

ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF TWO *STREPTOMYCES* **SPECIES ISOLATED FROM EGYPTIAN SOIL AGAINST SOME PHYTOPATHOGENIC FUNGI**

Amira A. El-Fallal, Mohamed. I. Abou- Dobara , Ahmed K. A. El-Sayed, Enas Shaban and Mohamed M. A. Mousa*

Address(es):

Damietta University, Faculty of Science, Department of Botany and Microbiology, New Damietta, Egypt.

*Corresponding author: aboudobara@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.55251/jmbfs.10665>

INTRODUCTION

According to **Strange and Scott (2005)**, plant infections are a significant issue for plant cultivation and are to blame for 10% of the world's agricultural production loss. Typically, chemical fungicides are used to eradicate fungi, which are among the most destructive plant infections. An alternate, ecologically benign method of managing phytopathogens is provided by biological control **(Kishore et al., 2005; Prapagdee et al., 2008; Sharma et al., 2011)**. Both host-specific infections and microbial antagonists are used to reduce plant diseases and manage weed populations. The biological control agent (BCA) is the organism that inhibits the pathogen **(Vurukonda et al., 2018)**. In a broader sense, the use of naturally occurring compounds that have been isolated or fermented from diverse sources has also been referred to as biological control. Numerous microbial antagonists have been studied as possible plant disease biocontrol agents that combat fungal infections. Actinomycetes are widely recognized as biocontrol agents that suppress or lyse a variety of soil-borne and airborne plant pathogenic fungus. This is notably true of species from the genus *Streptomyces* **(El-Tarabily, 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008)**. The only member of the family Streptomycetaceae (Phylum: Actinobacteria, Order: Actinomycetales) is the genus *Streptomyces*, which contains more than 500 species of Gram-positive, filamentous bacteria **(Anderson and Wellington, 2001)**. They are extensively found in the rhizosphere and soil, accounting for around 40% of soil bacteria **(Vetsigian et al., 2011; Viaene et al., 2016)**. Streptomycetes are extensively found in soil, water, and other natural settings **(Seong et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2006)**. A variety of physical, chemical, and biological factors influence the population of the spores within an ecosystem **(Kharat et al., 2009)**. According to **Wang et al. (1999)**, the identification of novel ecological systems is consequently essential for the discovery of novel Streptomycetes. *Streptomyces* spp. are the many microbial populations in dry, alkaline soil that, due to their filamentous shape, give the soil strength and shield it from erosion by wind and rain **(Vetsigian et al., 2011)**.

The present study reported on the isolation, characterisation, and inhibitory activities of local isolates of *Streptomyces* that were tested against several phytopathogenic fungi.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Phytopathogenic fungal strains

Tested phytopathogenic fungi, *Alternaria alternata* Fr. Keissler, *Penicillium italicum* CECT 2294, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* Fol4287, *F. solani* DSM 62413, *Rhizoctonia solani* (JG Kühn) AG4, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.)

de Bary, *Aspergillus niger van Tiegh niger*, *A. fumigatus Fresenius*, *A. flavus Link ex Fries group*, *A. nidulans* NK002, *A. terreus* ATCC 20542, *Trichoderma harzianum* T-203 and *Rhizopus stolonifer* NBRC 30816 were obtained from Microbiology laboratory, Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, Egypt. *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid. was obtained from Plant Diseases Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt. The phytopathogenic fungal strains were grown on potatodextrose agar medium at 30°C for five days for further work.

Methods

Isolation and purification of *Streptomyces*

Random soil samples were taken from a few locations inside the Egyptian governorate of Damietta. Soil samples were taken using a shovel and put in fresh polythene bags. The methodology used for sampling followed **Johnson et al. (1959)** and **Muratova et al. (2003)** methods. To isolate *Streptomyces*, the dilution agar plating method as reported by **Johnson et al.** in **1959** was employed. Eighteen milliliters of sterile distilled water were mixed with two grams of soil samples under aseptic conditions. After vortexing the suspension, sterile distilled water was added to dilute it. 150µl aliquots of each dilution were distributed on the surface of every starch-nitrate medium in turn. For seven days, the plates were incubated at 28°C. The formed single colonies of *Streptomyces*, which varied in size and color, were removed from the incubation time and streaked on brand-new starchnitrate agar plates to purify them. For future usage, the refined *Streptomyces* isolates were routinely subcultured and kept on starch-nitrate agar slants at 4°C.

Detection of antifungal activities from *Streptomyces species*

Agar well diffusion method

The obtained *Streptomyces* isolates were coded as O5 and M7. The O5 isolate was grown in conical flasks containing starch-nitrate broth medium **(Waksman, 1959)**. The pH of the media was adjusted to 7.2 ± 0.2 using NaOH and HCl (1M). While M7 was grown in conical flasks containing glycerol-yeast extract medium and pH was 7.0 **(Waksman, 1961**). Flasks were inoculated using spore suspension $(1 \times$ 10⁶ cell/ml) and incubated at 30°C with shaking at 150 rpm for 5 to 7 days. Subsequently, the cultures were gathered and centrifuged for 20 minutes at 4000 rpm. The supernatants were then promptly transferred and filtered through a Millipore filter (0.45µm) to get a supernatant free of cells. To investigate antifungal activity, 100–300 µl of the supernatant were evaluated in an agar diffusion assay against all identified phytopathogenic fungi **(Rabah et al., 2007; Atta et al., 2009)**. All the antifungal activities were assayed in triplicate.

Classical identification and Characterization of the most active actinomycetes

In order to examine the cultural characteristics of the experimental isolates, the isolates were cultured on a variety of media, including CM-I agar, Oatmeal agar, Glycerol-Yeast Extract Agar, Glycerol-Yeast Extract Agar, Starch-Casein Agar, Czapek-dox Agar, and starch-nitrate Agar. Based on Waksman (1961) and Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology **(Goodfellow et al., 2012)**, the most active isolates, O5 and M7, were identified. Additionally, their morphological, physiological, biochemical, and cultural characteristics were considered.

