

# EXTRACELLULAR GLUTAMINASE-FREE L-ASPARAGINASE FROM *TRICHODERMA VIRIDE* F2: PURIFICATION, BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION OF ITS POTENTIAL IN MITIGATING ACRYLAMIDE FORMATION IN STARCHY FRIED FOOD

Ali M. Elshafei and Dina H. El-Ghonemy\*

# Address(es):

Microbial Chemistry Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Division, National Research Centre, 33 El Buhouth St., Giza 12622, Egypt.

| *Corresponding author: <u>delghonamy@yahoo.com</u> |          | https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.4336 |
|--|----------|-------------------------------------|
| ARTICLE INFO                                       | ABSTRACT |                                     |

Received 14. 2. 2021 Revised 22. 5. 2021 Accepted 8. 6. 2021 Published 1. 10. 2021

Regular article

L-asparaginase is an antitumor agent that suppresses cancer cell growth by eliminating L-asparagine from malignant cells. However, the intrinsic glutaminase activity is responsible for significant life-threatening adverse effects. Therefore, glutaminase-free L-asparaginase is far required to improve the therapeutic efficacy of L-asparaginase treatment. L-asparaginase was also used to combat the development of acrylamide in foods rich in carbohydrates cooked at high temperatures. Therefore, this study explores the purification and characterization of glutaminase-free L-asparaginase from *Trichoderma viride* F2 using agro-industrial residues as substrate. The enzyme was purified 36-folds with 688.1 U/mg specific activity and a final yield of 38.9% through ethanol precipitation, gel filtration on Sephadex G-100 followed by Sephadex G-200. The purified L-asparaginase is monomeric with a molecular mass of 57 kDa and exhibited optimum activity at pH 7.5 and 37 °C, which is relatively close to the human body's internal environment. The purified L-asparaginase showed high affinity and catalytic efficiency towards its natural substrate L-asparagine with  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  of 1.2 mM and 71.3 U/mL, respectively, and did not exhibit any intrinsic glutaminase activity. Among the salts tested, the univalent cations Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> enhanced the activity by 145.7% and 163.5%, respectively, while the presence of Ag<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>+3</sup> displayed a considerable loss in activity. The enzyme showed a good anti-oxidant activity with IC<sub>50</sub> of 66.1µg/mL and was able to convert L-asparagine exist in potatoes to L-asparatic acid and ammonia, suggesting its use as anti-carcinogenic agent and as potential food industry candidate to mitigate acrylamide content in starchy fried food.

Keywords: Glutaminase-free L-asparaginase; *Trichoderma viride* F2; purification; kinetic properties; anti-oxidant activity, acrylamide reduction

# INTRODUCTION

L-asparaginase (L-asparagine amidohydrolase, EC.3.5.1.1) is an antitumor agent that is widely used in various metastasis treatment protocols such as that of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, breast cancer and non-Hodgkin lymphomas (Benchamin et al. 2019). The use of L-asparaginase is based on its ability to convert L-asparagine, an amino acid important for lymphoblast growth, into ammonia and L-aspartic acid in serum and cerebrospinal fluid (Kalyanasundaram et al. 2015; Ray et al. 2019). Since lymphoblasts are unable to synthesize endogenous L-asparagine, because of the absence of asparagine synthetase, they become entirely dependent on external L-asparagine for protein synthesis and survival. As a consequence, cells that cannot express enough asparagine synthetase are destroyed (Kotzia and Labrou 2007). The relevance of this enzyme is also evident from its high global demand of 380 million USD in 2017, which is expected to increase to 420 million USD by 2025 (Alam et al. 2019). Apart from its medicinal uses, L-asparaginase has recently gained increased attention as a food processing aid that can actively degrade acrylamide (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>NO), a human carcinogenic molecule, and hence reducing its formation in starch-based food that are baked, roasted or fried at temperatures above 100 °C (Alam et al. 2019). The formation of acrylamide was attributed to the Millard reaction between free L-asparagine and carbonyl compounds at high temperatures (Xu et al. 2016). Therefore, the production of acrylamide was prevented by the depletion of L-asparagine by L-asparaginase (Pedreschi et al. 2008).

L-asparaginase is widely distributed in nature from prokaryotic to vertebrates (Moorthy *et al.* 2010). It is generally found in microbes (Abhinav *et al.* 2012; Elshafei *et al.* 2012) and some important plants (Mohamed *et al.* 2015; Mohamed *et al.* 2016). A few rodents have the potential to produce this enzyme in their blood serum, but humans do not have such an important anti-carcinogenic agent (Pieters *et al.* 2011). L-asparaginase often acts as an intracellular enzyme, however, extracellular L-asparaginases are considered to be more advantageous

and favoured over the intracellular L-asparaginase because they can be produced extensively under normal conditions in the culture broth and can be economically purified (Amena et al. 2010). L-asparaginases from Escherichia coli and Erwinia chrysanthemi have been produced on an industrial scale and successfully were used in treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) and non-hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) (Makky et al. 2013; Krishnapura et al. 2016). Unfortunately, patients rarely encounter a therapeutic response without some evidence of adverse effects such as pancreatitis, hyperglycemia and different hypersensitivity reactions (Kaminsky 2017) that might be attributed to the intrinsic L-glutaminase activity of 3-10% (Chan et al. 2014). Therefore, the search for glutaminase-free L-asparaginase with efficient antineoplastic activity but with a reduced or no adverse reaction has recently gained much interest of modern researchers (Narta et al. 2007). Hence, the current work focuses on the purification and characterization of an extracellular glutaminase-free Lasparaginase from a terrestrial fungus Trichoderma viride F2 grown under solidstate fermentation (SSF). The assessment of L-asparaginase antioxidant activity compared to ascorbic acid was evaluated. In addition, the potential for enzymatic acrylamide reduction in potatoes using the purified T. viride F2 L-asparaginase was investigated.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

