C_{27}H_{46}O_3$28.150999960.74$C_{13}H_{22}O$28.842918490.68$C_{14}H_{22}O$29.6351510361.12$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$29.6351510361.12$C_{15}H_{24}O$29.8811273920.95$C_{15}H_{24}O$30.4891854361.38$C_{17}H_{34}O$31.030852070.63$C_{16}H_{22}O_4$31.18310820768.05$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$31.4731064310.79$C_{12}H_{20}O$31.8151314760.98$C_{19}H_{34}O$33.8841315160.98$C_{19}H_{36}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{15}H_{26}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{15}H_{26}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{19}H_{36}O$34.5181677971.25$C_{22}H_{40}O$43.6042005781.49$C_{21}H_{40}O_4$43.6042005781.49$C_{21}H_{40}O_4$43.5652660701.98$C_{21}H_{36}O_3$46.577791520.59$C_{30}H_{48}O$</td><td>Continue 26.230 161872 1.20 $C_{10}H_{12}O$ 180.20 26.295 79363 0.59 $C_{13}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 26.586 596139 4.44 $C_{13}H_{22}O$ 206 27.150 99403 0.74 $C_{27}H_{46}O_3$ 418.65 28.150 99996 0.74 $C_{33}H_{56}$ 452.8 28.445 99869 0.74 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 28.842 91849 0.68 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 29.635 151036 1.12 $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 29.765 239021 1.78 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.030 85207 0.63 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.183 1082076 8.05 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.375 91673 0.68 $C_{19}H_{20}O_3$ 292.41 33.748 107560 0.80 $C_{19}H_{36}O_2$ 286.40 34.119 146411 1.09 $C_{18}H_{20}O_2$</td></t<></td>	Continue 26.230 161872 1.20 26.295 79363 0.59 26.586 596139 4.44 27.039 84877 0.63 27.150 99403 0.74 28.150 99996 0.74 28.445 99869 0.74 28.842 91849 0.68 29.635 151036 1.12 29.765 239021 1.78 29.881 127392 0.95 30.489 185436 1.38 31.030 85207 0.63 31.183 1082076 8.05 31.375 91673 0.68 31.473 106431 0.79 31.668 155847 1.16 31.815 131476 0.98 33.748 107560 0.80 33.884 131516 0.98 34.119 146411 1.09 34.448 270193 2.01 34.572 581517 <t< td=""><td>Continue26.2301618721.20$C_{10}H_{12}O$26.295793630.59$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$26.5865961394.44$C_{15}H_{22}O$27.039848770.63$C_{14}H_{22}O$27.150994030.74$C_{27}H_{46}O_3$28.150999960.74$C_{13}H_{22}O$28.842918490.68$C_{14}H_{22}O$29.6351510361.12$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$29.6351510361.12$C_{15}H_{24}O$29.8811273920.95$C_{15}H_{24}O$30.4891854361.38$C_{17}H_{34}O$31.030852070.63$C_{16}H_{22}O_4$31.18310820768.05$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$31.4731064310.79$C_{12}H_{20}O$31.8151314760.98$C_{19}H_{34}O$33.8841315160.98$C_{19}H_{36}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{15}H_{26}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{15}H_{26}O$34.5725815174.33$C_{19}H_{36}O$34.5181677971.25$C_{22}H_{40}O$43.6042005781.49$C_{21}H_{40}O_4$43.6042005781.49$C_{21}H_{40}O_4$43.5652660701.98$C_{21}H_{36}O_3$46.577791520.59$C_{30}H_{48}O$</td><td>Continue 26.230 161872 1.20 $C_{10}H_{12}O$ 180.20 26.295 79363 0.59 $C_{13}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 26.586 596139 4.44 $C_{13}H_{22}O$ 206 27.150 99403 0.74 $C_{27}H_{46}O_3$ 418.65 28.150 99996 0.74 $C_{33}H_{56}$ 452.8 28.445 99869 0.74 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 28.842 91849 0.68 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 29.635 151036 1.12 $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 29.765 239021 1.78 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.030 85207 0.63 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.183 1082076 8.05 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.375 91673 0.68 $C_{19}H_{20}O_3$ 292.41 33.748 107560 0.80 $C_{19}H_{36}O_2$ 286.40 34.119 146411 1.09 $C_{18}H_{20}O_2$</td></t<>	Continue26.2301618721.20 $C_{10}H_{12}O$ 26.295793630.59 $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ 26.5865961394.44 $C_{15}H_{22}O$ 27.039848770.63 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 27.150994030.74 $C_{27}H_{46}O_3$ 28.150999960.74 $C_{13}H_{22}O$ 28.842918490.68 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 29.6351510361.12 $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ 29.6351510361.12 $C_{15}H_{24}O$ 29.8811273920.95 $C_{15}H_{24}O$ 30.4891854361.38 $C_{17}H_{34}O$ 31.030852070.63 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 31.18310820768.05 $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ 31.4731064310.79 $C_{12}H_{20}O$ 31.8151314760.98 $C_{19}H_{34}O$ 33.8841315160.98 $C_{19}H_{36}O$ 34.5725815174.33 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 34.5725815174.33 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 34.5725815174.33 $C_{19}H_{36}O$ 34.5181677971.25 $C_{22}H_{40}O$ 43.6042005781.49 $C_{21}H_{40}O_4$ 43.6042005781.49 $C_{21}H_{40}O_4$ 43.5652660701.98 $C_{21}H_{36}O_3$ 46.577791520.59 $C_{30}H_{48}O$	Continue 26.230 161872 1.20 $C_{10}H_{12}O$ 180.20 26.295 79363 0.59 $C_{13}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 26.586 596139 4.44 $C_{13}H_{22}O$ 206 27.150 99403 0.74 $C_{27}H_{46}O_3$ 418.65 28.150 99996 0.74 $C_{33}H_{56}$ 452.8 28.445 99869 0.74 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 28.842 91849 0.68 $C_{14}H_{22}O$ 208.34 29.635 151036 1.12 $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ 238.36 29.765 239021 1.78 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.030 85207 0.63 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.183 1082076 8.05 $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ 278.34 31.375 91673 0.68 $C_{19}H_{20}O_3$ 292.41 33.748 107560 0.80 $C_{19}H_{36}O_2$ 286.40 34.119 146411 1.09 $C_{18}H_{20}O_2$