Morphological characteristics

The morphology of spore chains

For microscopical observation, the colonies of isolates were cultivated on starchnitrate **(Waksman, 1959)** and glycerol-yeast extract agar media **(Waksman, 1961)** by simple "Inclined cover slip culture technique" **(Gibbs and Shapton, 1968)**. The coverslip was carefully withdrawn and placed directly onto light microscopy using a magnification of range of 200-400X. Microphotographs range were produced using a Karl-Zeiss-Jena microscope.

Electron-microscopy of spores

Samples for electron microscopy were prepared using the spore print technique. Without further treatment, sporulating starch nitrate and glycerol-yeast extract cultures were detected using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with colloidal film grids gently placed over the surfaces **(El-Zahed et al., 2021)**. First, the grids were vacuum-shadowed with chromium for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) at 100 kV (JEOL-100 CX electron microscope). Actinomycetes mycelia and spores were examined for size and shape using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) operating at 15 kV (JEM-1400 Plus unit, Alexandria University).

16S rDNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Genomic DNA extraction

The highly active isolates O5 and M7 had their bacterial genomic DNA extracted using a modified version of the phenol/chloroform procedure **(Ausubel et al., 2003)**. A 1.5 ml overnight culture was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 6,500 rpm. After breaking down the pellet and denaturing the cells with liquid nitrogen to extract DNA, the samples were combined and added to 0.8 ml to 1 ml of SET buffer (20% sucrose, 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.6, 50 mM ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA). After adding 50μL of 10% Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), the mixture was incubated for 60 minutes at 70°C with 15-minute interval vortexing. Introduced 50μL–100μL NaCl (5M) after incubation. 500 μL of a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol solution (25:24:1) was used to extract DNA, which was then precipitated with isopropanol and cleaned with 75% cold ethanol. The DNA was then suspended in 1/10 TE buffer and kept at -20°C until it was needed for DNA sequencing.

Agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA detection

Using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis dissolved in TAE buffer pH 8.0 (0.04 M Tris-acetate and 0.001 M EDTA), the genomic DNA was analyzed. To the DNA staining, 2μL of ethidium bromide (10 mg/mL) was added. Typically, the sample was mixed with 2 μL of loading buffer (0.25% bromophenol blue, 70% glycerol, and 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0). A UV transilluminator was used to visualize the DNA on the gel.

DNA sequencing

Prokaryotic universal primer pairs (27F: 5'AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG3' and 1492R: 5'TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT3') were utilized to amplify the 16S rRNA gene **(Ausubel et al., 2003)**. Using the same earlier primers, Macrogen Co., Korea sequenced the amplified PCR result.

Alignment and phylogenetic analyses

The generated 16S rDNA sequence was sent to BLAST **(Altschul et al., 1990 and 1997)** to find the best similarities with other related sequences in the database. The NCBI GenBank provided the strongest DNA sequence similarities with the 16S rDNA region, which were then aligned using CLUSTAL Omega **(Sievers et al., 2011)**. Sequences belonging to the same species and unidentifiable organisms were removed, and unalienable areas were manually excluded. Lastly, MEGA version 4 was used to visualize and interpret phylogenetic tree analyses **(Tamura et al., 2007)**. We used maximum composite likelihood approaches for neighbor-joining. According to **Tamura et al. (2007)**, only values 20 or above were taken into account and displayed next to the branches of the phylogenetic tree with confidence levels determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates. **Statistical analysis**

The Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 22. One-way analysis of variance ANOVA was done followed by the Tuckey' test in order to determine the significant difference between means. All the data were presented as mean \pm SE and differences were regarded as statistically significant when $p < 0.05$ **(Aldrich, 2018)**.

RESULTS

Isolation, cultivation, and primary characterization of the actinomycetes

Fourteen actinomycetes species were isolated from the soils of the Damietta Governorate, Egypt. Nine isolates had white color and five isolates were grey to light grey color. All the isolates had a powdery appearance on starch-nitrate agar media except M7 had a leathery appearance (Table 1). All actinomycetes isolates were grown on starch-nitrate agar medium at 28° C \pm 2 except one isolate M7 could grow only on glycerol-yeast agar medium at 35° C \pm 2. Identification of actinomycetes was made on the basis of cultural, morphological, physiological, biochemical, and DNA properties.

Antifungal activity of selected actinomycetes against tested phytopathogenic fungi

Screening for the ability of the isolated actinomycetes to produce antifungal metabolites was carried out using a plate detection system. These fourteen actinomycetes were tested against some phytopathogenic fungi (*P. italicum*, *M. phaseolina*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *A. alternata*, *R. solani*., *S. sclerotiorum*, *A. niger*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. flavus*, *A. nidulans*, *A. terreus*, *T. harzianum* and *R. stolonifera*) and showed antifungal activity as indicated by inhibition zones (Table 2 & Figure 1). The effectiveness of different isolates of actinomycetes against tested phytopathogenic fungi varied widely as shown in Table 2. Some of these isolates showed antifungal activity against most of the tested fungi. In primary

screening, there were some of the tested actinomycetes could inhibit the growth of some phytopathogenic fungi. Isolates coded O5, Gnl1, M7 and 8f have the highest antifungal activity against most tested pathogenic fungi in different degrees. The isolates O5 could inhibit the growth of some tested fungi, the strongest inhibition zones were obtained against *A. alternata* and *M. phaseolina* (3.5±0.04 cm and 3.23±0.03 cm, respectively) and could moderately inhibit the growth of *P. italicum*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *T. harzianum* and *R. solani* (1.96±0.02 cm, 2.0 ± 0.05 cm, 1.8 ± 0.03 cm, 2 ± 0.04 cm and 1.56 ± 0.03 cm, respectively). Isolate O5 had no antifungal activity against other tested phytopathogenic fungi. The isolate La5 had a moderate antifungal activity against *F. oxysporum* and *F. solani* (2±0.05 cm and 1.8±0.03 cm, respectively) and weak antifungal activity against *A. alternata* (1.5±0.03 cm). The isolate Gnl1 had moderate antifungal activity against