# Chemicals

L-asparagine, L-glutamine, D-asparagine, D-glutamine, bovine serum albumin (BSA), molecular mass markers and 1, 1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) were bought from Sigma Chemicals Company (St. Louis, USA). Nessler's reagent was purchased from Merck (Germany). Sephadex G-100 and Sephadex G-200 were bought from Pharmacia Biotech (Uppsala, Sweden). Wheat bran (WB) and rice husk (RH) were kindly donated from the local Arma Food Industry and Alfyoum Co., for soap and animal feed, respectively. All other

chemicals and reagents were of the highest analytical quality and were bought from standard chemical companies.

### Microorganism

The filamentous fungus *Trichoderma viride* F2, previously reported as a hyperasparaginase producing terrestrial fungus (**Elshafei and El-Ghonemy 2015**), was periodically subcultured every 3-4 weeks interval at 28  $^{\circ}$ C on potato dextrose agar slants (PDA), and maintained at 5  $^{\circ}$ C.

## Aflatoxins detection

The determination of aflatoxins for *Trichoderma viride* F2 was performed using the high-performance liquid chromatography (1100 HPLC; Agilent Technology, USA) as described by **AOAC Method 971.24 (2000)**. Data developed were reported using the Millennium Chromatography Manager Software.

#### Enzyme production and extraction

Spore suspension was prepared from a seven day-old culture on PDA medium with 5 mL of sterilized saline water containing 0.1% Tween 80. Two mL of the suspension ( $1 \times 10^8$  spores/mL) was transferred to Erlenmeyer flask (500 mL) containing 10 g of RH and WB in the ratio of 3.0:2.0 moistened (up to 75%) with previously optimized Czapek Dox medium (**Elshafei and El-Ghonemy 2015**) composed of (g/L): glucose 10; casein 15; NaNO<sub>3</sub> 1.5; L-asparagine 1.0; MgCl<sub>2</sub> 0.5, supplemented with 3.0 mL of Tween 20 and the initial pH adjusted to 6.0. The inoculated flasks were incubated for four days at 28 °C under static conditions.

After the fermentation period, 100 mL of Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M, pH 8.0) was aseptically added followed by shaking at 200 rpm for 1 h at room temperature. The fungal cultures were filtered through double-layered muslin cloth and the filtrate obtained was centrifuged for 10 min at 5 °C at 8000 ×g. The clear supernatant developed was termed crude-enzyme extract and was used for enzyme assay.

### L-asparaginase and L-glutaminase assay

Nesslerization was used to calculate the activity of both L-asparaginase and Lglutaminase following the Imada et al. (1973) method. Briefly, the reaction mixture consisted of 0.1 mL of enzyme solution, 0.5 mL of 0.04 M L-asparagine or 0.04 M L-glutamine and 0.5 mL of 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The reaction was terminated by adding 0.5 mL of 1.5 M trichloroacetic acid (TCA), followed by centrifugation at 8000  $\times g$  and the ammonia released was quantified colorimetrically by transferring 0.1 mL of the reaction into a clean test tube containing 0.2 mL of Nessler's reagent and 3.7 mL of distilled water. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at room temperature, and then the absorbance was measured at 450 nm. An analytical standard curve was prepared from ammonium chloride solutions to determine the amount of the released ammonia. One unit of enzyme activity (U) was described as the quantity of L-asparaginase that catalysed the formation of 1 µmol ammonia per min under standard assay conditions. The protein content was estimated according to Bradford (1976). A calibration curve at 0.01-15.0 mg/L was made using BSA as standard. The specific activity is expressed as Unit/mg protein (U/mg).

# **Enzyme purification**

Unless stated otherwise, all purification steps were performed at 5°C. Crudeenzyme filtrate was precipitated by gradual addition of cold ethanol (-20 °C) with gentle stirring until the final concentration of 50% saturation and maintained at 5 <sup>P</sup>C for 12 h followed by centrifugation for 10 min at 4 °C at 12, 000 ×g. Pellet formed was suspended in Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M, pH 8.0), and dialyzed overnight toward distilled water at 5 °C. The dialysate was loaded onto the gel filtration column of Sephadex G-100 ( $1.5 \times 100$  cm), pre-equilibrated with the same buffer. The bound protein was eluted using Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M, pH 8.0) at 20 mL/h flow rate (each fraction contains 5 mL). L-asparaginase activity was evaluated using direct Nesslerization. Fractions with high activity were pooled, dialyzed toward distilled water for 12 h at 4 °C, and concentrated by lyophilization. Lyophilized sample was further added to a Sephadex G-200 column ( $2.5 \times 50$  cm) that was previously equilibrated with Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M, pH 8.0). Protein elution was constantly run at a flow rate adjusted to 15 mL/h using the same buffer and protein concentration was estimated in the eluent by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm (Cary-100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer, Germany). Active fractions with maximum activity of L-asparaginase were gathered, dialyzed, concentrated, and are used in the subsequent characterisation studies

# Molecular mass and homogeneity determination by electrophoresis

The concentrated sample was checked for purity using sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) technique (Laemmli 1970) to

estimate the molecular mass of the purified protein and ascertain homogeneity. Molecular mass markers starting from 11 to 245 kDa were used, and the molecular mass of purified L-asparaginase was estimated by plotting the logarithm of protein molecular mass markers versus relative protein mobility. The electrophoretic protein gel was run at 200 V for 40 min, then placed directly in the Coomassie Brillant Blue R-250 stain solution for 60 min, de-stained twice by 1.0% acetic acid solution, photographed while wet, dried and stored to determine the relative mobility of the purified enzyme.