Separation and Isolation of β-Sitosterol by Chromatographic Methods:

The combined ethanolic extract of C. rotundus and T. cordifolia was subjected to preparative TLC chromatography. Through trial and error, the solvent system was identified. The silica gel plates were reactivated by heating them in an oven set to 100°C for 15 minutes, followed by 10 minutes of cooling. The mobile phase for phytosterols was n-hexane: ethyl acetate (70:30 v/v). The fractions with isolated chemicals (CT-1) were added to the baseline of TLC plates and subsequently further separated by TLC.The isolated compound CT-1 was compared with the standard β-sitosterol and detected on TLC plates by spraying with Libermann-Burchard reagent and heating at 100°C for 10 minutes. The R_f value of the isolated compound was found to be 0.50, which indicated the steroid group. Then, the isolated compound CT-1 was subjected to column chromatography and the column was first eluted with n-hexane, increasing the amount of ethyl acetate in n-hexane and finally with methanol, yielding a number of fractions. The fraction collected in the ethyl acetate-methanol (40:60) ratio shows positive results for terpenoids. Also, it showed a similar R_f value to the standard β-sitosterol and it was further taken for the purification process.

HPTLC Analysis of the β-sitosterol rich fraction (CT-1)



Figure 2 HPTLC chromatogram of 1 μ l to 5 μ l of standard β -sitosterol and 1 μ l to 5 μ l of isolated compound CT-1

HPTLC fingerprint patterns have been evaluated for the isolation of compounds from the ethanolic extracts of *C. rotundus* and *T. cordifolia*. The isolated compound was quantitated accurately using silica gel F₂₅₄ HPTLC pre-coated plates with the mobile phases toluene, ethyl acetate, and formic acid (5:4:1). The chambers are saturated with mobile phase for 60 min. The development time of the compound was 15 minutes. After development, the plate was air-dried for 5 minutes. To attain the greatest resolution and peak, the chromatographic conditions had previously been tuned. The peak area versus concentration (ng/spot) for each spot was plotted to create the calibration curve. The maximum R_f values of both the standard and the isolated compound CT-1 are 0.50 in figure 2. The isolated compound β -sitosterol and correlation curves for the isolated compound β = 626.017+16.922x and r = 0.92985 sdv = 2.80 and regression via area y = 8624.057 + 2202.518 X and r =0.99996, sdv =0.31 in figures 3 and 4.



Figure 3 HPTLC chromatogram images of standard β -sitosterol



Figure 4 HPTLC chromatogram images of β-sitosterol isolated compound CT-1

Characterization of isolated component of β-sitosterol:

UV visible of spectroscopy

A spectrophotometer is helpful in the study of photon spectroscopy within the UV-VIS range. The absorption in the visible range is directly influenced by the colour of the compound used. The isolated compound CT-1 β -sitosterol is a white powder with a MW of 414.7 g/mol and a melting point of 136°C, which corresponds to the molecular formula C₂₉H₅₀O. The UV λ_{max} value of compound β - sitosterol was 216 nm in Fig. 5.