P. italicum (1.4±0.05 cm), *S. sclerotiorum* (1.23±0.01 cm), *A. alternata* (1.36±0.05 cm) and *M. phaseolina* (1.66±0.03 cm) while isolate Gnk3 showed little antifungal activity against only *P. italicum* (1.16±0.06 cm). The isolate M7 had the highest antifungal activity against *M. phaseolina* and *A. alternata* (3.43±0.01 cm and 3.63±0.02 cm) respectively. It also could inhibit the growth of *P. italicum*, *F. oxysporum, F. solani* (2 \pm 0.03 cm, 1.73 \pm 0.03 cm and 1.86 \pm 0.06 cm, respectively). Isolate 8F, had moderate antifungal activity against *M. phaseolina* (2.43±0.01 cm) and *A. alternata* (2.7±0.05 cm) and weak antifungal activity against *P. italicum* and *R. solani*. The isolate O6 showed little antifungal activity against only *A*. *alternata* and the isolate Ma11 showed moderate antifungal activity against *M.*

phaseolina only. Other tested actinomycetes isolates had no antifungal activity against tested phytopathogenic fungi. Finally, from these results isolates O5, Gnl1, 8F and M7 have shown good antifungal activity as indicated by inhibition zones against phytopathogenic fungi (*P. italicum*., *M. phaseolina*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *A. alternata*, *S. sclerotiorum* and *R. solani*. The most affected phytopathogenic fungi by actinomycetes isolates are *M. phaseolina* and *A. alternata*. So, we selected the actinomycetes isolates O5 and M7 for further work against *M. phaseolina* and *A. alternata*.

Table 2 Effect of actinomycete isolates on growth of tested phytopathogenic fungi. Values are the diameter of the inhibition zone (cm). Each value is the mean of three replicates±SE.

Tested Fungi	Isolated actinomycetes													
	O ₅	La5	Gn11	Gnk3	O ₆	M ₇	8F	9 _B	Ma11	7F	E2	6F	N ₄	N ₆
A. alternata	3.5 ± 0.04	1.5 ± 0.04	1.36 ± 0.05	۰	1.33 ± 0.01	3.63 ± 0.02	2.7 ± 0.05							
A. flavus	۰			۰		$\overline{}$								
A. fumigatus	\sim	۰	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	۰	$\overline{}$					
A. nidulans	۰		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	۰	$\overline{}$					
A. terreus	۰				$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$								
A.niger	٠	۰				$\overline{}$								
F. oxysporum	2 ± 0.05	1.86 ± 0.03	$\overline{}$	۰.	$\overline{}$	$1.73 \pm .03$	1.46 ± 0.06	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$					
F. solani	1.8 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.02	٠		$\overline{}$	1.86 ± 0.06	1.66 ± 0.07	$\overline{}$						
M. phaseolina	3.23 ± 0.03		1.66 ± 0.03	۰		3.43 ± 0.01	3.43 ± 0.05	$\overline{}$	1.86 ± 0.03					
P. italicum	1.96 ± 0.02	٠	1.4 ± 0.05	1.16 ± 0.06	$\overline{}$	2 ± 0.03	1.26 ± 0.02	$\overline{}$						
R. solani	1.56 ± 0.03	٠		-	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	1.6 ± 0.05	٠	$\overline{}$					
R. stolonifera	\sim													
S. sclerotiorum	۰	۰	1.23 ± 0.01	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	۰	$\overline{}$					
T. harzianum	2 ± 0.04	٠	$\overline{}$	۰	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	۰						

Figure 1 Effect of some actinomycete isolates on growth of some tested phytopathogenic fungi.

Biological characteristics and identification of the isolates O5 and M7

The spore chain morphology of isolates O5 and M7 were straight to flexuous sporophore, as indicated by electron microscope and light microscope (Figure 2, A & B). Spore surface of isolate O5 was smooth with a diameter of 466.6 X 838.63 nm while the isolate M7 spore surface was smooth with a diameter of 688.38 X 488.50 nm (Figure 2, C & D). Tables 3-6 displayed the morphological, cultural, and some physiological characteristics of the isolates O5 and M7, as well as the cultural attributes of 7-day-old cultures on various media and the use of various carbon and nitrogen sources.

D Isolate O5

ID Isolate M7

Figure 2 Characterization of the isolates O5 and M7. (A) SEM of sporophore. (B) light microscope of sporophore. (C) & (D) TEM of spore surface.

Table 3 The cultural characteristics of isolate O5 cultures that are 7 days old on various mediums

Tabel 4 The cultural properties of 7 days old cultures of isolate M7 on different media

Table 5 Utilization of different carbon sources during the growth of tested isolates

Phylogenetic analysis

The morphological, molecular, and physiological studies of isolate O5 and M7 indicated that these strains should be classified in the genus *Streptomyces*, after following the information provided in Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology **(Goodfellow et al., 2012)**. The DNA of the isolates was prepared, and purified and then the region of 16S rRNA was amplified using either universal primers or specific-specific primers. The nucleotide sequence was analyzed with the previously recorded sequences using BLASTN analysis and compared for homology to known sequences currently available in the NCBI database and the most significant alignment isillustrated. BLASTN analysis of isolate O5, indicates

that the experimental isolate 16S rRNA sequences showed from 99.9 % to 100% sequence homology to different *Streptomyces* strains and clustered in a way with *Streptomyces flavovirens* with dissimilarity reached 1.5%. The M7 experimental isolate 16S rRNA sequences exhibited close identity and reached 99.7 % with different *Streptomyces* strains and clustered a way with *Streptomyces gougeroti* with dissimilarity reached to 1.0%. The main morphological, physiological and molecular properties of isolate O5 and M7 indicate that they are more related to *Streptomyces flavovirens* and *S. gougerotii* respectively. The strains code, accession numbers, and homology percentages are represented in Tables 7 & 8. The sequences were also subjected to phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenetic trees were constructed to illustrate the relation between strains in Figures 3 & 4.

