Akinyele et al. 2012 : 2 (2) 701-712



**REGULAR ARTICLE** 

# EFFECT OF ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD ON THE SPOILAGE FUNGI OF SOME SELECTED EDIBLE FRUITS IN SOUTHWESTERN, NIGERIA

Bamidele J. Akinyele<sup>\*1</sup>, O. C. Akinkunmi<sup>1</sup>, K. D. Adedayo<sup>2</sup>

Address\*: Dr Bamidele Juliet Akinyele,

<sup>1</sup>Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 704, School of Sciences, Department of Microbiology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria, Phone No: +23408037447280.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 704, Akure, Nigeria.

\*Corresponding author: <u>akinyelejuliet@yahoo.com</u>

# ABSTRACT

The influence of electromagnetic field wave on the survival of spoilage fungi associated with some edible fruits consumed in southwestern, Nigeria was studied using cashew (Anacardium occidentale L.), pineapple (Ananas comosus), carrot (Daucus carota), cucumber (Cucumis sativus), apple (Malus domestica) and African star apple (Chrysophyllum africanum). The spoilage fungi used include the genera of Aspergillus, Penicillium, Articulospora, Mucor, Staphylotrichum, Bisbyopeltis, Fusarium, Rhizopus and a yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. There was a general decrease in fungal growth as shown in the number of spores produced with increase in exposure time of isolates to electromagnetic field except in Articulospora inflata, Penicillium italicum and Mucor mucedo where there was stimulatory effect as there was increase in the fungal spores compared to the control. A decrease was also observed in growth of the fungal isolates with increase in the intensity of the electromagnetic field at voltage of 7 V to 10 V and from 10 V to 13 V. The highest percentage reduction was recorded by Bisbyopeltis phoebesii at intensity of voltage 13V after 60 minutes of exposure. Exposure of the fruits to electromagnetic field wave did not alter the nutrient components of the fruits as observed in the proximate and mineral contents of the treated and untreated fruits. The result of the study revealed that electromagnetic field wave has great potential for use in the control of fruits spoilage and food preservation.

Keywords: Berry, electromagnetic field, spoilage, fungi, control

### **INTRODUCTION**

One of the limiting factors that influence the fruits economic value is the relatively short shelf-life period caused by spoilage organisms and pathogens' attack (**Rashad** *et al.*, **2011**). It is estimated that about 20-25% of harvested fruits are lost during post-harvest handling even in the developed countries (**Droby, 2006; Zhu, 2006**). In developing countries, however, post-harvest losses are often more severe due to inadequate storage and transportation facilities (**Rashad** *et al.*, **2011**). Fungal infections of fruits may occur during the growing season, harvesting, handling, transport and post-harvest storage and marketing conditions, or after purchase by consumers. Fruits contain high level of sugar and nutritional elements. Their low pH values make them particularly desirable to fungal decay (**Singh and Sharma, 2007**).

The traditional methods of food preservation though guarantees its safety, sometimes cause loss of sensitive nutrients, denaturation of proteins as well as changes of structure, colour and taste. Also, there may be the formation of new undesirable substances (Lipiec *et al.*, 2004) thereby emphasizing the importance of non-thermal methods of food preservation. These methods preserve the nutritious value of food and at the same time, reduce threat by spoilage organisms. The antimicrobial effect of exposure to electromagnetic field is not due to the temperature effect, but rather its ability to cause damage to the cell structure and composition. Results of previous studies have confirmed the inhibition of microorganisms when placed in electromagnetic fields and inhibitory effect on microbial population (Lipiec *et al.*, 2004). The aim of this study, therefore, was to analyze the antimicrobial effect of varying electromagnetic field wave at various periods on the spoilage fungi of some common edible fruits in southwestern, Nigeria.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **Source of Isolates**

Fungi associated with the spoilage of the selected edible fruits were obtained from a previous study and confirmed by subculturing them on freshly prepared Potato Dextrose Agar

(PDA) plates. Isolates were identified using the methods of Olutiola *et al.* (2000) and Barnett and Hunter (1998).

#### Treatment of Isolates with Electromagnetic Field Wave

An electric circuit that generated the Electromagnetic field wave used for this research work was designed and constructed at the Department of Physics, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. The Electromagnetic field wave was generated from solenoid coil of hundreds of turns of copper wire. The coil was connected across a voltage source of about 15 V which induced magnetic field around the coil. The intensity of the field was varied through the variable resistor of 10k $\Omega$  and the timing circuit was wired with 555 timer integrated circuit (IC) as an Astable multivibrator. A Triac, a high current switching device was employed to control the flow of current through the coil. The whole circuitry was cased in a locally fabricated Perspex case which served as a guide for the wave. A hole was created through which the wave was focused on the sample.