Figure 5 UV spectra for β sitosterol isolated compound CT-1

FTIR spectra of isolated compound β-sitosterol

For characterizing and identifying chemicals or functional groups (chemical bonds) present in the combination of plant extracts, the FT-IR has proven to be an invaluable method. It is a quick, non-destructive method that requires little sample preparation. As a result of the bands seen in the infrared spectrum, it enables the qualitative identification of chemical molecules.

IR: (KBr) v_{max} : The IR absorption spectrum showed absorption peaks at 3433.72 cm-1 (O-H stretching), 2937.46 cm-1 and 2868.48 cm-1 (aliphatic C-H stretching), 1642.92 cm-1 (C=C absorption peak), and other absorption peaks includes 1463 cm-1 (CH2), 1379.54 cm-1 (OH def), 1058.75 cm-1 (cycloalkane) and 881.50 cm-1 in **Fig. 6**.



Figure 6 FTIR spectra for β sitosterol isolated compoundCT-1

Proton NMR of isolated compound β-sitosterol

H NMR (CDCl₃, 300MHz) has given signals at δ 3.506 (m, 1H of C3), 5.337 (t,1H of C6), 0.661 (dd, 2H of C23), 0.679 (dd, 2H of C22), 0.787 (d, 1H of C24), 0.800 (dd, 2H of C28), 0.811(d, 1H of C25), 0.827 (d, 1H of C20), 2.263&2.268 (dd, 2H of C7), 4.695 (dd, OH of C3), 0.898 (d,3H of C26&C27, J=6.6), 0.823 (d, 3H of C29, J= 6.4 H2), 0.789 (d, 3H of C19, J= 6.4), 1.670 (s, 3H of C18) and

0.990 (s, 3H of C21). The previous studies suggested that the ¹H NMR spectrum of the isolated compound β -sitosterol showed the presence of six methyl signals that appeared as two methyl signals at δ 0.78 (C19) and 1.67 (C18). Three methyl doublets at δ 0.99 (C21), 0.82(C29) and 0.89(C26 & C27) and a methyl triplet at δ 81 correspond to the methyl protons. The ¹H NMR spectrum of this substance also showed one olefinic proton at δ 5.33 in Fig.7. Its ¹H NMR spectrum showed a proton corresponding to the hydroxyl group which appeared as a multiplet at δ 4.695ppm (OH of C3).



Figure 7 ¹H NMR spectra for β sitosterol isolated compound CT-1 ¹³C NMR of isolated compound β -sitosterol

¹³**C** NMR (CDCl₃,100MHz) has given signal at 37.333(C-1), 31.971(C-2), 71.899(C-3), 42.398(C-4), 140.827(C-5), 121.802(C-6), 31.971(C-7), 31.722(C-8), 50.202(C-9), 36.586(C-10), 24.888 (C-11), 39.881(C-12), 42.369(C-13), 56.847(C-14), 24.388(C-15), 28.333(C-16), 56.847(C-17), 12.065(C-18), 19.486(C-19), 39.851(C-20), 21.161(C-21), 138.404(C-22), 129.337(C-23), 50.202(C-24), 36.586(C-25), 18.863(C-26), 19.192(C-27), 24.388(C-28), 11.941(C-29) in Fig. 8. The 13C NMR spectrum also showed twenty-nine carbon signals made up of six methyl, eleven methylene, nine methane and three quaternary carbon signals. The spectra showed signals of 140.827 ppm and 121.802 ppm, which are alkene double bonds. The values of 19.192 ppm and 1.941 ppm correspond to methyl carbon atoms.The signal at 71.899 ppm is the β-hydroxyl group attached to the carbon.



Figure 8¹³ C NMR spectra for β sitosterol isolated compound CT-1

Purification of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ sitosterol isolated compound by HPLC

The isolated compound CT-1 from the ethanolic extract of sample was purified by HPLC method. The retention time of β -sitosterol was about 3.045 and was shown by HPLC peak in Fig. 9.





Antioxidant activity of isolated compound β -sitosterol from plant extracts by DPPH method

The antioxidant activity of the isolated compound β -sitosterol showed 64.54 % of inhibitory activity at a concentration of 100µg/ml while ascorbic acid exhibited 82.72% at a concentration of 100µg/ml. The IC50 value for the isolated compound β -sitosterol is 44.33µg/ml (**Table 2**).

