

RAPD MARKERS ARE EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR THE DIFFERENTIATION OF COMMON AND TARTARY BUCKWHEAT GENOTYPES

Lucia Čišecká, Želmíra Balážová*, Zuzana Hromadová, Zdenka Gálová, Martin Vivodík, Milan Chňapek

Address(es): doc. Mgr. Želmíra Balážová, PhD.

¹ Slovak University of Agriculture, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Institute of biotechnology, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak republic.

*Corresponding author: zelmira.balazova@uniag.sk

ABSTRACT

https://doi.org/10.55251/jmbfs.10524

ARTICLE INFO

Received 25. 8. 2023 Revised 26. 4. 2024 Accepted 27. 5. 2024 Published 1. 8. 2024

Regular article

Common and tartary buckwheat are important cultivated species of the genus *Fagopyrum*. Genetic polymorphism of thirty-five genotypes of common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) was analyzed using 10 random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) primers. A total of 119 DNA fragments were amplified using ten RAPD primers with an average of 11.9 fragments per primer. The number of amplified fragments ranged from 6 (OPB-08) to 16 (SIGMA-D-01). PIC values ranged from 0.782 (OPC-08) to 0.919 (SIGMA-D-01) with an average of 0.871 per primer. The marker index (10.364) and diversity detecting index (2.961) were high and presented the utility of used marker technique. To evaluate the genetic relationships among buckwheat genotypes a phylogenetic tree based on UPGMA algorithm was constructed. The genotypes of common and tartary buckwheat were separated independently into cluster I and II. Cluster I separated 14 genotypes of tartary buckwheat into two subclusters (Ia, Ib). Genotype 903016, which originated in Pakistan, was separated individually into the subcluster Ia. Cluster II included genotypes of subcluster and was further subdivided into subcluster IIa and IIb. Two genotypes of subcluster IIb (Bamby and Hruszowska) were genetically the closest. Bamby and Hruszowska reflected the maximum similarity value (0.605) according to Jaccard's coefficient of similarity. Based on the presented data it is suggested that the RAPD technique is suitable for differentiation among genotypes of common and tartary buckwheat. RAPD primers have proven to be reliable and useful method for studying genetic variability of buckwheat genotypes.

Keywords: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA, polymorphism, Fagopyrum esculentum Moench, Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn., dendrogram, PCoA

INTRODUCTION

As the world population is expected to grow rapidly, studies dealing with the food crisis have become a hot topic of research (Usman et al., 2022). It is necessary to look for alternative types of crops with high productivity and a more complete nutritional profile compare to traditional cereals. Pseudocereals are highly rated attractive crops due to their nutritional and health-promoting properties (Ciudad-Mulero et al., 2019; Graziano et al., 2022). The pseudocereals contain important level of total fibre, minerals such as K, Mg, Ca, P and unsaturated fatty acids (Elsohaimy et al., 2015). The most analyzed and widely cultivated pseudocereals are quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa), amaranth (Amaranthus spp.) and buckwheat (Fagopyrum spp.). Buckwheat belongs to the family Polygonaceae and the genus Fagopyrum (Yasui et al., 2016). Buckwheat species, Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn. and Fagopyrum esculentum Moench are dicotyledonous diploid crops (2n = 2x = 16) (Yasui et al., 2016), are grown in China, India, and some European countries (Zhu, 2021). Buckwheats (Fagopyrum tataricum and Fagopyrum esculentum) cultivation, is more widespread than quinoa or amaranth cultivation. Buckwheat, in general, has been cultivated for centuries in many countries, both for its grains and leaves (Singh et al., 2020). Common buckwheat and tartary buckwheat domestication is located in the western Yunnan and Sichuan regions of China (Mushtaq et al., 2018; Hunt et al., 2017). F. esculentum is widespread in the temperate zones of the Northern hemisphere (Ohnishi, 1998). F. tataricum is mostly cultivated at high altitudes in the Himalayan region (Ohnishi, 2000). Campbell (1997) stated, that in terms of ecophysiology, F. tataricum has a higher frost tolerance than F. esculentum. Common and tartary buckwheat are widely recognized as a future crop with multiple uses, from restoring soil productivity to nutritional properties and supporting the livelihood security of the world's population (Babu et al., 2018). Buckwheats (Fagopyrum tataricum and *Fagopyrum esculentum*) can be used for the production of functional foods with a high content of starch and flavonoids and a low glycemic and insulin index (Stokić et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2016). In 2021, Russia, China, and Ukraine were the leading producers of buckwheat worldwide. Among the European Union states, Belarus belongs to the top ten countries with a production of 26,269 tons of buckwheat for year 2021 (FAOstat, 2023). Buckwheat is a minor crop in Slovakia. The development and use of molecular markers have significantly dealt with various aspects of molecular genetics, which forms the basis for the study of DNA polymorphisms (Cullis, 2002). The Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique based on polymerase chain reaction has been one of the most commonly used molecular techniques for DNA marker development in recent decades (Al-Samarai and Al-Kazaz, 2015). RAPD technology provides rapid and efficient screening for DNA polymorphism. The main advantage of RAPD is that it does not require any prior DNA sequencing. The origin of buckwheat has been studied using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers (Murai and Ohnishi 1996). Genetic distance among parental lines of common buckwheat was successfully calculated based on the RAPD markers and sequence tagged site (STS) by Li et al. (2021). Similarly, STS and RAPD markers were used to carry out genetic mapping of hybrids between variety of common buckwheat (F. esculentum Moench) and wild accession (F. esculentum var. homotropicum) by Pan and Chen, (2010). The diffusion routes of F. esculentum were investigated using phylogenetic trees based on the variability of RAPD markers (Murai and Ohnishi, 1996). The RAPD technique was used to analyze the genetic diversity of wild Fagopyrum cymosum Meisn (Bimb et al., 2001), to detect the geographic origins of tartary buckwheat (Sharma and Jana, 2002). RAPD analysis is widely used for various purposes such as genetic diversity analysis of agriculturally important crops (Al-Samarai and Al-Kazaz, 2015). For example, evaluation of molecular diversity using RAPD markers was used for differentiation of ricin (Vivodík et al., 2015), triticale (Vyhnánek and Bednář, 2006), for maize cultivars (Balážová et al., 2016). RAPD method was also used in the study of stability in explant cultures for the preservation of genotypes of broccoli (Pavlović et al., 2024). Another marker technique used for analyses of buckwheat was AFLP (the amplified fragment length polymorphism) marker system. Using the AFLP fingerprinting technique, Gupta et al. (2012) studied 195 accessions of tartary buckwheat for rutin content variations. Yasui et al. (2004) created the first highdensity buckwheat genetic map of F. esculentum and F. homotropicum using AFLP markers spanning the whole genome. Combinations of 20 primers generated 669 bands. Of the 669 bands, 462 were polymorphic. Twenty AFLP markers were used to analyze the genetic diversity of 165 accessions of tartary buckwheat. A previous study showed that geographical distribution is correlated with the genetic relationship of tartary buckwheat (Hou et al., 2009). The AFLP method is a simplified analysis of the genetics and breeding of buckwheat. AFLP and RAPD markers need to be converted to Sequence Characterized Amplified Regions (SCAR) markers because of low reliability. Therefore, another technique, which