Isolates from the stock culture were transferred unto freshly prepared plates and afterwards multiplied in liquid nutrient medium (broth). From every breed, 1 ml inoculum was taken and introduced into 9 ml freshly prepared broth in test tubes. Then, the test tubes were treated with electromagnetic field wave generated by 7, 10 and 13 V for 0, 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes respectively. Non-treated isolates were taken as control material.

After the treatment of isolates with electromagnetic field wave, the isolates were inoculated on petri dishes containing selected medium and incubated at  $25^{\circ}$ C for 3 – 5 days. The same process as above was repeated for the control. All these were made in three replicates. After the incubation period, the fungal spores were counted to determine the effect of electromagnetic field wave on the number of spores using New Improved Neuber Haemacytometer.

### **Proximate and Mineral Analysis of Fruits**

Proximate analysis was carried out on treated and untreated fruits according to AOAC (2005).

### **Statistical Analysis of Data**

Data obtained were analyzed using the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the means separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The electromagnetic field significantly reduced the growth of the fungi compared to the control except in *Articulospora inflata, Penicillium italicum* and *Mucor mucedo* where treatment with electromagnetic field wave had a stimulatory effect with an increase in the number of fungal spores compared to the control. It was observed that increase in the intensity of the electromagnetic field further reduced the number of the fungal spores. Zhang *et al.* (1994) observed that various inactivation levels of S. *cerevisiae* have been achieved in food models and foods using a variety of PEF chambers and experimental conditions.

The effects of electromagnetic field wave at different intensities and periods of exposure on spoilage fungi isolated from cashew, pineapple, apple, cucumber, carrot and African star apple are as shown in figures 1, 2, 3and 4 respectively .Growth reduction in percentages of fungi treated with electromagnetic field wave at different intensities for different periods of exposure are shown in Table 1 while percentage increase in the spores of fungi on which electromagnetic field showed stimulatory effect are reported in Table 2.



Figure1 Effect of EMF wave on Fungi Isolated from Cashew



Figure 2 Effect of EMF wave on Fungi Isolated from Pineapple

Increased duration of exposure to electromagnetic field resulted in decrease of most of the fungal isolates by at least 50% when compared with the control showing the maximum percentage reduction at 60 minutes exposure period. Consumption of fruit and vegetable products has dramatically increased by more than 30% during the past few decades. It is also estimated that about 20% of all fruits and vegetables produced is lost annually to spoilage (Akinmusire, 2011). However, the growing interest in what is commonly known as healthy and safe food, that is food with a high nutritive value, can be observed world-wide (Lipiec *et.* a*l.*, 2004).



Figure 3 Effect of EMF wave on Fungi Isolated from Apple



Figure 4 Effect of EMF wave on *Rhizopus stolonifer* Isolated from African Star Apple, Carrot and Cucumber

