





# SURVIVING OF COMMERCIAL PROBIOTIC STRAIN Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG IN SLOVAK COW LUMP CHEESE EXPERIMENTALLY INOCULATED WITH Listeria innocua

Andrea Lauková<sup>1\*</sup>, Oľga Burdová<sup>2</sup>, Viola Strompfová<sup>1</sup>, Monika Pogány Simonová<sup>1</sup>, Beáta Koréneková<sup>2</sup>

Address(es): MVDr. Andrea Lauková, CSc.,

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Animal Physiology Slovak Academy of Sciences, Šoltésovej 4-6, 04001 Košice, Slovakia.

<sup>2</sup>University of Veterinary Medicine and Pharmacy, Komenského 73, 041 81 Košice, Slovakia.

\*Corresponding author: laukova@saske.sk

doi: 10.15414/jmbfs.2014.4.1.33-35

#### ARTICLE INFO

Received 12. 11. 2013 Revised 19. 6. 2014 Accepted 1. 7. 2014 Published 1. 8. 2014

Regular article



#### ABSTRACT

Cow lump cheese represents a traditional Slovak cheese. It belongs to fresh types of cheeses. The aim of this study was to test surviving of commercial probiotic strain  $Lactobacillus\ rhamnosus\ GG$  in cow lump cheese experimentally infected with  $L.\ innocua$ ; (listeriae are contaminants) and to check the suitability of GG strain as additive for this product. The counts of GG strain in cow lump cheeses were well balanced during whole experiment. It was found in the counts from  $5.48 \pm 0.15$  to  $7.77 \pm 1.50\ log10\ cfu/g$ . Its maximum in cheese was  $7.77 \pm 1.30\ log10\ cfu/g$  on day 7 with stability up to day 14. The identity of GG strain isolated from cheeses was confirmed by PCR. The counts of other lactic acid bacteria were also well balanced during the whole experiment in the experimental cheeses with stability up to day 14. Only in E1/GG cheese, the highest number of LAB was detected ( $10.60 \pm 1.26\ log10\ cfu/g$ ). The count of  $L.\ innocua\ LMG\ 13568$  was not influenced. The pH and lactic acid values were not negatively influenced. Visually, the GG cheese provided a good structure (consistency). It can be disputed that shelf-life of the product could be maintained by this way and/or the product itself with GG strain can be consumed as afunctional food or to serve as a probiotic strain carrier.

Keywords: Cheese, probiotic, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Listeria innocua

#### INTRODUCTION

Milk consumption among human population belongs every time on top place in dairy industry. This is in close relation with consumption of cheeses. Cheese is milk product containing milk protein-casein, milk fat and the other substances such as lactose, minerals, etc. Cow lump cheese represents a traditional Slovak cheese which is allotted to fresh types of cheeses. It is semi-soft, full-fat cheese (Grieger, Burdová, 1987). This cheese is very popular among consumers. At recent time, functional foods are very popular and requested by consumers on the market. These foods can be enriched with different types of additives which have to improve the quality, protection or popularity of the product itself and also its suitability for market and consumers (Sokol et al., 2004). Among additives, probiotic bacteria are the most often incorporated (Ouwehand et al., 2004). Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (ATCC53103) is commercially known probiotic strain legislatively used in many dairy products (Tynkkynen et al., 1998). Microbiological safety of the products belongs to the most important criteria to assess the food quality (Sokol et al., 2004). Therefore, the aim of this study was to test surviving of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG strain in cow lump cheese which was experimentally infected with L. innocua; listeriae are frequent causative agents of milk contamination via e.g. cow mastitis (Bourry et al., 1995).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

# Bacterial strains, media and growth conditions

Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (ATCC 53103, 10° cfu/g kindly provided by Dr. Ouwehand, Danisco, Finland) was marked by rifampicin (Strompfová et al., 2003) to differ it from the other lactic acid bacteria. The strain (0.1%) inoculum was cultivated in MRS broth (Merck, Germany) overnight at 37° C. The colonies count was performed by the standard microbiological dilution method according to ISO, plating on MRS agar and cultivated as mentioned formerly. Listeria innocua LMG13568 (kindly provided by prof. Luc De Vuyst, University of Brussel, Belgium), 0.1 % inoculum (10° cfu/mL) was cultivated in Trypticase soy broth (Becton and Dickinson, Cockeysville, USA) at 32° C for 48 h and enumerated on Oxford agar (Becton and Dickinson).