do not need to be converted to the SCAR, were applied (Yasui, 2020). Higher reproducibility, high polymorphism information content, and stable co-dominance are important attributes of the simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker system (Mukhtar et al., 2021). Although the cost of SSR marker development is high, SSR markers have been developed for common and tartary buckwheat (Iwata, 2001; Hou et al., 2016). The SSR marker system was initially described by Iwata et al. (2005). Authors analyzed genetic diversity among Japanese common buckwheat cultivars using 5 SSR markers (Iwata et al., 2005). Low level of gene flow between wild and cultivated common buckwheat was demonstrated using SSR markers (Konishi and Ohnishi, 2006). Research on genetic diversity in the genus Fagopyrum was also supported by Ma et al. (2009) through the development of 136 SSR markers. Expressed sequence tag (EST) markers, which are important for gene mapping and marker-assisted selection (MAS) (Liu et al., 1999), together with SSR markers, represent significant advances in breeding and population genetics studies in buckwheat (Yasui, 2020). Based on EST sequences, 170 primers were designed by Hara et al. (2011). In the last decade, RAPD technique was replaced also by Start codon targeted (SCoT) technique, mainly because it is simple, novel, cost-effective, easy reproducible technique. SCoT markers have been applied for detection of diversity, sex determination, analyses of genetic relationships in many important crops but also in underutilized species, such as buckwheat (Rai, 2023). Balážová et al. (2018) proved SCoT markers as highly informative and effective tool for analyzation of genetic variation among 17 buckwheat varieties using 7 SCoT markers.

The aim of our study was to analyze genetic variability among twenty-one genotypes of *F. tataricum* Gaertn. and fourteen genotypes of *F. esculentum* Moench genotypes. Ten RAPD primers were suitable for differentiation among the genotypes and at the same moment to evaluate the effectiveness of the RAPD markers used for the separation of common and tartary buckwheat from each other.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

Thirty-five genotypes of common and tartary buckwheat were used for the genetic diversity analysis (Table 1). Twenty-one genotypes of *F. esculentum* Moench obtained from the Gene Bank in Piešťany (The Slovak Republic) and 14 genotypes of F. *tataricum* Gaertn. obtained from the Gene Bank in Prague (The Czech Republic) were used in our study.

DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was isolated from the fresh seedlings of buckwheat (10 days old) using the GeneJetTM Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). The concentration and quality of the DNA was assessed using a Biodrop (Biochrom, Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

RAPD analysis

Ten RAPD primers, chosen based on the literature, were applied for the genetic variability analysis of buckwheat genotypes (see Table 2, for the primer sequence). Amplification reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 μ L of the reaction mixture contained 100 ng of DNA, 12.5 μ l of Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and 10 pmol of primer. PCR reactions were run in a TProfessional Basic Thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany) programmed for 45 cycles of 1 min. each at 93.5 ° C, 2 min. at 36 ° C, 3 min. at 72 ° C for PCR. The final incubation temperature was 72 ° C for 7 min. PCRs was performed as described by **Bimb** *et al.* (2001).