# Biochemical characterization of Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase

## Influence of pH and temperature on the activity and stability of L-asparaginase

Optimum pH of the purified enzyme was evaluated by measuring activity at different pH (from 3.0 to 10), using the following buffer solutions at 0.05 M concentration; sodium acetate buffer (3.0-6.0), phosphate buffer (6.0-7.0), Tris-HCl buffer (7.0-9.0) and carbonate-bicarbonate (9.0-10.0). The pH stability was assessed by pre-incubating the enzyme solutions (in the absence of the substrate) at various pH values (3.0-10.0) for 24 h at 5 °C. Residual activity of L-asparaginase was assayed as previously mentioned and the enzyme activity prior to incubation was considered as 100% activity. The optimal reaction temperature was calculated by performing the enzyme reaction at different temperatures (20 °C - 80 °C). Thermal stability was studied by pre-incubating the enzyme (without the substrate) at the desired temperature (50, 55, 60 °C) for different time intervals (5 - 60 min), and then rapidly cooled down and the assay was performed under the optimum conditions (37 °C and 8.0). The untreated enzyme was considered as the control (100%).

# Substrate specificity

The substrate specificity of purified L-asparaginase was determined using a variety of natural substrates namely L-asparagine, D-asparagine, L-glutamine, D-glutamine, acrylamide and acetamide at 0.04 M final concentration. Relative activity was expressed as percentage ratio of enzyme activity estimated in terms of the various structural analogues of L-asparagine to enzyme activity with L-asparagine. The reaction rate was quantified in terms of the ammonia released.

#### Kinetic parameters

Different concentrations of the L-asparagine substrate (2.0 - 80  $\mu$ moles) were used to determine the kinetic parameters of the purified enzyme and the measurements were conducted at the optimum pH and temperature (8.0, 37 °C). As described by **Lineweaver and Burk (1934)**, the Michaelis-Menten constant (K<sub>m</sub>) and maximum velocity (V<sub>max</sub>) were calculated as the absolute reciprocal values of the x and y-axis intercepts, respectively, of the linear regression curve.

# Impact of different metal ions and chemical compounds on L-asparaginase activity

The effect of different metal ions and chemical compounds on the activity of Lasparaginase was evaluated by incubating each additive at 5.0 and 10 mM final concentration with the enzyme solution at room temperature for 1 h. Relative enzyme activity was calculated under the standard assay conditions by considering 100% activity of the non-incubated enzyme.

# Mitigation of acrylamide formation in potatoes

Two grams of potato tubers (mashed in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0) were applied to the purified L-asparaginase at 100 U/g of the potato. The mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature, and then the reaction was terminated by adding 0.5 mL TCA (1.5 M), followed by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm. The released ammonia was assessed by Nesslerization as previously described.

# Antioxidant activity of Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase

The antioxidant capacity of L-asparaginase was evaluated through its scavenging activity toward DPPH radicals. This assay is focused on the determination of the antioxidant's reduction potential against DPPH radical by calculating the reduction of its absorbance (**Prior** *et al.* **2005**). Briefly, 50  $\mu$ L of different concentrations of L-asparaginase (25 - 125  $\mu$ g/mL) as well as the reference standard (ascorbic acid) were taken and the volume was uniformly increased to 150  $\mu$ L using methanol. Each sample was diluted with methanol up to 3.0 mL and 150  $\mu$ L of freshly prepared DPPH solution (0.5 mM) in methanol was added; the mixtures were vigorously shaken and kept for 30 min in dark at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using methanol. The reduction in absorbance was considered as the anti-oxidant capacity of the purified L-asparaginase. The percentage of DPPH blaching used for EC<sub>50</sub> (the effective concentration needed to scavenge 50% of DPPH radicals) was determined as follows:

Radical scavenging activity (%) =  $\frac{(A - B)}{A} \times 100$ 

Whereas, A: the absorbance of the negative control; B: the absorbance of the sample.

# Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data were collected, revised, and entered to the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 23; the quantitative parametric data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Mycotoxins (Aflatoxins) detection

The toxicity test was conducted to verify the choice of the highest L-asparaginase producing fungal strain. Results reported in Figure 1 (A and B) clearly indicated that *Trichoderma viride* F2 was a non-mycotoxins producing fungus.



Figure 1 A) standard determination of aflatoxins by HPLC; B) Auto scaled chromatogram for standard determination of afla-toxins by HPLC for *Trichoderma viride* F2

#### Purification of L-asparaginase from Trichoderma viride F2

The extracellular L-asparaginase was purified 36-folds with a final yield of 38.9% from T. viride F2 culture filtrate using a sequential multi-step process including ethanol precipitation (50% saturation), Sephadex G-100 gel filtration followed by Sephadex G-200 column chromatography. The elution profile on Sephadex G-200 gel filtration column showed four peaks for proteins and one peak for L-asparaginase activity. The peak of L-asparaginase activity was matched with the first peak of protein. While peaks II, III and IV showed lower protein content with no enzymatic activity (Fig. 2). The enzyme purification process was summarized in Table 1. The purified enzyme exhibited a specific activity of 688.1 U/mg protein, which was greater than that observed for Lasparaginases from Mucor hiemalis (69.43 U/mg) (Thakur et al. 2014) and Penicillium sp (13.97 U/mg) (Patro and Gupta 2012). Comparatively, Lasparaginase was purified 106-fold from Pseudomonas aureginosa 50071, using ammonium sulphate precipitation, and gel filtration on Sephadex G-100 column followed by CM sephadex C-50 column (EL-Bessoumy et al. 2004). Dhevagi and Poorani (2006) purified an intracellular L-asparaginase from Streptomyces sp. PDK2 up to 83-fold with a final yield of 2.18%, through gel filtration on Sephadex G-200.