#### Cow lump cheese manufacturing process

Cow lump cheese was manufactured in 20-liter vats from milk using the standard technology for this type of cheese. Pre-heated milk vats were divided into E1 inoculated with 0.1% inoculum of *L. rhamnosus* GG strain (10<sup>9</sup>cfu/mL), E2-*L. rhamnosus* GG experimentally contaminated with 0.1% inoculum of *Listeria innocua* LMG13568 (10<sup>6</sup> cfu/mL), E3-*L. innocua* LMG13568) and the reference cheese vat (without strains). Appropriate amount of cream culture, rennet as well and 40% CaCl<sub>2</sub> was added calculated for 20 L. Curds were cut (15 min), scalded, pressed, cheese lumps were formed, they were put to drop off (18-20°C) and cheeses were stored in cold room for 14 days. Sampling (10g) for microbiological analysis was provided on day 0-1 (start of the experiment), on days 2, 7 and 14. Cheese homogenates in 90 ml of sterile Ringer solution (treated in Stomacher-Masticator PK 400, IUL, Spain) were prepared. To check lactic acid and pH values, sampling was provided on day 0-1, 2,3,6,7 and 8 (cheeses manufacturing).

### Microbial analysis

To check the counts of *Listeriae*, decimal dilutions were transferred to a tube with Fraser broth (Becton and Dickinson, Cockeysville, USA); each dilution in 3 tubes and cultivated at 32° C for 48 h. Then 100  $\mu L$  of broth was checked by plating on Oxford agar (Becton and Dickinson), cultivated at 32°C for 48 and estimated by the most probable number technique (MPN). To check the counts of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG strain, MRS agar (Becton and Dickinson) enriched with rifampicin (100  $\mu g$ ) was used. Other lactic acid bacteria were checked on MRS agar. Reference vat was checked to be contaminant bacteria absent (by plate count agar and blood agar, Becton and Dickinson). The bacterial counts were expressed in colony forming units per gram (cfu/g)  $\pm$  SD.

#### PCR confirmation of L. rhamnosus GG strain

The grown colonies of GG strain were confirmed by PCR method (**Brandt and Alatossava, 2003**). The primers used were F-CAATCTGAATGAACAGTTGTC, R-TATCTTGACCAAACTTGACG. The amplification protocol was as followed:initial denaturation at 94° C for 2min, 35 cycles of 94° C for 30s, 55° C for 30s, 72° C for 30s, 72° C for 10 min. Techgene KRD thermocycler (Techne, the United Kingdom) was used. The PCR products (10 µL) were

separated by electrophoresis in 0.8 % agarose gels (Sigma, Germany) buffered with 1xTAE (Merck, Germany) with 1  $\mu$ g/mL ethidium bromide (Sigma). The molecular mass standard (Promega, USA) was used according to the manufacturers instructions. DNA from cells was prepared according to **Baelae** *et al.* (2000).

## Lactic acid and pH measurement

Lactic acid (LA) analysis was performed by the isotachophoric method (ZKI-001) with detector and leading and termining electrolytes. As leading electrolyte  $10^{-2}$  M HIS,  $10^{-2}$  M HIS CI, 0.1% MHEC 10 mL, pH6.0 were used and as termining electrolyte 5 x $10^{-3}$  glutaric acid and 5 x $10^{-3}$  TRIS, pH 7-9 were used. The standard was lactate calcium. The pH values were measured by the use of pH meter Jenway 3310 (England) with electrodes. These measurements were done on day 0-1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG strain surviving in cheese

L. rhamnosus GG was found in milk in sufficient amounts; in cow lump cheese as well (from  $5.48 \pm 0.15$  to  $7.77 \pm 1.30 \log 10$  cfu/g, Table 1). Inoculating concentration of GG strain was 9.0 (log10) cfu/ml/g and on day 0-1 its count in E1 was 5.48  $\pm$  0.15 cfu/g . This count was continually increased to reach maximum  $7.77 \pm 1.30 \log 10$  cfu/g on day 7 with stability up to 14 days (Table 1). The counts of GG strain in cow lump cheeses were well balanced during whole experiment and even in the E2 cheese (GG strain with LMG strain) it was found in sufficient amount (from  $4.69 \pm 0.69 \log 10$  cfu/g at the start of the experiment (0-1 day) with culmination on day 7 (6.55  $\pm$  0.34 cfu/g); with stability up to the end of the experiment (days 14; 6.14±1.00 cfu/g, respectively, Table 1). In addition, the identity of L. rhamnosus GG - colonies isolated from cheeses was confirmed by PCR. The counts of other lactic acid bacteria (LAB) were also well balanced during the whole experiment in the experimental vats/cheeses, RCH including (Table 1) with stability up to day 14. Only in E1/GG cheese the highest number of LAB was detected (10.60±1.26 log10 cfu/g). It can be supposed that GG strain was the best established in that one E1 cheese; however, its establishment in E2 cheese was also sufficient. RCH was absent of spoilage (contaminant) bacteria.