Table 7 Alignment identity % of *Streptomyces* sp. isolate O5 with the other related strains found on the NCBI database.

Figure 3 Phylogenetic tree of *Streptomyces* O5 with some other related based on *Streptomyces* species based on 16S rRNA sequence alignment.

Figure 4 Phylogenetic tree of *Streptomyces* M7 with some other related based on *Streptomyces* species based on 16S rRNA sequence alignment.

DISCUSSION

An appealing and environmentally friendly substitute for chemical pesticides is the employment of antagonist microorganisms against fungal plant diseases **(Evangelista-Martínez, 2014)**. The inhibition of a harmful organism's activity by one or more other species is known as biological control. Biological control offers numerous environmental benefits, such as reduced pesticide residues in food, safety for humans and other non-target organisms, increased activity of most other natural enemies, and increased biodiversity in managed ecosystems **(Ouda, 2014)**. Because of their propensity to synthesize a variety of secondary metabolites with unique structures and exceptional biological activity, actinomycetes, especially *Streptomyces* spp., become an invaluable biological control resource **(Pérez Rojas et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019)**. The antifungal activity of *Streptomyces* species against a variety of pathogenic fungi was assessed. During vegetative growth, these particular infections reduce crop yields in a number of economically significant crops. Bio-fungicides that employ *Streptomyces* sp. to suppress infections have frequently replaced synthetic fungicides **(Bressan, 2003; Errakhi et al., 2007; Hassan et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014)**. Beneficial soil bacteria called *Streptomyces* spp. have the potential to be used as biocontrol agents **(Soares et al., 2006)**. Species of *Streptomyces* are commonly employed as BCAs to manage soil-borne illnesses due to their antifungal properties **(Lee et al., 2012)**.

Around the world, *Streptomyces* spp. is biologically screened to determine their antifungal activity **(Cao et al., 2005; Bonaldi et al., 2014)**. Microorganisms found in soil offer a valuable resource for the separation and recognition of compounds with significant medicinal applications. Actinomycetales is a significant group among them **(Berdy, 2005)**. Fourteen actinomycetes isolates were obtained from various Damietta soil sites for this study. *Streptomyces* sp. was shown to be the majority of actinomycetes strains recovered from soil **(Baltz, 2008; Sapkota et al., 2020)**. **Šantrić et al. (2018)** reported that *S. flavovirens* exhibited strong antagonistic activity against *T. harzianum* and *T. aggressivum*. This suggests that it could be employed to improve mushroom yield and aid in disease management by inhibiting the growth of the aggressive compost green mold agent, *T. aggressivum*. According to **Ogundare et al. (2015)**, *S. flavovirens* exhibited strong antifungal activity against *A. flavus*. *S. flavovirens* and *S. gougeroti* isolates showed strong antifungal activity against the majority of tested phytopathogenic fungi in our investigation.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or volatile organic compounds, were produced by *Streptomyces* sp. and were found in metabolites **(Li et al., 2024)**. Compared to alternative bio-control tactics that necessitate direct physical contact with pathogens, **Gong et al. (2015)** discovered that bacterium-emitted VOCs had advantages for the control of air-borne diseases, such as *Aspergillus* pathogens. Since food and feed products are frequently stored in settings with regulated atmospheres, the benefits of using VOCs over direct contact approaches are especially noticeable in this context. According to **Naqvi et al. (2019)**, bis(2 ethylhexyl) phthalate exhibited antifungal efficacy against *M. phaseolina and F. oxysporum.*

Streptomyces sp. was shown to be the majority of actinomycetes strains recovered from soil **(Baltz, 2008 and Sapkota et al., 2020)**. *Streptomyces* sp. was isolated from soil samples using starch nitrate agar medium, which is consistent with findings by **Atta et al. (2009)**, **El-Naggar et al. (2009)**, **El-Naggar (2015)**, and **Korayem et al. (2015)**. Furthermore, actinomycetes were isolated using a starchcasein agar medium **(Hasani et al., 2014; Duddu and Guntuku, 2016; El** **Karkouri et al., 2019; Sapkota et al., 2020)**. Glycerol yeast-extract agar medium allowed the isolate M7 to thrive, as reported by **Duddu and Guntuku (2016)** and **Bawazir et al. (2018)**. According to **Yadav et al. (2014)**, *Streptomyces* spp. have antifungal efficacy against *R. solani*, *A. alternata*, *F. oxysporum*, and *M. phaseolina* in this investigation. *Phytophthora capsici*, *Colletotrichum* spp., *Rhizoctonia* spp., *Penicillium* spp., *Cercospora canescens*, *Diaporthe citri*, *Curvularia* spp., *A. niger*, *Helminthosporium* spp., *Fusarium* spp., *Alternaria* spp., and *Sclerotinia soleroforum* are among the pathogens that *Streptomyces* spp. have antifungal effects against in soil and crops **(Welscher et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Oskay, 2009; Nguyen et al., 2017; Law et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020)**. Only two isolates exhibited the strongest antagonistic activity against all of the examined fungus, out of the five that demonstrated antagonistic ability against all of the fungi. These isolates were chosen to be used in the ensuing trials. No antagonistic action was demonstrated by other tested *Streptomyces* against any of the tested fungi. The sensitivity of the pathogen under test to the bioactive metabolites generated by *Streptomyces* isolates may indicate, according to **Ndonde and Semu (2000)**, that the pathogens under test had not previously been exposed to bioactive metabolites that were comparable. They were still so vulnerable to these metabolites. The limited antibacterial activity may also have been caused by the antimicrobial compounds' sensitivity to light and temperature, their inherent instability after extended storage, or the low concentration of bioactive chemicals in the crude extracts.