Figure 2 Purification profile of *Trichoderma viride* F2 L-asparaginase by gel filtration on Sephadex G-200 column

#### Molecular mass estimation by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis

The SDS-PAGE of the enzyme preparations formed from the sequential multistep purification process revealed that the developed electrophoretic bands were enhanced from crude-enzyme extract to the final purification process (Fig. 3). It showed a single protein band with a molecular mass of 57 kDa, suggesting that the current *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase was homogeneous and contained a single petide chain. Molecular masses reported in several studies for L-asparaginases purified from various microorganisms such as *Penicillium brevicompactum* (Elshafei et al. 2012), *Corynebacterium glutamicum* (Savitri et al. 2003), *Streptomyces* sp. (Dhevagi and Poorani 2006), and *S. albidoflavus* (Narayana et al. 2008) were 115 kDa, 80 kDa, 140 kDa and 116 kDa, respectively, while the molecular mass of *A. terreus* L-asparaginase was estimated to be 94 kDa (Loureiro et al. 2012).

**Table 1** Summary of steps employed in purification of L-asparaginase from *Trichoderma viride* F2

| Durification stan           | Total activity     | Total protein      | Sp. activity   | Recovery | Purification |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| Purification step           | (U)                | (mg)               | (U/mg protein) | (%)      | fold         |
| Crude extract               | 4597 <u>+</u> 154  | 238 <u>+</u> 11.3  | 19.3           | 100      | 1.0          |
| Ethanol precipitation (50%) | 2863 <u>+</u> 58.2 | 31.4 <u>+</u> 2.15 | 91.2           | 62.3     | 4.7          |
| Dialysis                    | 2798 <u>+</u> 36.8 | 29.4 <u>+</u> 2.13 | 95.2           | 60.9     | 4.9          |
| Sephadex G-100              | 2148 <u>+</u> 23.5 | 6.4 <u>+</u> 0.17  | 335.6          | 46.7     | 17.4         |
| Sephadex G-200              | 1789 <u>+</u> 14.7 | 2.6 <u>+</u> 0.12  | 688.1          | 38.9     | 35.7         |

Data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicates



**Figure 3** Electrophoretic analysis of *Trichoderma viride* F2 L-asparaginase. From right to left: *M*, standard protein markers of different molecular mass; *A*, crude enzyme extract; *B*, precipitation by cold ethanol; *C*, partial purified L-asparaginase on Sephadex G-100, *D*, purified L-asparaginase on Sephadex G-200

#### Biochemical characterization of Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase

# Impact of pH on the activity and stability of L-asparaginase

L-asparaginases from various sources differ widely in the optimal temperature and pH for optimum activity. Most of microbial L-asparaginase reported in the literature showed alkaline pH optima (8.0-10) (Mahajan et al. 2014; Shanmugaprakash et al. 2015). In the current work, the purified T. viride F2 Lasparaginase was active and stable at pH values ranging from 7.0 to 9.0 but the maximum activity was exhibited at an intermediary pH of 7.5 (Fig. 4) compared with the pH values reported for L-asparaginase from A. terreus (pH 6.0) (Balasubramanian et al. 2012) and A. niger (pH 9.0) (Akilandeswari et al. 2012). Maximal activity at physiological pH is considered as one of the necessities of L-asparaginase for therapeutic efficacy; therefore the current T. viride F2 L-asparaginase will be useful since 100% of its activity maintained at pH 7.5. Moreover, this purified enzyme showed high stability at alkaline pH relative to acidic pH, as it retained more than 90% of its initial activity at pH 7.0-9.5 after 24 h of incubation at 5 °C. In addition, at pH 10, the enzyme still retained 88% of its initial activity (Table 2). Likewise, L-asparaginases purified from S. marcescens and actinomycetes were found to have a wide pH optimum ranging from pH 5 to 9 (Khamna et al. 2009; Novak and Phillips 1974). While L-asparaginase of A. niger AK10 worked optimally at pH 8.6 and was more stable when incubated at pH 8.0-8.6 (Dharmsthiti and Luechai 2011). In addition, the optimum activity of P. aeruginosa 50071 L-asparaginase and Streptomyces sp. PDK7 L-asparaginase was investigated at pH 9 and 8 (El-Bessoumy et al. 2004; Dhevagi and Poorani 2006). A membrane bound Lasparaginase purified from Tetrahymena pyriformis was found to act optimally at pH 9.6 as reported by Triantafillou et al. (1988).