The counts of L. innocua LMG 13568 were well balanced in E2 and E3 cheeses with culmination on day 2 (Table 1, 3.10  $\pm 0.16$  cfu/g, 3.34  $\pm 0.82$  cfu/g); however, the count of L. innocua LMG 13568 was not influenced by adding of the probiotic strain L. rhamnosus GG. Ability of GG strain to colonize dairy product was documented by us in our previous study, when a traditional Slovak product Bryndza cheese was inoculated with this probiotic strain. There the counts of GG strain reached 7.0 or 8.0 log 10 cfu/g on day 14 (Lauková et al., 2003). The counts of other LAB counted there were similar to those presented by us in this experiment (9.0 respectively 10.0 log 10 cfu/g). Moreover, in Bryndza cheese coliforms and staphylococci were reduced with difference of 1 mathematical order. Protective effect of L. rhamnosus VT1 in milk was e.g. presented by Koreňová et al., (2006). When L. rhamnosus GG was applied processing fermented dry sausage, it was found there in the count similar to ours (log10 7.0 cfu/g; Erkkilä et al., 2001). The similar counts of probiotic strain as counted in our cow lump cheese were detected in Argentinian Fresco cheese inoculated with probiotic strain L. acidophilus (Vinderola et al., 2000). Oliveira et al. (2001) tested the other L. rhamnosus strain LC 35 in relation with its milk supplementation and its effect on acidifying activity, textural properties and microbiological stability. The strain was tolerant and the product (yoghurt) possessed the good quality properties. L. rhamnosus GG was also experimentally tested in rabbits husbandry. There surprisingly similar counts were enumerated as in food; it again confirms its good adhesive ability (from 5.3 log 10 cfu/g to 6.1 cfu/g; Simonová et al., 2008). Benefity of health after consumption of functional food (e.g. probiotic strain containing product) reported Mikeš et al. (1995). They referred reduction and optimization of cholesterol level in blood of volunteers consuming probiotic strain E. faecium M74. In this study, L. innocua LMG 13568 was not influenced; however, e.g. in tofu cheese inoculated with this strain and treated by enterocin A(P)-producing strain E. faecium EK13 (CCM7419) a reduction 1.17 log cycle was observed after 5 days of EK13 strain application (Lauková et al., 2002). Two basic lines can be conducted by probiotic strain; to maintain shelf-life of the products and to improve consumers health by consuming the products containing those probiotic strains. Consumption of dairy products i.e. cheeses can control e.g. childrens health status. Salminen et al. (1993) reported that by rotavirus caused diarrhoea in children was weakened by L. rhamnosus GG treatment of children.

**Table 1** The counts of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG, *Listeria innocua* LMG 13568 and lactic acid bacteria (cfu/g  $\pm$  SD).

Samples	0-1	2	7	14
$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}$				
E1/GG	5.48 (0.15)	7.43 (1.56)	7.77 (1.30)	7.04 (1.50)
E2(GG+Li)	4.69 (0.69)	4.32 (1.50)	6.55 (0.34)	6.14 (1.00)
E3/Li	-	-	-	-
RCH	-	-	-	-
LAB				
E1/GG	6.55 (1.24)	8.23 (1.10)	9.07 (1.03)	10.60 (1.26)
E2(GG+Li)	6.66 (1.28)	8.27 (1.13)	9.04 (1.02)	9.71 (1.30)
E3/Li	6.73 (1.33)	8 .11 (1.05)	9.49 (1.22)	9.83 (1.68)
RCH	6.25 (1.12)	8.14 (1.07)	9.04 (1.02)	9.38 (1.17)
Li				
E1/GG	-	-	-	-
E2(GG+Li)	2.10 (0.00)	3.34 (0.82)	2.36 (0.53)	2.69 (0.64)
E3/Li	2.30 (0.14)	3 .10 (0.16)	2.43 (0.19)	2.30 (0.14)
RCH	` - ′	- ′	` - ′	- ′

Day-0-1, (at the start of the experiment), days -2, 7, 14; RCH-reference cheese; E1-Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG; E2-L. rhamnosus GG + Listeria innocua LMG13568; E3-Listeria innocua LMG13568GG; Microbiota are expressed in colony forming unit per gram (cfu/g  $\pm$  SD).