The mature aerial mycelium's white or grey color, the spore chain morphology type with smooth spore wall ornamentation, and the absence of melanoid pigment production all clearly indicated that the isolates belonged to the genus *Streptomyces*, according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology **(Yashchuk et al., 2014)**. According to **Willemse et al. (2011)**, the spores are typically 1 µm in diameter or less, and the colonies have smooth surfaces **(Ambarwati et al., 2012; Abdel-Rahman et al., 2012; Taddei et al., 2006)**. According to **Al-Garni et al. (2014)**, the active *Streptomyces* isolates belong to the grey and white groups. *Streptomyces* sp. exhibit the same lack of melanoid pigment production in this investigation as **Taddei et al. (2006)**.

Bacterial polyphasic taxonomy may include all genotypic, phenotypic, and phylogenetic data. The unique phenotypic characteristics of the *Streptomyces* genus, which are derived from chemotaxonomic markers and a variety of other stable expressed features like micro- and macro-morphology, physiology, and biochemical properties, allow it to be easily distinguished from all other bacterial groups **(Yashchuk et al., 2014)**. In actuality, the primary method for classifying the genus *Streptomyces* according to conventional taxonomy is the use of the phenotypic feature. The combined obtained morphological, physiological, chemotaxonomic, and biochemical features unambiguously confirmed that the isolates under investigation are members of the *Streptomyces* genus. A contemporary method of identifying *Streptomyces* relies on the 16S rRNA gene sequence, which has yielded significant insights into the systematics of Streptomycetes and has been applied to the identification of multiple recently isolated *Streptomyces* **(Begani et al., 2019; Yun et al., 2021)**. For certain applications, the conventional approaches are still useful **(Anderson and Wellington, 2001)**. 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis of a few chosen strains confirms this early identification. Utilizing 16S rRNA sequences in conjunction with the traditional actinomycete identification system proved to be quite beneficial in evaluating the strains' potential novelty and biodiversity. In this study, in spite of the 16S rRNA sequence alignment for *Streptomyces* O5 isolate showed

high similarity to *Streptomyces rochei* [NRRL B-1559,](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_636560018) *S. [enissocaesilis](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_636559608)* NRRL B-[16365,](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_636559608) *S. [vinaceusdrappus](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_631251171)* NBRC 13099, *S. plicatus* [NBRC 13071,](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_631251160) *S. [geysiriensis](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_343204802)* [NRRL B-12102 a](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi#alnHdr_343204802)nd *S. viridosporus* CSSP718, but its morphological and cultural properties are different with them. For example, *S. rochei* had the ability to produce melanin pigment in tyrosine agar medium but *Streptomyces* O5 could not produce melanin pigment. Furthermore, the spores of *S. rochei* have wrinkled surface and sporophore was spiral while *Streptomyces* O5 isolate possessed smooth spore and straight to flexuous sporophore. Also, spores of *S. geysiriensis* were hairy and had different color of mycelia from *Streptomyces* O5. Although *Streptomyces* O5 isolate was cluster a way with *S. flavovirens*, they possessed considerable similarity of morphological and cultural characters. Also, they share most of the physiological and biochemical properties. The combination of these results indicated that *Streptomyces* O5 is identified as *S. flavovirens*.

The 16S rRNA nucleotide sequence of *Streptomyces* M7 isolate exhibited high similarity with *S. gougerotii* (98.59%) and *S. coelicolor* (99.79%). The morphological, physiological and cultural characters of *Streptomyces* M7 isolate are more similar to *S. gougerotii* than *S. coelicolor*. Neither *S. gougerotii* DSM-40324 and *Streptomyces* M7 had the ability to produce melanin pigment compared to *S. coelicolor* NBRC12854 which can produce melanin pigment. Also, *S. gougerotii* DSM-40324 and *Streptomyces* M7 have smooth spore surface in rectiflexibiles (RF) chain while *S. coelicolor* NBRC12854 spores appeared as slightly granular without periodic substructure. *S. coelicolor* NBRC12854 had different colour of mycelium (grayish yellow) from *S. gougerotii* DSM-40324 and *Streptomyces* M7 (white). In addition, *S. gougerotii* DSM-40324 and *Streptomyces* M7 shared most of the physiological and biochemical characteristics. So, the combination of molecular and traditional identification encouraged us to name isolate M7 as *S. gougerotii*.

CONCLUSIONS

A total of 14 different *Streptomyces* spp. isolates were recovered from Egyptian soil samples. Antifungal activity of the isolates was tested against different phytopathogenic fungal strains. According to some morphological and cultural characteristics, the high antifungal strains belonged to *Streptomyces* spp (*Streptomyces flavovirens* (accession number: OM301640) and *S. gougerotii* (accession number: OM301639).

REFERENCES

Abdel-Rahman, T.M., Khalil, M.S., Moussa, T.A. & Al-Qaysi, SA-DA. (2012). Identification and characterization of *Streptomyces alkaliscabies* sp. nov. J. Food Agric. **Environ.**; 10:476-483.

<https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20123388486>

Aldrich, J.O. (2018). Using IBM SPSS statistics. In: An interactive hands- on approach. Sage Publications. [https://methods.sagepub.com/book/using-ibm-spss](https://methods.sagepub.com/book/using-ibm-spss-statistics-3e)[statistics-3e](https://methods.sagepub.com/book/using-ibm-spss-statistics-3e)

Al-Garni, S.M., Sabir, J.S.M., El Hanafy, A., Kabli, S.A., Al-Twiley D.A. & Ahmed, M.M. (2014). Isolation and identification of antimicrobial actinomycetes strains from Saudi environment. J. Food Agric. Environ.; 12:1072-1079. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20143310516>

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol.; 215: 403-410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. & Lipman, D. J. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programmes. Nucleic Acids Res.; 25: 3389-3402. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389>

Ambarwati, A., Sembiring, L. & Soegihardjo, C. (2012). Antibiotic produced by streptomycetes associated with rhizosphere of purple nut sedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.) in Surakarta, Indonesia. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.; 6:52-57. https://doi.org/ 10.5897/AJMR11.832