Table 2 In vitro antioxidant activity of the compound β -sitosterol using DPPH method

S.	Company	Scavenging Effect (%)			
No	Concentrations	Sample	Ascorbic acid		
1	20 (µg/ml)	43.63±0.92	48.18±1.40		
2	40 (µg/ml)	47.27±1.42	60±1.42		
3	$60 (\mu g/ml)$	55.45±1.55	70.9±1.38		
4	80 (µg/ml)	60.90±1.49	79.09±1.47		
5	100 (µg/ml)	64.54±1.38	82.72±1.33		
	IC50 Value	44.33	18.81		

In Vitro alpha amylase and alpha-glucosidase inhibitory assay

In this study, the *in vitro* alpha amylase inhibitory activities of the isolated compounds β -sitosterol from both plant extracts (ratio 1:1) were examined. The isolated compound showed the maximum inhibitory activity 62.21 ± 1.25 at a concentration of 100µg/ml (**Table 3**). Acarbose, a standard drug for alpha amylase inhibitors, showed inhibitory activity of 81.51 ± 1.25 at a concentration of 100µg/ml. The IC50 value for the isolated compound β -sitosterol was indicated as 45μ g/ml. This indicates that the isolated compound β -sitosterol is a very potent alpha amylase inhibitor in the management of diabetes mellitus.

The results of antidiabetic activity using an alpha-glucosidase inhibitory assay of the isolated compound β -sitosterol are shown in **Table 3**. The isolated compound β -sitosterol showed inhibitory activity of 65.48 ± 1.47 at a concentration of 100μ g/ml. Acarbose showed an inhibitory activity of 80.53 ± 1.37 at the same concentration of 100μ g/ml. The IC50 value for the isolated compound β -sitosterol was indicated as 61.11μ g/ml. A comparison of alpha-amylase inhibitory activity between the standard drugs has been depicted.

Table 3 *In vitro* antidiabetic activity of the β -sitosterol and standard drug acarbose against alpha-amylase and alpha-glucosidase

S. No	Concentrations	Alpha am Sample	ylase (%) Acarbose	Alpha-gluc Sample	Acarbose
1	20 (µg/ml)	45.37±1.24	50.42±1.42	31.85±1.20	52.32±1.33
2	40 (µg/ml)	59.73±1.47	61.34±1.47	41.59±1.35	61.94±1.42
3	60 (µg/ml)	57.94±1.37	67.22±1.37	56.44±1.24	69.91±1.50
4	80 (µg/ml)	69.30±1.35	73.1±1.25	72.4±1.42	78.10±1.47
5	100 (µg/ml)	73.21±1.25	81.51±1.34	75.48±1.47	80.53±1.37
	IC50 Value	25.94	14.82	46.02	10.55

Glucose uptake activity

In vitro glucose uptake activity of β -sitosterol in 3T3L1 cells was analysed at different concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 µg/ml) as shown in **Table 4**. The glucose uptake activity of isolated compound β -sitosterol was compared to that of the standard antidiabetic drug metformin (100 µg/ml). The glucose uptake was significantly increased in the metformin-treated cell lines (52%) over the untreated control group. However, β -sitosterol showed significantly increased glucose uptake compared to the standard drug metformin (28% at 100µg/ml) in a concentration-dependent manner.

 Table 4 Percentage of Glucose uptake

S. No	concentration (µg/ml)	Mean Value (%)
1	Control	100
2	Metformin (100 µg/ml)	52.54945
3	10 µg/ml)	18.36327
4	50 µg/ml)	25.99837
5	100 µg/ml)	28.23226
6	250 μg/ml)	30.97423
7	500µg/ml)	32.86984

DISCUSSION

Phytosterols, which are similar types of plant-based cholesterol, are essential in the therapeutics sector since they are more advantageous, less expensive, more accessible and without negative effects. β-sitosterol is one of the phytosterol compounds and has been shown to possess various pharmacological activities in many diverse applications. The current study aimed to isolate and characterize β sitosterol from the ethanolic extracts of C. rotundus and T. cordifolia and evaluate antidiabetic activity under in vitro condition. The combined ethanol extract of C.rotundus and T.cordifolia was subjected to column chromatography by using different mobile phase solutions. The CT-1 (white crystalline) compound was isolated. The isolated compound was identified by phytochemical analysis (Lieberman-Burchard test). The results of the phytochemical analysis indicated that the isolated compound may be a steroid or terpene. On HPTLC, the Rf values of both the standard and the isolated compound CT-1 are 0.50. Previous studies by Rajeshwari Prabha Lahare et al., (2019) reported that the Rf value of β-sitosterol in the leaves, stem and roots of Andrographis paniculata was visualised by the HPTLC method.

The isolated compound's structure was proposed to be β -sitosterol based on the melting point and other relevant information (UV, FTIR, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR). β -sitosterol is a white crystalline substance, and these absorption frequencies coincide with the absorption frequencies observed for β -sitosterol, according to data from **Arjun** *et al.*, (2010), **Sangwan** *et al.*, (2019).