Figure 4 Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase activity as a function of pH value of the reaction mixture

 
 Table 2 Determination of pH stability of the purified Trichoderma viride F2 Lasparaginase

| Buffer                    | #II voluo          | Relative activity  |  |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| (0.05 M)                  | pri value          | (%)  |  |
|                           | 3.0                | 53.3+2.13  |  |
|                           | 4.0                | 54.2 <u>+</u> 1.87   |  |
| Citrata phaaphata         | 5.0                | 58.3 <u>+</u> 2.12   |  |
| Citrate-priospriate       | 6.0                | $68.4 \pm 1.88$  |  |
|                           | 6.5                | 76.5 <u>+</u> 1.24   |  |
|                           | 7.0                | 95.8 <u>+</u> 3.12   |  |
| Phosphate                 | 7.0<br>7.5<br>8.0  | $97.3\pm2.89$<br>$100\pm1.02$<br>$100\pm1.09$                  |  |
| Tris-HCl                  | 8.0<br>8.5<br>9.0  | 100±1.05<br>94.7±0.89<br>93.8±1.32                             |  |
| Carbonate-<br>bicarbonate | 9.0<br>9.5<br>10.0 | 92.4 <u>+</u> 1.43<br>91.7 <u>+</u> 1.22<br>88.2 <u>+</u> 0.91 |  |

Data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicates

#### Influence of temperature on the activity and stability of L-asparaginase

The reaction rate of T. viride F2 L-asparaginase was determined at different temperatures (20 °C - 80 °C). As reported in Fig. 5, the purified enzyme was active at temperatures ranging from 37 °C to 45 °C; however at higher temperatures the enzyme activity declined. In addition, T. viride F2 Lasparaginase was found to be stable and retained 100% of its original activity at temperatures up to 45 °C (Fig. 6), while at 50 °C the enzyme maintained 71% and 39% of its activity after 30 and 60 min of incubation, respectively. This finding suggests that the purified T. viride F2 L-asparaginase can be easily adopted and act normally in the human body's internal environment for complete removal of asparagine from the body. Similarly, the maximal activity of L-asparaginases purified from Pseudomonas aeruginosa 50071 and Pectobacterium carotovorum has been observed at 37 °C (El-Bessoumy et al. 2004; Shanmugaprakash et al. 2015). While that of E. carotovora and Streptobacillus sp. L-asparaginases were detected at 35 °C (Kamble et al. 2006; Makky et al. 2013). On the other hand, L-asparaginases of Chrombacteriaceae and Proteus vulgaris were reported at 20 °C and 57 °C, respectively (Roberts et al. 1972; Tosa et al. 1972).



Figure 5 Effect of temperature on L-asparaginase activity



Figure 6 Heat stability and inactivation kinetics

# Effect of inhibitors/activators on L-asparaginase activity

The activity of T. viride F2 L-asparaginase was determined in the presence of different compounds (Table 3). Among the salts tested, univalent cations, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> acting somewhat as activators and enhanced L-asparaginase activity by 145.7% and 163.5%, respectively, whereas, bivalent cations  $Ca^{2+}$  slightly enhanced the activity by 112%. While a considerable loss of L-asparaginase activity was reported with Ag<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>+3</sup>. The metal chelating agent, EDTA, showed no effect on the activity, suggesting that the current L-asparaginase is not a metalloenzyme. Inhibition of the activity in the presence of  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ , and Zn<sup>2+</sup> may be an attributable to the important vicinal sulfhydryl groups (-SH) for the productive catalysis. Moreover, improvement in the activity of the current Lasparaginase with reducing agents such as reduced glutathione (GSH) and mercaptoethanol (2-ME) and inhibition with thiol group blocking agent iodoacetamide (IA) provided additional evidence of the role of SH groups in the enzyme catalytic activity. Reduced glutathione acts as an important and effective molecule associated with redox changes. Glutathione has been reported to potentiate Cylindrocarpon obtusisporum and E. carotovora L-asparaginase activity (Raha et al. 1990; Warangkar and Khobragade 2010).

| Table 3 Effect of differen | t metal ions and | chemical | compounds on |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|
|----------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|

Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase activity

|                             | Relative activity   |                     |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Activator/inhibitor         | (%)                 |                     |  |  |
|                             | 5 mM                | 10 mM               |  |  |
| Control (without metal ion) | 100 <u>+</u> 0.87   | 100 <u>+</u> 1.08   |  |  |
| NaCl                        | 125.9 <u>+</u> 1.02 | 145.7 <u>+</u> 1.34 |  |  |
| KCl                         | 138.5 <u>+</u> 1.23 | 163.5 <u>+</u> 2.35 |  |  |
| AgCl                        | 51.3 <u>+</u> 0.76  | 38.4 <u>+</u> 0.54  |  |  |
| ZnCl <sub>2</sub>           | 64.8 <u>+</u> 0.69  | 40.6 <u>+</u> 0.78  |  |  |
| CaCl <sub>2</sub>           | 112.3 <u>+</u> 1.09 | 105.6 <u>+</u> 0.92 |  |  |
| BaCl <sub>2</sub>           | 99.2 <u>+</u> 0.98  | 76.4 <u>+</u> 0.56  |  |  |
| HgCl <sub>2</sub>           | 60.1 <u>+</u> 1.21  | 32.9 <u>+</u> 0.32  |  |  |
| CoCl <sub>2</sub>           | 91.2 <u>+</u> 0.87  | 77.6 <u>+</u> 0.56  |  |  |
| CuCl <sub>2</sub>           | 73.8 <u>+</u> 0.45  | 57.6 <u>+</u> 0.23  |  |  |
| CdCl <sub>2</sub>           | 63.6 <u>+</u> 0.92  | 37.8 <u>+</u> 0.43  |  |  |
| PbCl <sub>2</sub>           | 92.6 <u>+</u> 1.03  | 80.2 <u>+</u> 0.91  |  |  |
| FeCl <sub>3</sub>           | 69.5 <u>+</u> 0.21  | 43.5 <u>+</u> 0.32  |  |  |
| EDTA                        | 101.2 <u>+</u> 0.65 | 105.4 <u>+</u> 1.34 |  |  |
| Iodoacetamide               | 53.2 <u>+</u> 1.23  | 21.9 <u>+</u> 0.41  |  |  |
| β-Mercaptoethanol           | $118.4 \pm 0.87$    | 108.7 <u>+</u> 0.18 |  |  |
| R-Glutathione               | $114.5 \pm 0.76$    | $103.1 \pm 0.87$    |  |  |