Anderson, A.S. & Wellington, E. (2001). The taxonomy of *Streptomyces* and related genera. Int. J. Syst. Evol.; 51:797-814[. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-3-797) [51-3-797](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-3-797)

Atta, H., Dabour, S. & Desoukey, S. (2009). Sparsomycin antibiotic production by *Streptomyces* sp. AZ-NIOFD1: taxonomy, fermentation, purification and biological activities. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.; 5:368-377. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20093162665>

Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R., Kingston, R. E., Moore, D. D., Seidman, J. G., Smith, J. A. & Struhl, K. (editors) (2003). Current protocols in molecular biology supplement 59. John Wiley & Sons. pp. 122-220. New York, USA. [https://www.wiley.com/en-au/Current+Protocols+in+Molecular+Biology+-p-](https://www.wiley.com/en-au/Current+Protocols+in+Molecular+Biology+-p-9780471503385)[9780471503385](https://www.wiley.com/en-au/Current+Protocols+in+Molecular+Biology+-p-9780471503385)

Baltz, R.H. (2008). Renaissance in antibacterial discovery from actinomycetes. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.; 8:557-563[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2008.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2008.04.008)

Bawazir, A.M.A., Shivanna, G.B. & Shantaram, M. (2018). Impact of different media for growth and production of different soluble pigments in actinomycetes isolated from soils of Hadhramout, Yemen. Euro. J. Biomed.; 5:615-619. <https://www.academia.edu/37001749/>

Begani, J., Lakhani, J., & Harwani, D. (2019). Sand Dune *Streptomyces* JB66 Native to the Great Indian Thar Desert Inhibits Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens. IJPSDR, 11(6), 289-298. <https://doi.org/10.25004/IJPSDR.2019.110603>

Berdy, J. (2005) Bioactive Microbial Metabolites. The Journal of Antibiotics (Tokyo), 58, 1-26[. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2005.1](https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2005.1)

Bonaldi, M., Kunova, A., Saracchi, M., Sardi, P. & Cortesi, P. (2014). Streptomycetes as biological control agents against basal drop. In: VIII International Symposium on Chemical and Non-Chemical Soil and Substrate Disinfestation. Acta Horticulturae; 1044: 313-318. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1044.40>

Bressan, W. (2003). Biological control of maize seed pathogenic fungi by use of actinomycetes. BioControl; 48:233-240. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022673226324>

Cao, L., Qiu, Z., You, J., Tan, H. & Zhou, S. (2005). Isolation and characterization of endophytic streptomycete antagonists of *Fusarium* wilt pathogen from surfacesterilized banana roots. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.; 247:147-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2005.05.006>

Duddu, M.K. & Guntuku, G. (2016). Isolation, screening and characterization of antibiotic producing actinomycetes from Kapuluppada plastic waste dumping yard, Visakhapatnam. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.; 8:221-229. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijpps.2016v8i11.10110>

El Karkouri, A., Assou, S.A. & El Hassouni, M. (2019). Isolation and screening of actinomycetes producing antimicrobial substances from an extreme Moroccan biotope. Pan. Afr. Med. J.; 33: 329. <https://doi.org/10.11604%2Fpamj.2019.33.329.19018>

El-Naggar, M.Y., El-Assar, S.A. & Abdul-Gawad, S.M. (2009). Solid-state fermentation for the production of meroparamycin by *Streptomyces* sp. strain MAR01. J. Microbiol. Biotechno.; 19:468-473. <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0807.457>

El-Naggar, N. (2015). Isolation, screening and identification of actinobacteria with uricase activity: Statistical optimization of fermentation conditions for improved production of uricase by *Streptomyces* rochei NEAE–25. Int. J. Pharmacol.; 11:644-658[. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijp.2015.644.658](https://doi.org/10.3923/ijp.2015.644.658)

El-Tarabily, K.A. (2006). Rhizosphere-competent isolates of streptomycete and non-streptomycete actinomycetes capable of producing cell-wall-degrading enzymes to control *Pythium aphanidermatum* damping-off disease of cucumber. Can. J. Botany; 84:211-222[. https://doi.org/10.1139/b05-153](https://doi.org/10.1139/b05-153)

El-Zahed, M. M., Baka, Z., Abou-Dobara, M. I., & El-Sayed, A. (2021). *In vitro* biosynthesis and antimicrobial potential of biologically reduced graphene oxide/Ag nanocomposite at room temperature. JMBFS, 10(6), e3956. <https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.3956>

Errakhi, R., Bouteau, F., Lebrihi, A. & Barakate, M. (2007). Evidences of biological control capacities of *Streptomyces* spp. against *Sclerotium rolfsii* responsible for damping-off disease in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.; 23:1503-1509[. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9394-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9394-7) [7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9394-7)

Evangelista-Martínez, Z. (2014). Isolation and characterization of soil *Streptomyces* species as potential biological control agents against fungal plant pathogens. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.; 30:1639-1647. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1568-x>

Gibbs, B.M. &Shapton, D., (editors) (1968). Identification methods for microbiologists, The society for applied bacteriology technichal series, no. 2 part(B), Academic Press, Inc. London- New York. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19691100732>

Gong, A. D., Li, H. P., Shen, L., Zhang, J. B., Wu, A. B., He, W. J., ... & Liao, Y. C. (2015). The *Shewanella* algae strain YM8 produces volatiles with strong inhibition activity against *Aspergillus* pathogens and aflatoxins. Front. microbiol., 6, 1091[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01091](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01091)

Goodfellow, M., Kampfer, P., Busse, H.J., Trujilio, M.E., Suzuki, K., Ludwig, W. & Whitman, W. B. (2012). Taxonomic outline of the phylum Actinobacteria. In: Whitman, W. B. Editor. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd edition. New York: Springer 1- 2024. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-387-68233-4_2) [387-68233-4_2](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-387-68233-4_2)

Hasani, A., Kariminik, A. & Issazadeh, K. (2014). Streptomycetes: characteristics and their antimicrobial activities. Int. J. Adv. Biol.; 2: 63-75. <https://studfile.net/preview/5194696/>