The HPTLC Calibration curve and linearity of isolated compound CT-1 was analysed. The CT-1 regression equation and correlation curves values of plant extract was 0.28 and 0.31. Shahnaz sultana et al., 2017 reported that the methanol extract of Cyperus rotundus rhizomes were isolated and identified two steroidal esters such as lupenyl 3β-O-arabin pyranosyl 2'-oleate and β-sitosterol-3β-Oglucoside. Devprakash et al., 2011, Rand et al., 2018 showed that the phytoconstituents such as β-sitosterol, berberine, tinosporine, heptacosanol, palmatine and columbin has been reported from the stem part of T. cordifolia. The DPPH free radical scavenging activity assay is an essential for determining the antioxidant activity of plant extracts due to its comparatively quick analytical time. To prevent the detrimental impact of free radicals on several diseases, including cancer, diabetes and oxidative stress disorders, eliminating free radicals is essential. The results revealed that the isolated compound β-sitosterol had a significant free radical scavenging activity compared to the standard ascorbic acid. An earlier study reported that the presence of phenolic compounds and their redox characteristics, hydrogen donor capacity and singlet oxygen quenching are responsible for the plant extract's anti-oxidant action. Gupta et al., 2011, Arif Hussain Bhat et al., 2019, demonstrated that the β -sitosterol compound isolated from rhizomes of Arisaema utile showed DPPH free radical scavenging activities. The metabolic disorder known as diabetes mellitus is characterized by hyperglycemia and decreased insulin secretion or activity. The main contributing factors to diabetes mellitus are increased glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis, as well as decreased tissue glucose uptake. Oral diabetes medications cause weight gain, nausea, abdominal pain and diarrhoea in addition to a fast drop in blood sugar levels. Alpha-amylase and alpha-glucosidase inhibition are key therapeutic goals for the treatment of diabetes mellitus (DM). In this study, the in vitro alpha amylase inhibitory activity of the isolated compound β-sitosterol was examined. The IC50 value for the isolated compound β-sitosterol was denoted as 45µg/ml.The isolated compound showed the maximum inhibitory activity and indicates a potent alphaamylase inhibitor in the management of diabetes mellitus. Manigandan and Subramaniyan, 2021 suggested that the phytochemicals compound such as scirpusin A, Scirpusin B isolated from the rhizomes of C. rotundus showed antidiabetic inhibitory effect against both alpha amylase and alpha glucosidase activities under in vitro conditions. The alpha glucosidase-inhibited activity of the isolated compound \beta-sitosterol was determined and showed inhibitory activity at a concentration of 100µg/ml compared to the standard acarbose. The previous study Sunil Kumar et al., 2013 showed that the β -sitosterol compound isolated from the leaves of Dillenia indica and potential source for natural antidiabetic.

Cell lines are an important *in vitro* model system for providing diagnoses and identifying the effect of β -sitosterol. The 3T3-L1 cell line was selected for the current study because it exhibits relevant key junction pathways for glucose

homeostasis and lipid accumulation. One of the important target mechanisms to achieve glucose homeostasis is the assay of glucose uptake activity in the 3T3-L1 adipocyte cell line through the translocation of GLUT4 on the plasma membrane. This assay is thought to be an effective target for the development of effective medications for diabetes and obesity. In the current research, the glucose uptake activity of the isolated compound β -sitosterol from *C. rotundus and T. cordifolia* was determined *in vitro*. Hence, β -sitosterol significantly enhanced glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes comparable to the standard antidiabetic drug metformin. Metformin is a biguanide that improves the sensitivity of insulin by activating the AMP-activated protein kinase pathway (Lachin et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

Plants contain phytosterols, which are essential bioactive substances. Among the various kinds, β -Sitosterol is one of the most renowned substances, with a structure resembling that of cholesterol. The main function of an isolated organic compound is to maintain the membrane's fluidity. The present study demonstrates that β -sitosterol was isolated and characterised from a combined ethanolic extract of the rhizome part of *Cyperus rotundus* and the stem part of Tinospora *cordifolia*. As an isolated compound, it exhibited inhibitory activity against alpha amylase and alpha glucosidase and enhanced glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. The results indicated that an isolated compound is considered a potential drug and has promising antioxidant and antidiabetic effects. The therapeutic potential of β -Sitosterol could be utilized as a novel drug for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus by regulating postprandial hyperglycemia.