Electrophoresis of DNA

The amplified fragments of DNA were separated on 1.5% agarose gels in 1x TBE buffer (Tris-borate-EDTA) at 100 V for 1 h. Agarose gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV light using UVP photoDoc-t® (Ultra-Violet Products Ltd., UK). The size of the amplified fragments was determined by comparison with the standard-length marker Quick-Load® Purple 2-Log DNA ladder (New England Biolabs, Inc).

Data analysis

A binary matrix was prepared from electrophoreograms based on the presence (1) and absence (0) of RAPD bands for each genotype. Binary data were evaluated with statistical software using the UPGMA construction method based on Jaccard's coefficient of similarity (Garcia-Vallvé *et al.*, 1999, http://genomes.urv.cat/UPGMA/).

Table 1 List	of analyzed Fagopyrum	genotypes					
Number	Accename	Genus	Species	Origin	Names of cultivars in PCoA plot		
1	Aiva	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	LVA	LVA1		
2	Ballada	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	RUS	RUS2		
3	Bamby	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	AUT	AUT3		
4	Bogatyr	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	RUS	RUS4		
5	Darina	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	SVN	SVN5		
6	Darja	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	SVN	SVN6		
7	Emka	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	POL	POL7		
8	Amurskaja	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	RUS	UNK8		
9	Kazanska	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	RUS	UNK9		
10	Hruszowska	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	POL	POL10		
11	Kasho-2	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	JPN	UNK11		
12	Kora	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	POL	POL12		
13	La Harpe	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	FRA	UNK13		
14	Pulawska	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	POL	POL14		
15	Pyra	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	CZE	CZE15		
16	Rana 60	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	SVN	SVN16		
17	Siva	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	SVN	SVN17		
18	St Jacut	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	FRA	FRA18		
19	Spacinska 1	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	SVK	SVK19		
20	Tohno Zairai	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	CAN	UNK20		
21	Winsor Royal	Fagopyrum	esculentum Moench	USA	UNK21		
22	PI 481644	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	BTN	BTN22		
23	PI 481671	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	BTN	BTN23		
24	903016	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	PAK	PAK24		
25	PI 451723	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	MEX	MEX25		
26	PI 476852	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	USA	USA26		
27	Weswod Ican	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	UNK	UNK27		
28	290	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	BTN	BTN28		
29	PI 427239	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	NEP	NEP29		
30	PI 481661	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	BTN	BTN30		
31	Jianzui	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	CHN	CHN31		
32	Liuqiao-3	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	CHN	CHN32		
33	Zhaoqiao-1	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	CHN	CHN33		
34	Jinqiao-2	Fagopyrum	tataricum Gaertn.	CHN	CHN34		
35	Sarasin a Ployes	Fagonvrum	tataricum Gaertn	USA	USA35		

Legend: SVN – Slovenia, SVK – Slovakia, POL - Poland, CZE – Czech Republic, AUT – Austria, BTN – Bhutan, CHN - China, RUS – Russia, LVA – Latvia, FRA - France, NEP – Nepal, USA – United States of America, PAK – Pakistan, MEX - Mexico, JPN – Japan, UNK - Unknown

Dendrogram was constructed using the iTOL program version 6.7.3, which is available online (Letunic and Bork, 2021, <u>https://itol.embl.de/</u>). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) plot was constructed using the free statistical program R project version 4.0.5. To determine the polymorphism of common and tartary buckwheat genotypes and used RAPD markers, PIC values (polymorphic information content) were calculated for each RAPD marker (Weber, 1990) according to the formula:

$$PIC = 1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2p_i^2 \cdot p_j^2$$

The utility and efficiency of the marker technique were evaluated by marker index (MI) and diversity detecting index (DDI) (Myśków *et al.*, 2010).

MI = PPPFG * PIC DDI = PIC * PPL/PAG

PPPFG – average number of polymorphic fragments per genotype PPL – number of polymorphic loci PAG – number of analyzed genotypes PIC – polymorphic information content

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

The RAPD markers are PCR based and widely used due to their simple experimental methodology and appropriateness for genetic screening of intraspecific and interspecific diversity (**Younis** *et al.*, **2020**).

The RAPD amplification was primary done using 25 random primers of which only 10 were scorable and could be used to detect polymorphism and for the differentiation of common and tartary buckwheat genotypes (Table 1).

The number of bands amplified by each primer varied from 6 (OPB-08) to 16 (SIGMA-D-01) with an average of 11.9 fragments per genotype (Table 2). Of the 119 DNA fragments generated all 119 were evaluated as polymorphic. RAPD profiles of buckwheat genotypes (OPD-08 marker) are shown in the figure 1 and

figure 2. The size of the PCR products ranged from 200 bp (OPA-02, OPE-01) to 3000 bp (SIGMA-D-01) (Table 2).

The polymorphic information content (PIC) values ranged from 0.782 (OPC-08) to 0.919 (SIGMA-D-01) with an average of 0.871 per primer. PIC values indicated a high level of polymorphism in the chosen RAPD primers. The utility of the marker technique was evaluated using marker index (MI) and diversity detecting index (DDI). The MI index for chosen RAPD markers was 10.364 and the DDI value was calculated 2.961. It presents high polymorphism and good possibility to differentiate chosen genotypes, respectively (Table 2).