#### Lactic acid values and pH

In GG cheese (E1) the values of lactic acid were almost the same measured in RCH; it means that addition of GG strain did not influence negatively LA values in cheese (Table 2); at the start of the experiment they were even slightly higher than in RCH. The "protective effect" of GG in E2 cheese (GG strain and LMG) could be supposed in relation with almost not changed LA values. On the other hand, in E3 cheese on day 3 very low value of LA was measured. It can be related with not autochnous strain as *L. innocua* is for cheese. The values of pH were not negatively influenced by additives; they were almost the same as in the reference cheese (Table 3). **Koréneková** *et al.* (2007) reported the higher amount of LA in milk inoculated with GG strain with maximum at 48h (on day 2) as was presented in this study. That is the most common that pH of the product is not negatively influenced by probiotic strains or their bacteriocins (Lauková *et al.*, 2001). Visually, the GG cheese provided a good structure (consistency).

**Table 2** The values of lactic acid  $(g/l) \pm SD$ 

	0-1 day	2 d	3 d	6 d	7 d	8 d
RCH	3.80 (0.19)	4.10 (0.20)	` /	1.00 (0.00)	0.70 (0.08)	0.55 (0.07)
E1	4.10 (0.20)	4.85 (0.22)		1.00 (0.10)	0.65 (0.08)	0.25 (0.05)
E2	3.95 (0.19)	4.90 (0.22)		0.65 (0.08)	0.75 (0.08)	0.55 (0.07)
E3	3.80 (0.19)	4.85 (0.22)		0.90 (0.09)	0.55 (0.07)	0.10 (0.01)

RCH-reference cheese; E1-Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG; E2-GG+LMG; E3-Listeria innocua LMG13568

**Table 3** The pH values in cheeses

	0-1 d	2 d	3 d	6 d	7 d	8 d
RCH	5.21	4.96	4.90	4.88	4.86	4.84
E1	5.04	4.86	4.83	4.77	4.78	4.79
E2	5.06	4.94	4.88	4.95	4.98	4.99
E3	5.00	4.88	4.82	4.82	4.84	4.85

RCH-reference cheese: E1-Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG: E2-GG+LMG: E3-Listeria innocua LMG13568

#### CONCLUSION

Sufficient surviving and stability of L. rhamnosus GG strain in the traditional cow lump cheese experimentally infected with L. innocua LMG 13568 was shown in this study. The LA and pH values were not negatively influenced. It can be disputed that shelf-life of the product could be maintained by this way and/or the product itself with GG strain can be consumed as a functional food or to serve as a probiotic strain carrier.

Acknowledgments: The experiments were firstly done in the year 2005. At that time, the study was supported by the VEGA project. Now it was covered by the Project 26220220065-Isolation, identification and characterization of lactic acid bacteria for their application in the cheese in the dairy industry-co-funded by European fund of regional development. I am greatly thanksfull to Mrs. Margita Bodnárová for her excellent laboratory work.

#### REFERENCES

BAELE, M., BAELE, P., VANEECHOUTTE, M., STORMS, V., BUTAY, P., DEVRIESE, L. VERSCHRAEGEN, G., GILLIS, M., HAESEBROUCK, F. 2000. Application of tDNA-PCR for the identification of enterococci. J. Clin.. Microbiol. 38, 4201-4207. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jcm.39.4.1436-1442.2001 BOURRY, A., POUTREL, B., ROCOURT, J. 1995. Bovine mastitis caused by

Listeria monocytogenes: characteristics of natural and experimental infections. J. Med. Microbiol. 43, 125-132. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00222615-43-2-125

BRANDT, K., ALATOSSAVA, T. 2003. Specific identification of certain probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus strains with PCR primers based on phage sequences. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 84.  $\underline{http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168\text{-}1605(02)00419\text{-}1}$ 

ERKKILA, S., SUIHKO, M.L., EEROLA, S., PETAJA, E., MATTILA-SANDHOLM, T. Dry sausage fermented by Lactobacillus rhamnosus. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 64, 205-210. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00457-8 GRIEGER, C., BURDOVÁ, O. 1978. Hygiena mlieka a mliečnych výrobkov in Slovak (Hygiene of milk and milk products). University of Veterinary Medicine, Košice and Príroda Bratislava eds. pp. 5-196, ISBN 64-200-78.