Acknowledgement: Nil

Funding: Nil Authors contributions: All authors have contributed equally. Conflicts of interests: Nil

REFERENCES

Abdel Nasser Singab, Fadia S Youssef, Mohemad L Ashor (2014). Medicinal Plants with Potential AntiDiabetic Activity and their Assessment. Medicinal & Aromatic Plants, 3(2) .doi.org/10.4172/2167-0412.1000151

Alsawalha M, Al-Subaei AM, Al-Jindan RY, Bolla SR, Sen D,Balakrishna JP (2019). Anti-diabetic activities of Dactylorhiza hatagirea leaf extract in 3T3-L1 cell line model. Pharmacognosy *Magazine*, 15: S212-7. DOI:<u>10.4103/pm.pm8_19</u> Arif Hussain Bhat, Aparna Alia, Ghulam Mustafa Rather, Bharty Kumar (2019). Isolation &Characterisation of B-sitosterol from the rhizomes of Arisaema utile and its Evaluation for Antioxidant Activity. International Journal of Scientific Research in Biological Sciences, 6. <u>doi.org/10.26438/ijsrbs/v6i2.111118</u>

Arjun P, Jha S, Murthy PN, Manik AS (2010). Isolation and Characterization of Stigmast-5-En3β-Ol (B - Sitosterol) From the Leaves of Hygrophila spinosa T. Anders. International Journal of Pharma Sciences and *Research*, 1(95-100) http://www.ijpsr.info/docs/IJPSR-10-01-02-03.pdf

Ashok D Chougale Varsha A Ghadyale, Shrimant N Panaskar, Akalpita U Arvindekar (2009). Alpha glucosidase inhibition by stem extract of *Tinospora cordifolia*. *Journal* of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry,24: 998-1001. DOI: 10.1080/14756360802565346

Balasubramanian S,Ganesh.D, Poonam Panchal, Mohammad Teimouri, Surya Narayana V. V. S (2014) GC-MS analysis of phytocomponents in the methanolic extract of Emblica officinalis Gaertn (Indian Gooseberry), *Journal* of *Chemical* and *Pharmaceutical Research*, 6(6):843-845.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330080377

Bailey CJ, Day C (1989). Traditional plants medicines as treatments for diabetes. Diabetes Care. 12:552- 6.

.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.12.8.553

Bhawya D, Anilakumar KR (2010). In Vitro Antioxidant Potency of *Tinospora* cordifolia (gulancha) in Sequential Extracts International Journal of *Pharmaceutical* and Biological Science Archive,1: 448-56 .doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2019.v12i5.31605

Chung-Hung C, Gek-Cheng N, Yusoff R (2012). A brief review on anti-diabetic plants: Global distribution, active ingredients, extraction techniques and acting mechanisms. *Pharmacognosy* Reviews, 6: 22-8. doi.org/10.4103/0973-7847.95854

Dayana Jeyaleela G, Rosaline Vimala J, Senthil S, Manjula K (2019). Isolation, Characterization, Molecular docking and In-vitro studies of Inhibitory effect on the Growth of Struvite crystal of Bioactive Principle Derived from *Melia dubia* leaf Extract. Asian Journal of Chemistry, 31: 2628-34 doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2019.22217

Dayana Jeyaleela G, Irudaya Monisha S, Rosaline Vimala J, Anitha Immaculate A (2017). Isolation of 2-Chlorobenzimidazole from Melia dubia Leaf Extract and its Structural Characterisation. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences,9: 67-72. <u>doi.org/10.22159/ijpps.2017v9i10.20573</u>

Devprakash SK, Subburaju T, Gurav S, Singh S (2011). Tinospora cordifolia: A review on its ethnobotany, phytochemical and pharmacological profile. Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4: 291-302..doi:.10.5530/srp.2018.1.14

Dhillon RS, Singh S, Kundra S, Basra AS (1993). Studies on the chemical composition and biological activity of essential oil from Cyperus rotundus Linn. Plant Growth Regulation,13: 89-93. doi.org/10.1007/BF00207597

Elavarasi S, Saravanan K, Renuka C. A Review on Medicinal Plants Used to treat Diabetes Mellitus. Int J Pharm Chem Biol Sci 2013; 3: 984-6. https://www.ijpcbs.com/articles/a-systematic-review-on-medicinal-plants-usedto-treat-diabetes-mellitus.pdf

Faheem Ahmad Mohd Ali, Prawez Alam (2010). New phytoconstituents from the stem bark of *Tinospora cordifolia* Miers. *Natural* Product Research, 24: 926-34. DOI:20.1001.1.25883623.2017.1.2.6.9

Grover JK, Yadav S, Vats V (2002). Medicinal plants of India with anti-diabetic potential. Journal of Ethnopharmacology,81:81-100. <u>doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(02)00059-4</u>

Gupta MB, Palit TK, Singh N, Bhargava KP (1971). Pharmacological studies to isolate the active constituents from Cyperus rotundus possessing antiinflammatory, anti-pyretic and analgesic activities. Indian Journal of Medical Research 59:76–82.

https://applications.emro.who.int/imemrf/Pak_J_Pharm_Sci/Pak_J_Pharm_Sci_2_014_27_6_2241_2246.pdf

Gupta R, Sharma AK, Dobhal MP, Sharma MC, Gupta RS (2011). Antidiabetic and antioxidant potential of β -sitosterol in streptozotocin-induced experimental hyperglycaemia. Journal of Diabetes 3: 29-37 DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1753-0407.2010.00107</u>