Based on obtained data from the molecular analysis the UPGMA dendrogram was constructed. Two main clusters (I and II) were formed in the dendrogram (Figure 3). All fourteen genotypes of tartary buckwheat were grouped in cluster I. Cluster I was further subdivided into subclusters Ia and Ib. Genotype 24 (903016) was separated in the subcluster Ia. Remaining tartary buckwheat genotypes (13) were grouped in the subcluster Ib which was further subdivided to subclusters. Weswod Ican (27) and Zhaoqiao-1 (33) according to Jaccard's coefficient achieved the maximum distance value (0.830) and revealed as the furthest genotypes within the species *F. tataricum*.

Twenty-one genotypes of common buckwheat were separated into cluster II. Cluster II was subdivided into two subclusters (IIa, and IIb) (Figure 3). Two genotypes, Bamby (3) originated in Austria and genotype Hruszowska (10) originated in Poland, were grouped together into cluster IIb. They were evaluated as genetically the closest. Genotypes 3 and 10 also showed the maximum similarity value (0.605) according to Jaccard's coefficient of similarity. On the other hand, genetically the furthest were genotypes Aiva (1) and Rana 60 (16), which achieved the highest genetic distance value (0.891) based on Jaccard. Genotype Aiva (1) originating from Latvia and Darja (6) originating from Slovenia were clustered separately into subcluster IIa. The results of clustering of the common and tartary buckwheat genotypes in the dendrogram were comparable using the PCoA plot analysis. Fagopyrum esculentum and Fagopyrum tataricum genotypes were separated into two main groups in the constructed PCoA plot (Figure 4). We can conclude that the Fagopyrum tataricum genotypes (Table 1) were grouped in the red circle in the 1st and 4th quadrant and separated from the Fagopyrum esculentum genotypes (Table 1) included in the blue circle mainly in the $2n^{d}$ and 3^{rd} quadrant.

Table 2 Statistical characteristics of the RAPD markers used in the genotypes of Fagopyrum spp.

Primer	Sequence (5'3')	PIC	No. of polymorphic bands	% of polymorphism	MI	DDI	Molecular weight range (bp)
OPA-02	TGCCGAGCTG	0.910	15	100 %			200-2000
OPA-05	AGGGGTCTTG	0.897	15	100 %			400-2000
OPA-13	CAGCACCCAC	0.884	13	100 %			400-2000
OPB-08	GTCCACACGG	0.808	6	100 %			300-2000
OPC-08	TGGACCGGTG	0.782	7	100 %			400-1000
OPD-07	TTGGCACGGG	0.839	11	100 %			300-1000
OPD-08	GTGTGCCCCA	0.908	13	100 %			300-2000
OPE-01	CCCAAGGTCC	0.872	11	100 %			400-2500
OPE-07	AGATGCAGCC	0.889	12	100 %			200-2500
SIGMA-D-01	AAACGCCGCC	0.919	16	100 %			300-3000
Total			119	100 %	10.364	2.961	200-3000
Average		0.871	11.9				

Legend: PIC- polymorphic information content, MI- marker index, DDI- diversity detecting index



Figure 1 RAPD profiles of *F. tataricum* genotypes (OPD-08 marker) **Legend**: M is Quick-Load® Purple 2-Log DNA ladder, 22-35 are analyzed genotypes of tartary buckwheat (Table 1)



Figure 2 RAPD profiles of *F. esculentum* genotypes (OPD-08 marker) Legend: M is Quick-Load® Purple 2-Log DNA ladder, 1-21 are analyzed genotypes of common buckwheat (Table 1)

Discussion

Important properties of plants are effectively detected by molecular markers, through which plant breeding has become more successful and practical (**Luthar** *et al.*, **2021**). Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers are efficient in detecting polymorphisms at the DNA level (**Yin** *et al.*, **2022**).

The RAPD technique is simple, not expensive, and not labour intensive. However, reactions conditions must be optimized to obtain reproducible results (Luthar *et al.*, 2021). RAPD markers are used in phylogenetic studies of common buckwheat, despite their lack of reproducibility (Yasui, 2020).