Data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicates

#### Substrate specificity of Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase

A variety of substrates of asparagine analogues were used to assess the substrate specificity of *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase and data were summarized in Table 4. The activity using L-asparagine as a substrate was considered as 100% activity. The purified L-asparaginase was found to be not active toward the tested substrates with the exception of acrylamide which was quite close to that of L-asparagine. This property makes L-asparagine and acetamide were hydrolyzed by 15.6% and 11.8%, respectively. No activity was reported with L-glutaminase substrate, indicating that the purified enzyme was free from L-glutaminase

activity. Contamination of L-asparaginase with L-glutaminase activity has been reported to cause adverse effects during cancer treatment (Manna et al. 1995). Accordingly, these findings suggest that *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase is very specific to its natural substrate L-asparagine. Similar findings were reported by Kumar et al. (2011) other than that the enzyme showed very low activity toward D-glutamine, D-asparatic acid, L-asparagine-t-butyl ester HCl, succinamic acid and N-acetyl L-asparagine.

Table 4 Substrate specificity of the purified Trichoderma viride F2 L-asparaginase

| Substrate (0.04 M) | Relative activity (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| L-asparagine       | 100 <u>+</u> 0.87     |
| D-asparagine       | 15.6 <u>+</u> 0.19    |
| L-glutamine        | 0.0                   |
| D-glutamine        | 0.0                   |
| NĂD                | 0.0                   |
| Acetamide          | $11.8\pm0.09$         |
| Acrylamide         | 98.4 <u>+</u> 1.02    |

Data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicates

#### Kinetic parameters

The purified L-asparaginase demonstrated Michaelis–Menten kinetics at relatively low substrate concentrations and the values of  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  for L-asparagine were found to be 1.25 mM and 71.3 U/ml, respectively (Fig. 7). This finding suggests that L-asparaginase has a high affinity against its natural substrate, which may be related to its degree of tumour efficacy. **Chang and Franden (1981)** reported higher  $K_m$  values of 6.6 and 7.0 mM for L-asparaginase from *Lupinus arboreus* and *Lupinus angustifolius*, respectively. On the contrary, a lower  $K_m$  of 0.058 mM was investigated for *Erwinia chrysanthemi* L-asparaginase (Kotzia and Labrou 2007). In addition, higher  $K_m$  values of 3.5 and 7.14 mM were reported for L-asparaginases purified from *Escherichia coli* and *Erwinia carotovora*, respectively (Kumar *et al.* 2010).



**Figure 7** Determination of  $K_m$  of the purified *Trichoderma viride* F2L-asparaginase for L-asparagine by non-linear regression analysis of experimental steady state data. A plot of reaction velocity (V) versus substrate concentration (2.0 - 80 µmol) fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation

#### Mitigation of acrylamide formation in potatoes

The release of ammonia of about 12.4  $\mu$ moles/mL was observed when the purified *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase was tested for its effect on L-asparagine present in potato, suggesting the conversion of L-asparagine to L-asparatic acid. This outcome makes *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase a potential candidate in reducing acrylamide level of starchy fried food.

# **DPPH radical scavenging activity**

DPPH is a stable dark violet radical owing to its unpaired electron. In the presence of an antioxidant radical scavenger that can donate an electron to DPPH, the dark violet color is decolorized to a pale yellow non-radical color (**Naveena** *et al.* **2012**). In this study, L-asparaginase was found to demonstrate a great DPPH radical scavenging that was improved with rising concentration. The IC<sub>50</sub> values for L-asparaginase and ascorbic acid (standard) were estimated to be 57.4 and 66.1 µg/mL, respectively, as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8 DPPH scavenging activity of the purified *Trichoderma viride* F2 L-asparaginase

#### CONCLUSION

This study explores the purification and biochemical characterization of extracellular glutaminase-free L-asparaginase produced by a non-mycotoxins producing terrestrial fungus *T. viride via* SSF using agro-industrial residues as substrate. Biochemical studies on the purified enzyme indicated that this enzyme has a good activity at the physiological pH, stability at elevated temperatures, high affinity towards its natural substrate L-asparagine (low  $K_m$  value)...etc that encouraged us to suggest this *T. viride* F2 L-asparaginase as an antitumor drug and worth further investigations of its proper utilization. In addition, data reported in this study revealed that the current L-asparaginase hydrolyzed L-asparagine present in potatoes to L-aspartic acid ammonia, making it a promising candidate for food industry to decrease the level of acrylamide in starchy fried food.

Acknowledgments: Authors are grateful to the National Research Centre, Egypt, for the facilities that have enabled them to carry out this work.

Conflicts of interest: Authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

Abhinav, M.S., Abdul Arif, K., Archana, S., Sudhir, K.J., & Pradeep, K.S. (2012). Kinetic studies of L-asparaginase from *Penicillium digitatum*. *Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 42, 574-581. https://doi.org/10.1080/10826068.2012.672943

Akilandeswari, K., Kavitha, K., & Vijayalakshmi, M. (2012). Production of bioactive enzyme L-asparaginase from fungal isolates of water sample through submerged fermentation. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 4, 363-366.