Habib MR, Nikkon F, Rahman M, Haque ME, Karim MR (2007). Isolation of stigmasterol and β sitosterol form methanolic extract of root of bark of Calotropis gigantean (Linn.). Pakistan Journal *of* Biological Sciences,10: 4174- 6. doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2007.4174.4176

Hong Hanh Thi Tran, Minh Chau Nguyen, Hoang Tram Le, Thi Luyen Nguyen, Thanh Binh Pham, Van Minh Chau (2014). Inhibitors Of A-Glucosidase And A-Amylase from *Cyperus rotundus*. Pharmaceutical Biology 52: 74-7.DOI: 10.3109/13880209.2013.814692

KirtiSinha, Mishra NP, Singh J, Khanuja SPS (2004). Tinospora cordifolia (Guduchi), a reservoir plant for therapeutic applications: A Review. Indian Journal *of* Traditional Knowledge 3: 257-70

https://nopr.niscpr.res.in/bitstream/123456789/9359/1/IJTK%203%283%29%202 57-270.pdf

Kishor Mazumder, Afia Nabila, Asma Aktar, and Asgar Farahnaky (2020). Bioactive Variability and In Vitro and In Vivo Antioxidant Activity of Unprocessed and Processed Flour of Nine Cultivars of Australian *lupin* Species: A Comprehensive Substantiation. Antioxidants (Basel), 9(4):282 doi: 10.3390/antiox9040282

Krishna Samariya, RenuSarin (2015). Quantitative Estimation of Phytosterols In Vivo And In Vitro From Cyperus Rotundus. World Journal of Pharmacy *and* Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4: 488-97: <u>http://www.wjpps.com/.../2637</u>

Mahabir D, Gulliford MC (1997). Use of medicinal plants for diabetes in Trinidad and Tobago. Pan American Journal of Public Health 1:174-9. https://scielosp.org/pdf/rpsp/1997.v1n3/174-179/en

Manigandan, Subramaniyan V (2021). Anti-Diabetic Activities of Isolated Compound B-Sitosterol From The Ethanolic Extract of Stem of Andrographis Echioides G. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences *and* Research, 12: 5125-33. DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.12(9) 5125-33

Modak M, Dixit P, Londhe J, Ghaskadbi S, Paul A, Devasagayam T (2007). Indian herbs and herbal drugs for the treatment of diabetes. Journal of Clinical Biochemistry *and* Nutrition, 40: 163-73 <u>doi.org/10.3164/jcbn.40.163</u>

Muhammad Aurang Zeb, Saadat Ullah Khan, Taj Ur Rahman, Muhammad Sajid, Shah Seloni (2017). Isolation and biological activity of β -sitosterol and stigmasterol from the roots of Indigo feraheterantha. Pharmacy & Pharmacology International Journal 5: 204-7. <u>http://medcraveonline.com/PPIJ/PPIJ-05-00139.pdf</u>

Patel MB, Mishra S (2011). Hypoglycemic activity of alkaloidal fraction of *Tinospora* cordifolia. Phytomedicine,18:1045-52 doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2011.05.006

Paniagua-Perez R, Madrigal Bujaidar E, Reyes Cadena S, Molina Jasso D, Gallaga JP, Silva Miranda A, Chamorro G (2005). Genotoxic and cytotoxic studies of βsitosterol and pteropodine in mouse. BioMed Research International, 3: 242-7. DOI: 10.1155/JBB.2005.242

Pierre LL, Moses MN (2015). Isolation and characterisation of stigmasterol and B - Sitosterol from Odontonemanstrictum (Acanthaceae).

Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceuticals and Biological Sciences 2(1), 88-96: doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3689.7365

Pradeep Singh, Ratan L Khosa, Garima Mishra, Keshri K Jha (2015). Antidiabetic activity of ethanolic extract of *Cyperus rotundus* rhizomes in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice. Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences, 7: 289–92. doi.org/10.4103%2F0975-7406.168028

Purva Bhishnurkar, Sujata S Deo, Farhin S Inam, Sami H Mahmood, Deeb Taher, Trimurti L Lambat (2020). Simultaneous determination of β -sitosterol and gallic acid in Nigella Sativa seeds using reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography. SN Applied Sciences, 2: 1873 DOI:<u>10.1007/s42452-020-03709-</u>

Rand A Azeez, Ibrahim S Abaas, Enas J Kadhim (2018). Isolation And Characterization of B-Sitosterol from Elaeagnus Angustifolia Cultivated In Iraq. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and clinical research 11: 442-6. .doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2018.v11i11.29030