Genetic diversity of twenty-one genotypes of Fagopyrum esculentum Moench and 14 genotypes of Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn. was evaluated using 10 RAPD markers and a total of 119 fragments with an average of 11.9 fragments per genotype were obtained in our study. All detected fragments were polymorphic. Similarly, Bimb et al. (2001) used RAPD markers to assess genetic diversity of Nepalese populations of Fagopyrum cymosum Meisn. (wild buckwheat), respectively. Totally 105 DNA bands were detected. The number of DNA fragments varied from 9 to 12. A total of 46 polymorphic bands were used for the statistical analyses. Pan and Chen (2010) used 19 RAPD primers for genetic mapping of common buckwheat. The RAPD primers produced 269 DNA fragments with an average number of 14.16 per primer. Out of total 269 DNA bands, 189 fragments were polymorphic (70.26 %). On the other hand, a lower level of polymorphism, in comparison with our results (100 %), was achieved by Tsuji and Ohnishi (1998) who studied phylogenetic relationships among landraces and natural populations of tartary buckwheat. Tsuji and Ohnishi (1998) used 40 RAPD primers. Among the 149 DNA bands detected in cultivated landraces, only twenty-four DNA were polymorphic (16.1%) compared to wild species of tartary buckwheat where out of 197 DNA fragments 105 fragments were polymorphic (53.3%). Sharma and Jana (2002) analyzed the genetic diversity among 52 landraces and cultivars of tartary buckwheat and one accession of its wild ancestor using RAPD primers. Out of 240 obtained fragments 87 bands were polymorphic (36.25 %.) The authors recorded the usability of the RAPD marker technique for characterization of buckwheat accessions (Sharma and Jana 2002; Luthar et al., 2021). Rout and Chrungo (2007) applied RAPD primers to study the genetic variations and phylogenetic relationships between accessions of Himalayan buckwheat (F. esculentum, F. tataricum, F. cymosum). They considered the RAPD marker system able to distinguish between accessions from the same as well as different species of the genus. The study of genetic diversity and relationships among wild and cultivated accessions of tartary buckwheat revealed by RAPD markers proved the usefulness of RAPD markers for assessing of genetic variation in F. tataricum germplasm collections (Kump and Javornik, 2002)

The sizes of the amplicons in our study ranged from 200 bp to 3kb. Comparable results were obtained in other studies [(Murai and Ohnishi (1996); Tsuji and Ohnishi (1998); Sharma and Jana (2002)]. In contrast, Pan and Chen (2010) detected molecular weights of DNA fragments in the range of 300-1500 bp.

The UPGMA dendrogram, constructed based on the binary matrix divided the analyzed genotypes into two main clusters. Cluster I included all genotypes of tartary buckwheat. Common buckwheat genotypes were grouped together into cluster II. Based on the obtained data we concluded that the applied RAPD markers were able to differentiate between our selected genotypes of tartary and common buckwheat at the interspecific and intraspecific diversity level. The results may be relevant to breeders for crossbreeding.

Sharma and Jana (2002) used UPGMA-based pairwise Jaccard's coefficient of similarity to analyze the relationships between genetically diverse accessions of tartary buckwheat. **Tsuji and Ohnishi (1998)** studied the relationships among 29 cultivated landraces, 17 wild subspecies and one weedy type of tartary buckwheat. Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method based on the genetic distance matrix. Similarly, the constructed dendrogram based on the RAPD method was shown to be a useful tool for differentiating among populations of tartary buckwheat.

UPGMA trees based on RAPD markers in the study by **Murai and Ohnishi (1996)** clearly grouped and show that the wild ancestor populations are distantly related to the cultivated land races of *Fagopyrum esculnetum* Moench. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the UPGMA and neighbor-joining method (**Murai and Ohnishi, 1996**). Hierarchical cluster analysis using the UPGMA algorithm based on RAPD markers has also been used for studies of other important crops such as *Glycine max* L. (**Vivodik et al., 2022**), *Zea mays* L. (**Balážová et al., 2016**; **Rahardja et al., 2023**), *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (**Hündürel et al., 2023**; **Hromadová et al., 2023**), *Solanum tuberosum* L. (**Islam et al., 2022**), *Oryza sativa* L. (**Settu et al., 2021**), *Brassica* species (**Raza et al., 2020**).



Figure 3 Dendrogram of 35 genotypes of common and tartary buckwheat based on 10 RAPD markers



Figure 1 PCoA plots of 35 genotypes of common and tartary buckwheat based on 10 RAPD markers. The red circle contains genotypes of *Fagopyrum tataricum* (Table 1), the red circle groups genotypes of *Fagopyrum esculentum* (Table 1).

PIC values were calculated based on the obtained data. PIC values ranged from 0.782 (OPC-08) to 0.919 (SIGMA-D-01). Similarly, Vivodík et al. (2015) who analyzed a set of 111 ricin genotypes using 13 RAPD primers calculated PIC values, which ranged from 0.491 (OPE-07) to 0.898 (SIGMA-D-01). Comparable results also observed Balážová et al. (2016) who evaluated molecular diversity of central European maize cultivars, Kuťka Hlozáková et al. (2016) studied genetic polymorphism in European wheat genotypes using RAPD markers, Petrovičová et al. (2015) assessed RAPD polymorphism in rye. In contrast, lower values of PIC ranged from 0.31 (OPA-1) to 0.47 (OPA-6) were calculated by Mallikarjuna et al. (2022) who used 15 RAPD markers for the analysis of molecular diversity of black gram (Vigna mungo). Efficacy of RAPD markers was evaluated using the MI index in addition to the PIC values. Higher MI value indicates that marker technique could detect the differences among genotypes. The MI index for chosen RAPD markers was 10.364. Lower values of marker index were obtained respectively for rye (Myśków et al., 2010), common bean (Sakhravi et al., 2023; Hromadová et al., 2023) and wheat (Khaled et al., 2015).

CONCLUSION

The evaluated data showed that the RAPD maker technique is an effective tool for the detection of polymorphism. Detected number of polymorphic fragments indicates the high genetic diversity among the studied buckwheat genotypes.