					Cashew		Apple			Cucumber	Carrot
Isolates		Pineapple (And	anas comosus)		(Anacardium occidentale )		(Malus domestica)			(Cucumis sativus)	(Daucus carota)
	B. phoebesii	F. oxysporum	R. stolonifer	S. cerevisiae	P. chrysogenun	R. stolonifer	A. fumigatus	A. niger	B. phoebesii	R. stolonifer	R. stolonifer
15m(7V)	$38.4 \pm .32^{\circ}$	39.0±0.62°	$4.6\pm0.80^{a}$	22.1±1.46 <sup>b</sup>	$25.5 \pm 0.62$	$8.6 \pm 0.88$	31.9± 4.31 <sup>b</sup>	4.4±1.14 <sup>a</sup>	$43.2 \pm 2.31^{\circ}$	$11.4 \pm 0.37$	$10.2 \pm 0.54$
30m(7V)	49.9±.76 <sup>d</sup>	47.4±0.19 <sup>c</sup>	12.3±0.92 <sup>a</sup>	34.3±1.79 <sup>b</sup>	$30.0 \pm 0.52$	19.1±0.84	$48.5 \pm 2.62^{b}$	11.9±1.26 <sup>a</sup>	$54.1 \pm 0.75^{\circ}$	17.4 ± 0.59	$21.1 \pm 0.72$
45m(7V)	63.4± .58 <sup>d</sup>	58.6±0.92°	17.9±0.63 <sup>a</sup>	43.9±2.42 <sup>b</sup>	$61.5 \pm 0.65$	29.6±0.50	$56.4 \pm 3.24^{b}$	23.0±1.26 <sup>a</sup>	$67.2 \pm 0.61^{\circ}$	43.6 ± 1.44	$45.0 \pm 2.64$
60m(7V)	81.1±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	82.2±0.52 <sup>c</sup>	39.6±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	47.0±0.82 <sup>b</sup>	$70.5 \pm 0.31$	44.1±0.46	$63.8 \pm 2.78^{b}$	41.5±1.33 <sup>a</sup>	$80.6 \pm 0.44^{\circ}$	56.3 ± 0.80	$58.9\pm0.32$
15m(10V)	43.2±1.22 <sup>b</sup>	43.9±0.41 <sup>b</sup>	$7.9\pm0.96^a$	48.6±1.25°	$29.0\pm0.28$	15.1±1.33	$34.9 \pm 3.03^{b}$	$6.1 \pm 0.31^{a}$	$48.2 \pm 2.82^{\circ}$	$14.2 \pm 0.60$	$15.6\pm0.78$
30m(10V)	52.0±0.22 <sup>b</sup>	57.3±0.53°	12.3±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	59.9±3.06°	30.8 ± 0.10	21.6±0.50	47.2±3 33 <sup>b</sup>	13.5±0.31 <sup>a</sup>	56.6 ±3.09°	$18.3 \pm 0.73$	$21.7\pm0.89$
45m(10V)	73.1±1.47°	70.8±1.10 <sup>b,c</sup>	22.6±0.83 <sup>a</sup>	69.7±1.98 <sup>b</sup>	$67.7 \pm 0.42$	40.2±0.54	$59.5 \pm 2.22^{b}$	33.4±0.92 <sup>a</sup>	$74.9 \pm 2.06^{\circ}$	52.9 ± 0.78	$55.0\pm0.41$
60m(10V)	82.8±0.31°	84.1± 0.83 <sup>c</sup>	40.1±0.83 <sup>a</sup>	67.0±3.69 <sup>b</sup>	$71.7 \pm 0.18$	45.4±1.24	$66.2\pm 3.09^{b}$	44.1±2.44 <sup>a</sup>	$81.6 \pm 0.44^{\circ}$	57.6 ± 0.33	$60.2 \pm 0.15$
15m(13V)	54.3±0.66°	49.3± 1.07 <sup>b</sup>	12.4±0.81 <sup>a</sup>	58.9±1.54 <sup>d</sup>	$30.2 \pm 0.14$	18.5±0.94	$44.8 \pm 2.36^{b}$	$7.7\pm0.86^{a}$	$51.5 \pm 1.54^{\circ}$	20.1 ± 1.20	$17.7\pm0.18$
30m(13V)	59.9±0.69°	55.8± 1.71 <sup>b</sup>	22.2±1.10 <sup>a</sup>	67.0±1.84 <sup>d</sup>	$32.4 \pm 0.04$	21.9±0.72	$49.1 \pm 2.44^{b}$	21.1±3.73 <sup>a</sup>	$60.2 \pm 0.46^{\circ}$	$7.2 \pm 0.97$	23.1 ± 1.41
45m(13V)	78.5±1.11°	78.3± 1.33°	30.6±0.74 <sup>a</sup>	75.8±.26 <sup>b</sup>	$70.5 \pm 0.72$	43.1±0.70	$63.2 \pm 19^{b}$	$37.6\pm2.0^{a}$	$78.8 \pm 0.76^{\circ}$	$56.4 \pm 0.78$	64.6±11.94
60m(13V)	87.4±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	$82.6 \pm 0.97^{b}$	49.5±0.60 <sup>a</sup>	81.6±1.13 <sup>b</sup>	$74.1 \pm 0.20$	46.6±0.05	$68.7\pm3.96^b$	54.4±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	88.0 ±0.57 <sup>c</sup>	$60.3 \pm 0.48$	$61.0\pm0.89$
Control	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Legend: Values are mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) of replicates (n = 3), Values with the same alphabet in a column for each fruit are not significantly at P 0.05