Rakesh K Joshi, William N Setzer, Valdir F Da Veiga Junior (2015). Aromatic and Medicinal Plants with Anti-Diabetic Potential from India: A Review. American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products 2: 23. https://www.essencejournal.com/pdf/2015/vol2issue4/PartA/2-3-18-480.pdf

Rajeshwari Prabha Lahare, Anil Kumar (2019). HPTLC Based Screening of β-Sitosterol from Andrographis paniculata. Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, 18: 949-54. <u>https://neptjournal.com/upload-images/NL-69-31-(29)-B-3578.pdf</u>

Ridhi Joshi, Rishikesh Meena, Preeti Mishra, Vidya Patni (2020). HPTLC method for estimation and quantification of B-Sitosterol from In-Vivo and In-Vitro Samples of Merremia Aegyptia and Merremia Dissecta. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 13; 163-5. doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2020.v13i10.38983

Ripsin CM, Kang H, Urban RJ(2009). Management of blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus. American Family Physician,79: 29-36. https://www.aafp.org/pubs/afp/issues/2009/0101/p29.html

Saraf A, Samant A (2015). Development and validation of HPTLC -sitosterol in the leaves β method for quantification of biomarker of Achyranthes aspera Linn. Chemical Science, 4: 1121-30 DOI: doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2783.688

Sangwan S (2019). Isolation and Analytic Characterization of β -Sitosterol and GC-MS Analysis of Methanolic Leaves Extract of Pongamiapinnata (L.) pierre. Natural Products Chemistry and Research,6: 348.doi:10.4172/2329-6836.1000348 Shahnaz Sultana, Mohammed Ali, Showkat Rasool Mir (2017). Chemical Constituents from The Rhizomes of *Cyperus Rotundus* L. Open Plant Science Journal, 10: 82-91 doi:10.2174/1874294701710010082

Sunil Kumar, Vipin Kumar, Om Prakash (2013). Enzymes inhibition and antidiabetic effect of isolated constituents from Dilleniaindica. BioMed Research International, 382063.DOI: <u>10.1155/2013/382063</u>

Sharma SK, Singh AP(2011). Antimicrobial investigations on rhizomes of Cyperus rotundus Linn. Der Pharmacia Lettre ,3: 427-31 https://www.scholarsresearchlibrary.com/articles/antimicrobial-investigations-on-rhizomes-of-cyperus-rotundus-linn.pdf

Singh N, Kulshrestha VK, Gupta MB, Bhargava KP (1970). A pharmacological study of Cyperus rotundus. Indian Journal of Medical Research,58: 103-9 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/5462209/

Sivapalan SR (2013). Medicinal uses and pharmacological activities of Cyperus rotundus Linn - a review. International Journal of Scientific Research publications ,3: 1-8. <u>https://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0513/ijsrp-p17124.pdf</u>

Sivapalan SR, Jeyadevan P (2012). Physico-chemical and phyto-chemical study of rhizome of Cyperus rotundus Linn. International Journal of Pharmacology and Technology,1: 42-6. doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2036.2888

Spandana U, Ali SL, Nirmala T, Santhi M, Sipai Babu SD (20130. A Review on Tinospora cordifolia, International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research , 4: 61-8. <u>http://impactfactor.org/PDF/IJCPR/4/IJCPR, Vol4, Issue2, Article5.pdf</u>

Upadhaya AK, Kumar K, Kumar A, Mishra HS (2010). Tinospora cordifolia (Willd.) Hook.F. and Thoms. (Guduchi)-Validation of the Ayurvedic pharmacology through experimental and clinical studies. International Journal of Ayurveda Research 1: 112-21.<u>doi.org/10.4103/0974-7788.64405</u>

Vaibhav D Aher, Arunkumar Wahi (2010). Pharmacological Study of Tinospora cordifolia As an Immunomodulator. International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research, 2:

5254.https://innovareacademics.in/journal/ijcpr/Issues/Vol2Issue4/245.pdf

Xiangying Yu, Mingyue Zhao, Fei Liu, Shitong Zeng, Jun Hu (2013). Identification of 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-pyran-4-one as a strong antioxidant in glucose–histidine Maillard reaction products, Food research international;51:397-403.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.12.044

Yu J, Lei G, Cai L, Zou Y (2004). Chemical composition of C. rotundus extract. Phytochemistry, 65: 881-9. <u>https://www.imedpub.com/articles-pdfs/antioxidant-antimicrobial-evaluation-andgcms-analysis-of-cyperus-rotundus-lrhizomes-chloroform-fraction.pdf</u>

Zeb MA, Khan SU, Rahman TU (2017). Isolation and biological activity of β sitosterol and stigmasterol from the roots of Indigofera heterantha. Pharmacy & Pharmacology International Journal,5(5):204-207. DOI: 10.15406/ppij.2017.05.00139