Chosen RAPD primers revealed the high level of polymorphism by achieving the PIC values higher than 0.6. The constructed dendrogram separated common and tartary buckwheat genotypes from each other to two main clusters which was confirmed using PCoA analysis. Our study demonstrates the suitability of RAPD primers for the differentiation among two species, common and tartary buckwheat, as well as the usefulness for differentiation within the species.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by VEGA project No. 1/0291/21(70%), KEGA project No. 026SPU-4/2021 (15%), and KEGA project No. 027SPU-4/2021 (15%).

REFERENCES

Al-Samarai, F. R., & Al-Kazaz, A. A. (2015). Molecular Markers: an Introduction and Applications. *European Journal of Molecular Biotechnology*, *9*(3), 118–130. https://doi.org/10.13187/ejmb.2015.9.118

Babu, S., Yadav, G. S., Singh, R., Avasthe, R. K., Das, A., Mohapatra, K. P., & Prakash, N. (2018). Production technology and multifarious uses of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum* spp.): A review. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, *63*(4), 415-427.

Balážová, Ž., Gálová, Z., Vivodík, M., Chňapek, M., & Hornyák Gregáňová, R. (2018). Molecular analysis of buckwheat using gene specific markers. *Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences*, 12(1), 546–552. https://doi.org/10.5219/954

Balážová, Z., Vivodk, M., & Galova, Z. (2016). Evaluation of molecular diversity of central European maize cultivars. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 28(2), 93. https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2015-05-204

Bimb, H.P.; Nakayama, H.; Fukuoka, S.; Ebana, K.; Nagamine, T. (2001). RAPD analysis for genetic variation in Nepalese populations of wild buckwheat (*Fagopyrum cymosum* Meisn.) with reference to in situ conservation. In *Proceedings of the National Workshop on Research and Development on Buckwheat*, Kathmandu, Nepal, 13–14 September 2001; 95–99.

Campbell, Clayton G. (1997). *Buckwheat. Fagopyrum esculentum Moench* (Vol. 19). Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gaters leben/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.

Ciudad-Mulero, M., Fernández-Ruiz, V., Matallana-González, M. C., & Morales, P. (2019). Dietary fiber sources and human benefits: The case study of cereal and pseudocereals. *Functional Food Ingredients from Plants*, 83–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2019.02.002

Cullis, C.A. (2002). The Use of DNA Polymorphisms in Genetic Mapping. In: Setlow, J.K. (eds) Genetic Engineering. Genetic Engineering: Principles and Methods, vol 24. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0721-5_8

Elsohaimy, S. A., Refaay, T. M., & Zaytoun, M. A. M. (2015). Physicochemical and functional properties of quinoa protein isolate. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 60(2), 297–305. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aoas.2015.10.007</u>

FAOSTAT. (n.d.). Www.fao.org. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home

Gao, J., Kreft, I., Chao, G., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Wang, L., Wang, P., Gao, X., & Feng, B. (2016). Tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) starch, a side product in functional food production, as a potential source of retrograded starch. *Food Chemistry*, 190, 552–558. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.122</u> Garcia-Vallvé, S., Palau, J., Romeu, A.(1999) Horizontal gene transfer in glycosyl hydrolases inferred from codon usage in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *16* (9),1125–1134, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026203</u>

Graziano, S., Agrimonti, C., Marmiroli, N., & Gulli, M. (2022). Utilisation and limitations of pseudocereals (quinoa, amaranth, and buckwheat) in food

production: A review. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 125, 154-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.04.007

Gupta, N., Sharma, S., Jai Chand Rana, & Rajinder Singh Chauhan. (2012). AFLP fingerprinting of tartary buckwheat accessions (*Fagopyrum tataricum*) displaying rutin content variation. *Fitoterapia*, 83(6), 1131–1137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2012.04.015

Hara, T., Iwata, H., Okuno, K., Matsui, K., & Ohsawa, R. (2011). QTL analysis of photoperiod sensitivity in common buckwheat by using markers for expressed sequence tags and photoperiod-sensitivity candidate genes. *Breeding Science*, 61(4), 394–404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.61.394</u>

Hou Ya-Jun, Zhang Zongwen, Bin, W., & Yanqin, L. (2009). Genetic diversity in tartary buckwheat revealed by AFLP analysis. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, *42*(12), 4166–4174. https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2009.12.006

Hou, S., Sun, Z., Linghu, B. *et al.* (2016) Genetic Diversity of Buckwheat Cultivars (*Fagopyrum tartaricum* Gaertn.) Assessed with SSR Markers Developed from Genome Survey Sequences. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 34, 233–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-015-0907-5

Hromadová, Z., Gálová, Z., Mikolášová, L., Balážová, Ž., Vivodík, M., & Chňapek, M. (2023). Efficiency of RAPD and SCoT Markers in the Genetic Diversity Assessment of the *Common Bean. Plants*, *12(15)*, 2763. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12152763

Hündürel, S., B., Poyraz, İ., & Atmaca, E. (2023). Türkiye'de yetiştirilen farklı fasulye (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotiplerinin genetik çeşitlilik analizi. *Biological Diversity and Conservation*. https://doi.org/10.46309/biodicon.2023.1187272

Hunt, H. V., Shang, X., & Jones, M. K. (2017). Buckwheat: a crop from outside the major Chinese domestication centres? A review of the archaeobotanical, palynological and genetic evidence. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-017-0649-4

Islam, M. S., Hoque, M. E., Alauddin, M., & Shamsuzzaman, M. (2022). Molecular characterization and diversity analysis of some local potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) genotypes of Bangladesh by RAPD markers. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 20(2), 43–55. https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v20i2.63469

Iwata, H. (2001). Genetic diversity of common buckwheat varieties in Japan based on microsatellite markers. In *Proc.* 8[^] *th intl. Symp. Buckwheat at Chunchon, Korea,* 2001.