Alam, S., Pranaw, K., & Tiwari, R. (2019). Recent development in the uses of asparaginase as food enzyme. In: Parameswaran B (ed) Green bio-processes, *Energy, Environment, and Sustainability*, 55-81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3263-0\_5</u>

Amena, S., Vishalakshi, N., Prabhakar, M., Dayanand, A., & Lingappa, K. (2010). Production, purification and characterization of L-asparaginase from *Streptomyces gulbargensis. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 41, 173-177. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822010000100025

AOAC. (2000). Official methods of analysis. Association of official analytical chemist. EUA.

Balasubramanian, K., Ambikapathy, V., & Panneerselvam, A. (2012). Production, isolation, and purification of L-asparaginase from *Aspergillus terreus* using submerged fermentation. *International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Research*, 3, 778-783.

Benchamin, D., Sreejai, R., Sujitha, S., & Albert, C. (2019). Anti-proliferative activity of L-asparaginase enzyme from fungi on breast cancer. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8, 407-410.

Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248-254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3</u>

Chan, W.K., Lorenzi, P.L., Anishkin, A., Purwaha, P., Rogers, D.M., & Sukharev, S. (2014). The glutaminase activity of L-asparaginase is not required for anticancer activity against ASNS-negative cells. *Blood*, 123, 3596-606. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-10-535112

Chang, K.S., & Franden, K.J.F. (1981). Purification and properties of asparaginase from *Lupinus arboreus* and *Lupinus angustifolius*. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 208, 49-58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(81)90122-3</u>

Dharmsthiti, S.C., & Luechai, S. (2011). Purification and characterization of asparaginase from solid state culture of *Aspergillus niger* AK10. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 6, 1083-1092.

Dhevagi, P., & Poorani, E. (2006). Isolation and characterization of Lasparaginase from marine actinomycetes. *Indian Journal of Biotechnol.*, 5, 514-520. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/5615</u>

El-Bessoumy, A.A., Sarhan, M., & Mansour, J. (2004). Production, isolation, and purification of L-asparaginase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 50071 using solid-state fermentation. *Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 37, 387-393. https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2004.37.4.387

Elshafei, A.M., & El-Ghonemy, D.H. (2015). Screening and media optimization for enhancing L-asparaginase production, an anticancer agent, from different filamentous fungi in solid state fermentation. *British Biotechnology Journal*, 9, 1-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/bbj/2015/19728</u>

Elshafei, A.M., Hassan, M.M., Abouzeid, M.A., Mahmoud, D.A., & El-Ghonemy, D.H. (2012). Purification, characterization and antitumor activity of L-asparaginase from *Penicillium brevicompactum* NRC 829. *British Microbiology Research Journal*, 2, 158-174. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/bmrj/2012/1735</u>

Elshafei, A.M., Hassan, M.M., Abouzeid, M.A., Mahmoud, D.A., & El-Ghonemy, D.H. (2012). Screening and optimization of L-asparaginase and L-glutaminase production by some filamentous fungi. *Advances in Food Science*, 34, 150-158.

Imada, A., Igarasi, S., Nakahama, K., & Isono, M. (1973). Asparaginase and glutaminase activities of microorganisms. Journal of General Microbiology, 76, 85-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-76-1-85</u>

Kalyanasundaram, I., Nagamuthu, J., Srinivasan, B., Pachayappan, A., & Muthukumarasamy, S. (2015). Production, purification and characterization of extracellular L-asparaginase from salt marsh fungal endophytes. *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science*, 4, 663-677.

Kamble, V.P., Rao, R.S., Borker, P.S., Khobragade, C.N., & Dawane, B.S. (2006). Purification of L-asparaginase from a bacteria *Erwinia carotovora* and effect of a dihydropyrimidine derivative on some of its kinetic parameters. *Indian Journal of Biochemistry and Biophys*ics, 43, 391-394. http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/3412

Kaminsky, C.L. (2017). Asparaginase pharmacology: challenges still to be faced. *Cancer Chemotherapy and Pharmacology*, 79, 439-450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-016-3236-y

Khamna, S., Yokota, A., Lumyong, L. (2009). L-asparaginase production by actinomycetes isolated from some Thai medicinal plant rhizosphere soils. *International Journal of Integrative Biology*, 6, 22-26.

Kotzia, G.A., & Labrou, N.E. (2007). L-asparaginase from *Erwinia chrysanthemi* 3937: cloning, expression and characterization. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 127, 657-669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2006.07.037</u>

Krishnapura, P.R., Belur, P.D., & Subramanya, S. (2016). A critical review on properties and applications of microbial L-asparaginases. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 42, 720-737. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841x.2015.1022505</u>

Kumar, S., Dasu, V., & Pakshirajan, K. (2010). Localization and production of novel L-asparaginase from *Pectobacterium carotovorum* MTCC 1428. *Process Biochem*istry, 45, 223-229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2009.09.011</u>

Kumar, S., Dasu, V., & Pakshirajan, K. (2011). Purification and characterization of glutaminase-free L-asparaginase from *Pectobacterium carotovorum* MTCC 1428. *Bioresource Technology*, 102, 2077-2082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.07.114

Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during assembly of head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, 227, 680-685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/227680a0</u>

Lineweaver, H. & Burk, D. (1934). The determination of enzyme dissociation constants. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 56, 658-666. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01318a036\_

Loureiro, C.B., Borges, K.S., Andrade, A.F., Tone, L.G., & Suraia, S. (2012). Purification and biochemical characterization of native and pegylated form of L-asparaginase from *Aspergillus terreus* and evaluation of its antiproliferative activity. *Advances in Microbiology*, 138-145. https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2012.22019

Mahajan, R.V., Rajendran, V., Saran, S., Ghosh, P.C., Saxena, R.K. (2014). Purification and characterization of a novel and robust L-asparaginase having low-glutaminase activity from *Bacillus licheniformis*: In vitro evaluation of anticancerous properties. *PLoS ONE*, 9, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099037

Makky, E.A., Jee-Jian, O., Md-Rezaul, K., & Lee, C.M. (2013). Production and optimization of L-asparaginase by *Bacillus* sp. KK2S4 from corn cob. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12, 2654-2658.