<b>F</b> :4a		Apple		
Fruits		(Malus domestica)		
Fungal Isolates	A. inflata	M. mucedo	S. coccosporum	S. coccosporum
7V (15 mins)	49.27 ± 3.24	69.71 ± 1.25	$23.01 \pm 0.03$	23.71 ± 0.58
7V (30 mins)	$47.02 \pm 4.13$	$55.24 \pm 0.33$	$21.28 \pm 0.55$	22.01 ± 0.63
7 V(45 mins)	39.65 ± 3.21	33.85 ± 2.54	$19.48 \pm 0.56$	$20.22 \pm 0.39$
7V (60 mins)	$34.76 \pm 4.87$	$17.33 \pm 2.02$	$19.47 \pm 1.35$	20.21 ± 1.61
10V (15 mins)	48.37 ± 3.24	67.71 ± 1.11	$18.22 \pm 0.38$	$18.97 \pm 0.89$
10V (30 mins)	45.05 ± 5.10	$48.32 \pm 2.21$	$18.81 \pm 1.43$	19.55 ± 1.76
10V (45 mins)	37.99 ± 3.52	29.14 ± 2.20	$16.27 \pm 0.35$	$17.04 \pm 0.71$
10V (60 mins)	30.81 ± 4.33	6.03 ± 1.56	$15.12 \pm 0.62$	$15.91 \pm 1.02$
13V (15 mins)	47.01 ± 4.48	$65.94 \pm 0.84$	$17.66 \pm 0.53$	$16.85 \pm 0.62$
13V (30 mins)	41.78 ± 4.62	41.61 ±2.88	$16.72 \pm 0.71$	$15.23 \pm 0.76$
13V (45 mins)	33.98 ± 4.26	23.69 ± 1.11	$13.65 \pm 0.42$	13.42 ± 1.01
13V (60 mins)	25.66 ± 3.63	-	$11.73 \pm 0.93$	$11.17 \pm 0.98$

**Table 2** Percentage Increase of Fungal Isolates showing Stimulatory Reactions towardsTreatment with Electromagnetic Field

**Legend:** Values are mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation (SD) of replicates (n = 3).

The result of the proximate analysis of the treated and untreated fruits showed that the electromagnetic field has no side effects on the nutrient components of the fruits (Table 3). This finding is in agreement with **Simpson** *et al.* (1995). They reported that there were no physical or chemical changes in the nutritional contents of PEF-treated apple juice and also sensory evaluation gave no significant difference in untreated and treated juices.

Fruits	Moisture (%)	Dietary fibre (g)	Fat (g)	Protein (g)	Ash (g)	Carbohydrate (g)	Mg (mg)	Ca (mg)	K (mg)	Vitamin C (mg)
Untreated Fruits										
Cashew	$72.97\pm0.45$	$3.07\pm0.31$	$43.10\pm\!\!0.96$	$18.07\pm0.11$	$0.64\pm0.02$	$29.5\pm0.50$	$288.00\pm4.00$	$35.33 \pm 5.03$	$648.00\pm8.00$	$0.49\pm0.01$
Pineapple	$82.67\pm2.31$	$1.20\pm0.20$	$0.11\pm0.24$	$0.52\pm 0.02$	$0.81\pm0.01$	$12.19\pm0.01$	$12.27\pm2.41$	$13.00\pm0.20$	$111.33\pm1.16$	$35.33 \pm 1.16$
Apple	$79.60\pm0.53$	$2.53\pm0.23$	$0.15\pm0.01$	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.20\pm0.01$	$13.43 \pm 3.50$	$4.98\pm0.02$	$6.00\pm0.20$	$103.73\pm0.64$	$4.71\pm0.25$
Cucumber	$78.33 \pm 2.40$	$0.41\pm0.01$	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.61\pm0.01$	$0.21\pm0.01$	$3.67 \pm 0.12$	$10.93\pm0.90$	$15.08\pm0.33$	$145.67\pm6.02$	$2.93\pm0.12$
Star Apple	$69.63 \pm 1.48$	$2.99\pm0.48$	$6.60\pm0.53$	$2.42\pm0.04$	$2.70\pm0.00$	$14.13 \pm 2.01$	$74.33 \pm 4.04$	$91.67 \pm 7.64$	$966.67\pm12.58$	$47.67 \pm 2.52$
Carrot	$62.8 \pm 2.43$	$2.60 \pm 0.53$	$0.21\pm0.01$	$0.93\pm0.02$	$0.36\pm0.05$	$18.00 \pm 1.00$	$16.61\pm0.54$	$29.33 \pm 1.16$	$241.00\pm1.00$	$7.02\pm0.17$
<b>Treated Fruits</b>										
Cashew	$71.67 \pm 1.53$	$2.87 \pm 0.12$	$42.00\pm1.73$	$18.47\pm0.50$	$0.65\pm0.01$	$30.33\pm5.51$	$282.67\pm3.06$	$34.93 \pm 1.62$	$643.33\pm5.77$	$0.47\pm0.01$
Pineapple	$81.33\pm2.31$	$1.27 \pm 0.03$	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.50\pm0.00$	$0.79\pm0.01$	$12.00\pm2.00$	$11.67\pm0.57$	$12.80\pm0.35$	$112.00\pm1.73$	$33.80 \pm 1.71$
Apple	$80.0\pm0.00$	$2.50\pm0.50$	$0.15\pm0.01$	$0.19\pm0.02$	$0.18\pm0.03$	$12.73\pm0.83$	$4.58\pm0.50$	$5.86 \pm 0.10$	$102.35\pm0.32$	$4.52\pm0.46$
Cucumber	$77.60 \pm 1.44$	$0.44\pm0.02$	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.61\pm0.05$	$0.24\pm0.00$	$3.61 \pm 0.18$	$10.87\pm0.11$	$14.00\pm1.00$	$144.27\pm2.06$	$2.79\pm0.19$
Star Apple	$69.33 \pm 1.16$	$2.79\pm0.26$	$6.87\pm0.12$	$2.49\pm0.02$	$2.70\pm0.06$	$17.33 \pm 0.58$	$70.33 \pm 4.00$	$88.27 \pm 6.24$	$950.67 \pm 12.28$	$47.67\pm2.52$
Carrot	$62.93 \pm 0.06$	$2.73 \pm 0.31$	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.91\pm0.06$	$0.39\pm0.01$	$8.67\pm0.58$	$15.55 \pm 1.39$	$28.50\pm3.04$	$239.43 \pm 1.25$	$6.75\pm0.22$