Hassan, A., El-Barawy, A. & El Mokhtar, M.N. (2011). Evaluation of biological compounds of *Streptomyces* species for control of some fungal diseases. J. Am. Sci.; 7:752-760[. https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/35636057](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/35636057)

Johnson, L.F., Curl, E.A., Bond, J.H. & Fribourg, H.A. (1959). Methods for studying soil microflora. In: plant disease relationships. Minnesota, US: Burgess Publishing, pp. 15[. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130282270206391552](https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130282270206391552)

Kharat, K.R., Kharat, A. & Hardikar, B. (2009). Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity of *Streptomyces* sp. from Lonar Lake. Afr. J. Biotech.; 8: 6645-6648. t https://hero.epa.gov/hero/index.cfm/reference/details/reference_id/981050

Kim, K.H., Ramadhar, T.R., Beemelmanns, C., Cao, S., Poulsen, M., Currie, C.R. & Clardy, J. (2014). Natalamycin A, an ansamycin from a termite-associated *Streptomyces* sp. J. Chem. Sci.; 5:4333-4338. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SC01136H>

Kishore, G.K., Pande, S. & Podile, A. (2005). Biological control of late leaf spot

of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) with chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathol. J.; 95:1157- 1165[. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95-1157](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95-1157)

Korayem, A., Abdelhafez, A., Zaki, M. & Saleh, E. (2015). Optimization of biosurfactant production by *Streptomyces* isolated from Egyptian arid soil using Plackett–Burman design. Ann. Agr. Sci.; 60:209-217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aoas.2015.09.001>

Law, J.W.F, Ser, H.L., Khan, T.M., Chuah, L.H., Pusparajah, P., Chan, K.G., Goh, B.H. & Lee, L.H. (2017). The potential of *Streptomyces* as biocontrol agents against the rice blast fungus, *Magnaporthe oryzae* (*Pyricularia oryzae*). Front. microbiol.; 8:3-10[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00003](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00003)

Lee, S-O., Choi, G-J., Choi, Y-H., Jang, K-S., Park, D-J., Kim, C-J. & Kim, J-C. (2008). Isolation and characterization of endophytic actinomycetes from Chinese cabbage roots as antagonists to *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. J., Microbiol., Biotechnol.; 18:1741-1746[. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0800.108](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0800.108)

Lee, E.J., Ahn, Y-J., Lee, H-S.& Chung, N. (2012). Biocontrol of pepper anthracnose by a new *Streptomyces* sp. A1022 under greenhouse condition. J., Korean, Soc., Appl., Biol., Chem.; 55:447-449. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13765-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13765-012-2077-6) [012-2077-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13765-012-2077-6)

Li, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y., Feng, J., Chen, Y., Li, K., ... & Xie, J. (2024). Biocontrol potential of volatile organic compounds produced by *Streptomyces corchorusii* CG-G2 to strawberry anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Food Chem., 437, 137938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.137938>

Liu, Q., Yu, J., Yan, J., Qi, X., Liu, C. & Jin, H. (2009). Antagonism and action mechanism of antifungal metabolites from *Streptomyces rimosus* MY02. J. Phytopathol.; 157:306-310[. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2008.01494.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2008.01494.x)

Muratova, A., Hübne,r T., Tischer, S., Turkovskaya, O., Möder, M. & Kuschk, P. (2003). Plant–rhizosphere-microflora association during phytoremediation of PAH-contaminated soil. Int. J. Phytoremed.; 5:137-151. PAH-contaminated soil. Int. J. Phytoremed.; 5:137-151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713610176>

Naqvi, S. F., Javaid, A., & Qureshi, M. Z. (2019). Evaluation of antifungal potential of leaf extract of *Chenopodium murale* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. Planta Daninha, 37, e019199050. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582019370100139) [83582019370100139](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582019370100139)

Ndonde, M. & Semu, E. (2000). Preliminary characterization of some *Streptomyces* species from four Tanzanian soils and their antimicrobial potential against selected plant and animal pathogenic bacteria. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.;16:595-599[. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008916418258](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008916418258)

Nguyen, P-A., Strub, C., Fontana, A. & Schorr-Galindo, S. (2017). Crop molds and mycotoxins: Alternative management using biocontrol. J.Biol. Cont.; 104:10- 27[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.10.004)

Ogundare, A. O., Ekundayo, F. O., & Banji-Onisile, F. (2015). Antimicrobial activities of *Streptomyces* species isolated from various soil samples in Federal University of Technology, Akure environment. IOSR-JPBS, 4, 22-30. <https://doi.org/10.9790/3008-10432223>

Oskay, M. (2009). Antifungal and antibacterial compounds from *Streptomyces* strains. Afr. J. Biotechnol.; 8: 3007-3017[. http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB](http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB) Ouda, M. (2014). Biological control by microorganisms and ionizing radiation. Int. J. Adv.Res.; 2:314-356. https://www.journalijar.com/uploads/830_final%20PDFF.pdf

Pérez Rojas, F., León Quispe, J. & Galindo Cabello, N. (2015). Actinoycetes isolated from compost and antagonistic activity against potato phytopathogens (*Solanum tuberosum* spp.). Rev. Mex. fitopatol.; 33:116-139. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20153295213>

Prapagdee, B., kuekulvong, C., & Mongkolsuk, S. (2008). Antifungal potential of extracellular metabolites produced by *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* against phytopathogenic fungi. Int. J.Biol.Sci.; 4:330-337. https://doi.or[g/10.7150/ijbs.4.330](https://doi.org/10.7150%2Fijbs.4.330)

Rabah, F. L., Elshafei, A., Saker, M., Cheikh, B., & Hocine, H. (2007). Screening, isolation and characterization of a novel antimicrobial producing actinomycete, strain RAF10. Biotechnol., 6(4), 489-496. https://doi.org/ [10.3923/biotech.2007.489.496](https://doi.org/10.3923/biotech.2007.489.496)