Iwata, H., Imon, K., Tsumura, Y., & Ohsawa, R. (2005). Genetic diversity among Japanese indigenous common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) cultivars as determined from amplified fragment length polymorphism and simple sequence repeat markers and quantitative agronomic traits. *Genome*, 48(3), 367–377. https://doi.org/10.1139/g04-121

Khaled, A. G. A., Motawea, M. H., & Said, A. A. (2015). Identification of ISSR and RAPD markers linked to yield traits in bread wheat under normal and drought conditions. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, *13*(2), 243–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2015.05.001

Konishi, T. and Ohnishi, O. (2006) A linkage map for common buckwheat based on microsatellite and AFLP markers. *Fagopyrum* 23:1–6.

Kump, B., & Javornik, B. (2002). *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 49(6), 565–572. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021250300572

Kuťka Hlozáková, T., Gálová, Z., Gregová, E., Vivodík, M., Balážová, Ž. & Miháliková, D. (2016). RAPD analysis of the genetic polymorphism in European wheat genotypes. *Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences*, *10*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.5219/520

Letunic, I.; Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: An online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic AcidsRes. 2021, 49, W293–W296.

Li, H. Y., Zhang, Y. Z., Deng, L.Q., Shi1, T.X., Lv1, Q.Y. and Chen, Q.F. (2021). Evaluation of the relationship between heterosis and parental genetic distances based on RAPD and STS markers in common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* M.). *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, *31*(6), 1659-1668. https://doi.org/10.36899/japs.2021.6.0370

Liu, Z., Karsi, A., & Dunham, R. A. (1999). Development of Polymorphic EST Markers Suitable for Genetic Linkage Mapping of Catfish. *Marine Biotechnology*, *1*(5), 437–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00011800

Luthar, Z., Fabjan, P., & Mlinarič, K. (2021). Biotechnological Methods for Buckwheat Breeding. *Plants*, *10*(8), 1547. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081547</u> Ma, K.-H., Nam Soo Kim, Lee, G.-A., Lee, S.-Y., Lee, J., Yi, J.-Y., Park, Y.-J., Tae Yong Kim, Jae-Gyun Gwag, & Kwon, S.-J. (2009). Development of SSR markers for studies of diversity in the genus *Fagopyrum*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *119*(7), 1247–1254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1129-8</u>

Mallikarjuna1, G., Nagendra Rao, K., Srinivas, T., Ramesh, D. and M. Tushara. (2022). Molecular diversity analysis using RAPD markers in blackgram. *Scientist*, *1*(3),5040-5050.

Mukhtar, S., Bashir, Z., Rakeeb Ahmad Mir, & Sajad Majeed Zargar. (2021). Genomic Approaches for the Improvement and Conservation of Buckwheat. *Springer EBooks*, 167–180. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3876-3_7</u>

Murai, M., & Ohnishi, O. (1996). Population genetics of cultivated common buckwheat, *Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench. X. Diffusion routes revealed by RAPD markers. *Genes & Genetic Systems*, 71(4), 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1266/ggs.71.211 Mushtaq, A. (2018). Buck Wheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) - A Neglected Crop of High Altitude Cold Arid Regions of Ladakh: Biology and Nutritive Value. International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience, 6, 395-406. http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6001

Myśków, B., Milczarski, P., & Masojc, P. (2010). Comparison of RAPD, ISSR and SSR markers in assessing genetic diversity among rye*(Secale cereale L.) inbred lines. Plant Breeding and Seed Science, 62, 107-116. http://ojs.ihar.edu.pl/index.php/pbss/article/view/576

Ohnishi, O. (1998). Search for the wild ancestor of buckwheat III. The wild ancestor of cultivated common buckwheat, and of tartary buckwheat. Economic Botany, 52(2), 123–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02861199

Ohnishi, O. (2000). Tartary buckwheat. Fagopyrum, 17, 29-34.