 Table 3 Proximate and Mineral Composition of Untreated and Treated Selected EdibleFruits.

**Legend:** Mg = Magnesium, Ca = Calcium, K = Potassium

## CONCLUSION

This study revealed that electromagnetic field wave has the potential of reducing post-harvest spoilage fungi of some fruits and can be used as a means of controlling spoilage fungi with a resultant increase in their shelf life.

## REFERENCES

AKINMUSIRE, O. O. 2011. Fungal species associated with the spoilage of some edible fruits in Maiduguri Northern Eastern Nigeria. In *Advances in Environmental Biology*, Vol. 5, 2011, no.1, p. 157-161.

A.O.A.C. 2005. In *Official methods of analysis*, 17th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Gaithersburg, Washington D. C. A.O.A.C. press, U.S.A. 2005.

BARNETT, H. L. AND HUNTER, B. B. 1998. Illustrated Genera of Imperfect Fungi, 4th ed. American Phytopathological Society, USA, 1998. ISBN 978-0-89054-192-0.

DROBY, S. 2006. Improving quality and safety of fresh fruits and vegetables after harvest by the use of biocontrol agents and natural materials. In *African Journal of Microbiology*. Vol. 709, 2006, p. 45–51.

LIPIEC, J. - JANAS, P. - BARABASZ, W. 2004. Effect of oscillating magnetic field pulses on the survival of selected microorganisms. In *International Journal of Agrophysics*, Vol. 18, 2004, p. 325-328.

OLUTIOLA, P. O. - FAMUREWA, O. - SONNTAG, H. G. 2000. An Introductory to General Microbiology; A practical approach. Bolabay Publication, Lagos Nigeria, 2000, 124 p.

RASHAD, R. A. - AHMED, R. A. - SALEH, A. M. 2011. Isolation and identification of some fruit spoilage fungi: Screening of plant cell wall degrading enzymes. In *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, Vol. 5, 2011, no. 4, p. 443-448.

SIMPSON, M. V. - BARBOSA-CÁNOVAS, G. V. - SWANSON, B. G. 1995. The combined inhibitory effect of lysozyme and high voltage pulsed-electric field on the growth of *Bacillus subtilis* spores. IFT Annual Meeting: Book of Abstracts. 1995, 267 p.

SINGH, D. AND SHARMA, R. R. 2007. Post-harvest diseases of fruit and vegetables and their management. In: Prasad, D. (Ed.), Sustainable pest management. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, India. 2007.

ZHANG, Q. H. - CHANG, F. J. - BARBOSA-CÁNOVAS, G. V. 1994. Inactivation of microorganisms in a semi-solid model food using high voltage pulsed-electric fields. In *Lebensm Wiss Technology*, Vol. 27, 1994, no. 6, p. 538-543.

ZHU, S. J. 2006. Non-chemical Approaches to Decay Control in Postharvest Fruit. In: Noureddine, B., Norio, S. (Eds.), Advances in Postharvest Technologies for Horticultural Crops. Research Signpost, Trivandrum, India. 2006, 297–313 p.