Manna, S., Sinha, A., Sadhukhan, R., Chakrabarty, S.L. (1995). Purification, characterization and antitumor activity of L-asparaginase isolated from *Pseudomonas stutzeri* MB-405. *Current Microbiology*, 30, 291-298. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00295504

Mohamed S.A., Elshal M.F., Kumosani T.A., & Aldahlawi A.M. (2015). Purification and characterization of asparaginase from *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2015, Article ID 309214. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/309214

Mohamed S.A., Elshal M.F., Kumosani T.A., Aldahlawi A.M., Basbrain T.A., Alshehri F.A., & Choudhry H. (2016). L-Asparaginase isolated from *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds exhibited potent anti-acute lymphoblastic leukemia effects in-vitro and low immunogenic properties in-vivo. *International Journal of Environmental Research* and *Public Health*, 13, 1008-1018. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13101008

Moorthy, V., Aishwarya, R., Alagarsamy, S., & Rajesh, T.S. (2010). Production, purification and characterization of extracellular L-asparaginase from a soil isolate of *Bacillus* sp. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 4, 1862-1867. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR.9000601

Narayana, K.J., Kumar, K.G., & Vijayalakshmi, M. (2008). L-asparaginase production by *Streptomyces albidoflavus*. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 48, 331-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-008-0018-1</u>

Narta, U.K., Kanwar, S.S., & Azmi, W. (2007). Pharmacological and clinical evaluation of L-asparaginase in the treatment of leukemia. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, 61, 208-221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2006.07.009

Naveena, B., Narayani, T.G., Punniavansakthiselvan, P., & Nagarajanpartha, A. (2012). Antioxidant and antimicrobial efficacies of *Amaranthus polygonoides* and its impact on L-asparaginase production. *African Journal Of Biotechnology*, 11, 12483-12490. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajb12.098

Novak, E.K., & Phillips, A.W. (1974). L-glutamine as a substrate for L-asparaginase from *Serratia marcescens*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 117, 593-600. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.117.2.593-600.1974\_

Patro, K.R., & Gupta, N. (2012). Extraction, purification and characterization of L-asparaginase from *Penicillium* sp. by submerged fermentation. *International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research*, 3, 30-34. https://doi.org/10.5897/ijbmbr11.066

Pedreschi, F., Kaack, K., & Granby, K. (2008). The effect of asparaginase on acrilamide formation in French fries. *Food Chemistry*, 109, 386-392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.12.057

Pieters, R., Hunger, S.P., & Boos, J. (2011). L-asparaginase treatment in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a focus on *Erwinia* asparaginase. *Cancer*, 117, 238-249. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25489

Prior, L., Wu, X., & Schaich, K. (2005). Standardized methods for the determination of antioxidant capacity and phenolics in foods and dietary supplements. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53, 4290-4302. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0502698

Raha, S.K., Roy, S.K., Dey, S.K., & Chakrabarty, S.L. (1990). Purification and properties of an L-asparaginase from *Cylindrocarpon obtusisporum* MB-10. *Biochemistry International*, 21, 987-1000.

Ray, M., Adhikari, S., Pramanik, N., & Kundu, P. (2019). Isolation and characterization of microbial asparaginase to mitigate acrylamide formation in food. *Advances in Plant & Microbial Biotechnology*, 45, 134-146. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6321-4\_13

Roberts, J., Holcenberg, J.S., & Dolowy, W.C. (1972). Isolation, crystallization, and properties of *Achromobacteraceae* glutaminase-asparaginase with antitumor activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 247, 84-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(19)45762-1

Savitri, S., Astana, N., & Azmi, W. (2003). Microbial L-asparaginase: a potential antitumor enzyme. *Indian Journal of Biotechnology*, 2, 184-194.

Shanmugaprakash, M., Jayashree, C., & Vinothkumar, V. (2015). Biochemical characterization and antitumor activity of three phase partitioned L-asparaginase from *Capsicum annuum* L. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 142, 258-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.12.036\_

Thakur, M., Lincoln, L., Niyonzima, F.N., & More, S.S. (2014). Isolation, purification and characterization of fungal extracellular L-asparaginase from *Mucor hiemalis. Journal of Biocatalysis and Biotransformation*, 2, 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2324-9099.1000108

Tosa, T., Sano, R., Yamamoto, K., Nakamura, M., & Chibata, I. (1972). Lasparaginase from *Proteus vulgaris*: Purification, crystallization, and enzymic properties. *Biochemistry*, 11, 217-222. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00752a012</u>

Triantafillou, D.J., Georgatsos, J.G., & Kyriakidis, D.A. (1988). Purification and properties of a membrane-bound L-asparaginase of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, 81, 43-51. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00225652

Warangkar, S.C., & Khobragade, C.N. (2010). Purification, characterization, and effect of thiol compounds on activity of the *Erwinia carotovora* L-asparaginase. *Enzyme Research*, 2010, 1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/165878</u>

Xu, F., Oruna-Concha, M.J., & Elmore, J.S. (2016). The use of asparaginase to reduce acrylamide levels in cooked food. *Food Chemistry*, 210, 163-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.04.105\_