Pan, S.-J., & Chen, Q.-F. (2010). Genetic mapping of common buckwheat using DNA, protein and morphological markers. Hereditas, 147(1), 27-33. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2009.02116.x

Pavlović, S., Damnjanović, J., Girek, Z., Belić, L., & Ugrinović, M. (2024). Induction of direct somatic embryogenesis and genetic stability of somatic embryo-derived plants of broccoli. Czech Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 60(1), 50-54. https://doi.org/10.17221/26/2023-CJGPB

Petrovičová, L., Gálová, Z., Balážová, Ž. Vivodík, M., Wójcik-Jagła, M., & Rapacz, M. (2015). Assessment of rapd polymorphism in rye (Secale cereale L.) genotypes. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences, 04(Special issue 2), 94-97. https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2015.4.special2.94-97

Rahardja, V. E., Pharmawati, M., & Gari, N. M. (2022). Analisis keragaman genetik varietas jagung (Zea mays L.) Hibrida menggunakan marka RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA). Simbiosis, 10(2), 223. https://doi.org/10.24843/jsimbiosis.2022.v10.i02.p09

Rai, M. K. (2023). Start codon targeted (SCoT) polymorphism marker in plant genome analysis: current status and prospects. Planta, 257(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-023-04067-6

Raza, A., Bakr Umer Farooq, A., Ahmad Khan, W., Iqbal, A., Çelik, S., Ali, M., & Sohail Ahmad Khan, R. (2020). Polymorphic information and genetic diversity in Brassica species revealed by RAPD markers. BIOCELL, 44(4), 769-776. https://doi.org/10.32604/biocell.2020.010207

Rout, A., & Chrungoo, N. K. (2007). Genetic variation and species relationships in Himalayan buckwheats as revealed by SDS PAGE of endosperm proteins extracted from single seeds and RAPD based DNA fingerprints. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 54(4), 767–777. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-006-9166-5 Sakhravi A. Dehdari M. & Fahliani R. A. (2023) Genetic relationships among common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L) genotypes using ISSR markers. Gene Reports, 32, 101797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2023.101797

Settu, R., Palanisamy, R., Balakrishnan, J., & Kannan, S. (2021). Genetic diversity analysis and metabolite profiling of pharmaceutical value Indigenous rice varieties of Tamil Nadu (Oryza sativa Linn.). Journal of University of Shanghai for Science Technology, 23, 447-464.

Sharma, T. R., & Jana, S. (2002). Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) variation in Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn. accessions from China and the 127, Himalayan region. Euphytica, 327-333. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020319404982

Singh, M., Malhotra, N., & Sharma, K. (2020). Buckwheat (Fagopyrum sp.) genetic resources: What can they contribute towards nutritional security of changing world? Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 67(7), 1639-1658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-020-00961-0

Stokić, E., Mandić, A., Sakač, M., Mišan, A., Pestorić, M., Šimurina, O., Jambrec, D., Jovanov, P., Nedeljković, N., Milovanović, I., & Sedej, I. (2015). Quality of buckwheat-enriched wheat bread and its antihyperlipidemic effect in statin treated patients. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 63(1), 556-561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.03.023

Tsuji, K., & Ohnishi, O. (1998). Phylogenetic relationships among cultivated landraces and natural populations of tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum) revealed by RAPD analysis. In Proc. 7th Intl. Symp. Buckwheat, 6, 41-49.

Usman, M., Patil, P. J., Mehmood, A., Rehman, A., Shah, H., Haider, J., Xu, K., Zhang, C., & Li, X. (2022). Comparative evaluation of pseudocereal peptides: A review of their nutritional contribution. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 122, 287–313. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.02.009</u> Vivodík, M., Balážová, Ž., Galova, Z., & Kuťka Hlozáková, T. (2015).

Differentiation of ricin using RAPD markers. Pak. J. Bot, 47(4), 1341-1345

Vivodík, M., Balážová, Z., Chňapek, M., Hromadová, Z., Mikolášová, L., & Gálová, Z. (2022). Molecular characterization and genetic diversity studie of soybean (Glycine max L.) cultivars using RAPD markers. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences, e9219. https://doi.org/10.55251/jmbfs.9219

Vyhnánek, T., & Bednář, J. (2006). Molecular markers of genetic variability in triticale varieties registered in the Czech Republic. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 54(5), 149-154.

Weber, J. L. (1990). Informativeness of human (dC-dA) n · (dG-dT) n polymorphisms. Genomics, 7(4), 524-530. https://doi.org/10.1016/0888-7543(90)90195-z

Yasui, Y. (2020). History of the progressive development of genetic marker systems for common buckwheat. Breeding Science, 70(1), 13-18. https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.19075

Yasui, Y., Hirakawa, H., Ueno, M., Matsui, K., Katsube-Tanaka, T., Yang, S. J., Aii, J., Sato, S., & Mori, M. (2016). Assembly of the draft genome of buckwheat and its applications in identifying ergonomically useful genes. DNA Research, 23(3), 215-224. https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsw012

Yasui, Y., Wang, Y., Ohmi Ohnishi, & Campbell, C. G. (2004). Amplified fragment length polymorphism linkage analysis of common buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) and its wild self-pollinated relative Fagopyrum homotropicum. Genome, 47(2), 345-351. https://doi.org/10.1139/g03-126

Yin, D., Xu, Z., Feng, M., Zhao, Z., Chen, D., & Song, L. (2022). Genotoxicity Evaluation of Metformin in Freshwater Planarian Dugesia japonica by the Comet Assay and RAPD Analysis. BioMed Research International, 2022, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2822605

Younis, A., Ramzan, F., Ramzan, Y., Zulfiqar, F., Ahsan, M., & Lim, K. B. (2020). Molecular Markers Improve Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Crops: A Review. Plants, 9(10), 1374. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9101374

Zhu, F. (2021). Buckwheat proteins and peptides: Biological functions and food applications. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 110, 155-167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.01.081