Šantrić, L., Potočnik, I., Radivojević, L., Umiljendić, J. G., Rekanović, E., Duduk, B., & Milijašević-Marčić, S. (2018). Impact of a native *Streptomyces flavovirens* from mushroom compost on green mold control and yield of *Agaricus bisporus*. J. Environ. Sci. Health C., Part B, 53(10), 677-684. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2018.1474559>

Sapkota, A, Thapa, A., Budhathoki, A., Sainju, M., Shrestha, P. & Aryal, S. (2020). Isolation, characterization, and screening of antimicrobial-producing actinomycetes from soil samples. Int. J. Microbiol.; 2020: 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2716584>

Seong, C. N., Park, J. H., & Baik, K. S. (2001). An improved selective isolation of rare actinomycetes from forest soil. J. Microbiol., 39(1), 17-23. <https://koreascience.kr/article/JAKO200111920940205.pdf>

Sharma, N., Sharma, K., Gaur, R. & Gupta, V. (2011). Role of chitinase in plant defense. Asian J. Biochem.; 6:29-37. https://doi.org[/10.3923/ajb.2011.29.37](http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ajb.2011.29.37)

Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W. & Thompson, J. D. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Sys. Biol.;7: 539-540. <https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75>

Singh, L.S., Baruah, I. & Bora, T. (2006). Actinomycetes of Loktak habitat: isolation and screening for antimicrobial activities. J. Biotechnol.; 5:217-221. <https://doi.org/10.3923/biotech.2006.217.221>

Soares, A.C.F., Sousa, Cd.S., Garrido, MdS., Perez, J.O.& Almeida NSd, (2006). Soil streptomycetes with *in vitro* activity against the yam pathogens *Curvularia eragrostides* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Braz. J. Microbiol.; 37:456-461. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822006000400010>

Sousa, Cd.S., Soares, A.C.F. & Garrido, Md.S. (2008). Characterization of streptomycetes with potential to promote plant growth and biocontrol. J. Sci. Agri.; 65:50-55[. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162008000100007](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162008000100007)

Strange, R.N. & Scott P.R. (2005). Plant disease: a threat to global food security. J. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.; 43: 83-116. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.113004.133839>

Taddei, A., Rodríguez, M.J., Márquez-Vilchez, E.& Castelli, C. (2006). Isolation and identification of *Streptomyces* spp. from Venezuelan soils: Morphological and biochemical studies. J. Microbiol. Res.; 161:222-231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2005.08.004>

Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2007). MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. J. Mol. Biol. Evol.; 24: 1596-1599[. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm092](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm092)

Vetsigian, K., Jajoo, R. & Kishony, R. (2011). Structure and evolution of *Streptomyces* interaction networks in soil and in silico. PLoS biol.; 9: 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001184>

Viaene, T., Langendries, S., Beirinckx, S., Maes, M. & Goormachtig, S. (2016). *Streptomyces* as a plant's best friend? FEMS J. Microbiol. Ecol.; 92: 1- 10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw119>

Vurukonda, S.S.K.P., Giovanardi, D. & Stefani, E. (2018). Plant growth promoting and biocontrol activity of *Streptomyces* spp. as endophytes. Int. J. Mol. Sci.; 19:952-960. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19040952>

Waksman, S. (1961). The actinomycetes, classification, identification and description of genera and species, vol. 2, Williams & Wilkins Company Baltimore, MD[. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19622700859](https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19622700859)

Waksman, S. A. (1959). Strain specificity and production of antibiotic substances. X. characterization and classification of species within the *Streptomyces Griseus* Group. PNAS, 45(7), 1043[. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.45.7.1043](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.45.7.1043)

Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Ruan, J., Wang, Y. & Ali, S. (1999). Investigation of actinomycete diversity in the tropical rainforests of Singapore J. Indust. Microbiol. Biotechnol.; 23:178-187.<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900723>

Welscher, Y.M., Hendrik, H., Balagué, M.M., Souza, C.M., Riezman, H., De Kruijff, B.& Breukink, E. (2008). Natamycin blocks fungal growth by binding specifically to ergosterol without permeabilizing the membrane. J.Biol. Chem.; 283:6393-6401[. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M707821200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M707821200)

Willemse, J., Borst, J.W., de Waal, E., Bisseling, T. & van Wezel, G.P. (2011). Positive control of cell division: FtsZ is recruited by SsgB during sporulation of *Streptomyces*. Genes, Develop.; 25:89-99. <https://doi.org/>[10.1101/gad.600211](https://doi.org/10.1101%2Fgad.600211)

Yadav, A.K., Yandigeri, M.S., Vardhan, S., Sivakumar, G., Rangeshwaran, R. & Tripathi, C. (2014). *Streptomyces* sp. S160: a potential antagonist against chickpea charcoal root rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid. Annals, Microbiol.; 64:1113-1122[. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0750-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0750-6)

Yang, Y., Zhang, S-w. & Li K-t. (2019). Antagonistic activity and mechanism of an isolated *Streptomyces corchorusii* stain AUH-1 against phytopathogenic fungi. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.; 35:145-154. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2720-z) [2720-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2720-z)

Yashchuk, O., Miyazaki, S. & Hermida, E. (2014). PHB-degrading *Streptomyces* sp. SSM 5670: Isolation, Characterization and PHB-Accumulation. J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.; 8:2823-2830.

<https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20143374710>

Yun, T., Zhang, M., Zhou, D., Jing, T., Zang, X., Qi, D., ... & Xie, J. (2021). Anti-Foc RT4 Activity of a Newly Isolated *Streptomyces* sp. 5–10 From a Medicinal Plant (*Curculigo capitulata*). Front. Microbiol., 11, 3544. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.610698>

Zhang, D., Lu, Y., Chen, H., Wu, C., Zhang, H., Chen, L.& Chen, X. (2020). Antifungal peptides produced by actinomycetes and their biological activities against plant diseases. J. Antibiotics.; 73:265-282. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41429-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41429-020-0287-4) [020-0287-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41429-020-0287-4)