KORÉNEKOVÁ, B., BURDOVÁ, O., LAUKOVÁ, A., PAŽÁKOVÁ, J., NAGY, J. 2007. Observation of lactic acid content during experimental technology of cows cheese. Hygiene Alimentorum XXVIII-Safety and Quality of milk and milk products. (Proceedings of Lectures and Posters of Hygiene Alimentorum XXVIII-Safety and Quality of milk and milk products) Štrbské Pleso, Slovakia: 280-282.

KOREŇOVÁ, J., VALÍK, Ľ., LIPTÁKOVÁ, D., PETRÍKOVÁ, D. 2006. Antimicrobial effect of L. rhamnosus VT1 in milk and in food with milk component-in Slovak. Milk and cheeses-Mléko and sýry. (Proceedings of conference) Prague: 246-250. ISBN 807080 620-6.

LAUKOVÁ, A., VLAEMYNCK, G., CZIKKOVÁ, S. 2001. Effect of enterocin CCM 4231 on Listeria monocytogenes in Saint-Pauline cheese. Folia Microbiol. 46, 157-160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02873596

LAUKOVÁ, A., MAREKOVÁ, M. 2002. A bacteriocin-mediated antagonism by Enterococcus faecium EK13 against Listeria innocua in tofu. Arch. Lebensmittelhyg.,53, 28-30.

LAUKOVÁ, A., KUZMOVÁ, R., MARCIŇÁKOVÁ, M., STROMPFOVÁ, V. 2003. Stability and effect of probiotics in fullfat winter sheep bryndza cheese-in Slovak. Slovak Vet. J. 28, 40-41.

MIKEŠ, Z., FERENČIK, M., JAHNOVÁ, E., EBRINGER, L., ČÍŽNÁR, I. 1995. Hypocholesterolemic and immunostimulatory effects of orally applied Enterococcus faecium M-74 in man. Folia Microbiol.40,639-646. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf0281852

OLIVEIRA, M.N., SODINI, I., REMEUF, F., CORRIEU, G. 2001. Effect of milk supplementation and culture composition on acidification, textural properties and microbiological stability of fermented milks containing probiotic bacteria. Int. Dairy J. 11, 935-942. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0958-6946(01)00142-x

OUWEHAND, A., SAXELIN, M., SALMINEN, S. 2004. Phenotypic differences between commercial Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and L. rhamnosus strains from blood. Clin. Inf. Dis.. 39. 1858-1860. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/425741

SALMINEN, S., van WRIGHT, A. 1993. Lactic acid bacteria. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, part Therapy, 46-74.

SIMONOVÁ, M., MARCIŇÁKOVÁ, M., STROMPFOVÁ, V., ČOBANOVÁ, K., GANCARČÍKOVÁ, S., VASILKOVÁ, Z., LAUKOVÁ, A. 2008. Effect of probiotics Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and new isolate Enterococcus faecium EF2019 (CCM 7420) on growth, blood parameters, microbiota and coccidia oocysts excretion in rabbits. Int. J. Prob. Preb. 3, 7-14.

SOKOL, J., BÍREŠ, J., CABADAJ, R., BURDOVÁ, O., MÁTÉ, D. 2004. Regulation of the European Community No. 178/2002 of the European Parliament and the council in relation to safety of foodstuffs. (in Slovak). Hygiena Alimentorum XXV . (Proccedings, of conference) Štrbské Pleso, Slovakia: 33-39.ISBN 80-88985-99-4.

STROMPFOVÁ, V., MUDROŇOVÁ, D., LAUKOVÁ, A. 2003. Effect of bacteriocin-like substance produced by Enterococcus faecium EF55 on the composition of avian gastrointestinal microflora. Acta Vet. Brno, 72, 559-564.

TYNKKYNEN, S., SINGH, K.V., VARMANEN, P. 1998. Vancomycon resistant factor of *L. rhamnosus* GG in relation to enterococcal vancomycin resistance genes. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(98)00051-8

VINDEROLA, C.G., PROSELLO, W., GHIBERTO, D., REINHEIMER, J.A. 2000. Viability of probiotic (Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactobacillus casei) and non-probiotic microflora in Argentinian Fresco cheese. Sci. 83, 1905-1911. http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(00)75065-x